



ACROSS THE STATES

PROFILES OF LONG-TERM SERVICES
AND SUPPORTS



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY,
STATE DATA, AND RANKINGS

NINTH EDITION **2012**



ACROSS THE STATES

PROFILES OF LONG-TERM SERVICES
AND SUPPORTS



by Ari Houser
Wendy Fox-Grage
Kathleen Ujvari

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY,
STATE DATA, AND RANKINGS

NINTH EDITION **2012**

INTRODUCTION

Across the States 2012: Profiles of Long-Term Services and Supports is the ninth edition of the AARP Public Policy Institute's state long-term services and supports reference report.

Published for the past 18 years, the *Across the States* series was developed to help inform policy discussions among public and private sector leaders in long-term services and supports throughout the United States. *Across the States 2012* presents comparable state-level and national data for more than 140 indicators, drawn together from a wide variety of sources into a single reference. This publication presents up-to-date data and is displayed in easy-to-use maps, graphics, tables, and state profiles.

Across the States 2012 comes in two volumes:

- A full report with an overview of key findings and trends, maps and graphs, and individual profiles for each state, the District of Columbia, and the nation as a whole. The full report provides a comprehensive picture of long-term services and supports in each state.
- An executive summary with an overview of key findings and trends, and ranking tables for each indicator, such as percentage of Medicaid long-term services and supports spending for older people and adults with physical disabilities going to home and community-based services. This permits readers to easily see variations among states.

Some of the indicators in *Across the States 2012* may be found in prior editions. Please exercise caution when making comparisons with information in earlier editions due to changes in the source or definition. Complete descriptions of all indicators, and how they may differ from previous editions, can be found in the Data Documentation section in the back of the full report. Data sources and descriptions of indicators are only found in the full report, not in the executive summary.

Additional indicators on health care spending, health status, and health care utilization for the age 50+ population can be found in another AARP Public Policy Institute report, *Quick Health Facts 2010: Selected State Data on Older Americans*.

Copies of *Across the States 2012* are available free of charge. To order, please call the AARP Public Policy Institute at (202) 434-3890 or email jgasaway@aarp.org.

The full report and executive summary are also available on the web. The most recent edition of *Across the States* can be found at <http://www.aarp.org/acrossthestates>.


OVERVIEW

Across the States 2012: Profiles of Long-Term Services and Supports focuses on comparative state data. Given the aging population, rising demand for long-term services and supports (LTSS), and increasing cost of services, consistent data across all states is essential to understand what is needed to improve the lives of those who need LTSS and their family caregivers. The following key findings were identified from the state and national data.

1:	The age 85 and older population—the age group most likely to need long-term services and supports—is growing at a dramatic rate.
2:	The older population is racially and ethnically diverse and is projected to become even more diverse as our multicultural society ages.
3:	Many older Americans live below 250 percent of the poverty line, and are likely to qualify for need-based long-term services and supports and other publicly funded services.
4:	Family caregivers provided \$450 billion worth of unpaid care in 2009, almost four times Medicaid long-term services and supports spending and nearly seven times what people paid privately.
5:	The bulk of Medicaid long-term services and supports dollars still go to nursing facilities rather than home and community-based services.
6:	The number of older people and adults with physical disabilities receiving Medicaid-funded home and community-based services has increased, while the number of nursing facility residents has remained constant.
7:	On average, Medicaid dollars can support roughly three people with home and community-based services for every person in an institution.
8:	At the state level, registered nurse hours per resident day are correlated with better nursing facility quality measures.
9:	The supply of assisted living units is catching up with the total number of nursing facility beds.

1: The age 85 and older population—the age group most likely to need long-term services and supports—is growing at a dramatic rate.

Projected Growth in the Older Population in the United States as a Percentage of 2012 Population, by Age Group



From 2012 to 2050, the population age 65 and older is projected to more than double, to 89 million. The 107 percent growth rate for the 65+ population is more than five times as fast as that of the age 50–64 population and almost twice as fast as that of the population under age 50.


A better barometer for the potential demand for long-term services and supports (LTSS) is the growth in the population age 85 and older, which is expected to increase by 69 percent between 2012 and 2032 and more than triple (+224%) between 2012 and 2050. People age 85 or older not only have much higher rates of disability, but they are also much more likely to be widowed and without someone to provide assistance with daily activities.

For the next 20 years (2012–2032), the population age 65–84 and the population age 85+ are projected to grow at similar rates (+75% and +69%, respectively). As the baby boomers begin to turn 85, however, the age 85+ population will grow dramatically while growth among those ages 65–84 will level off. Between 2032 and 2050, the age 85+ population will almost double (+92%), while the age 65–84 population will increase by only 6 percent.

In 2012, people age 85 or older make up only 2 percent of the U.S. population. The states with the highest percentage growth are **Florida** and **Hawaii**, with 3 percent each. In 2050, the national percentage is projected to be 4.8 percent, and all 50 states will exceed 3 percent, with only the **District of Columbia**, at 2.9 percent, falling shy of that mark. The age 85+ population is projected to more than quadruple in seven states between 2012 and 2050: **Alaska** (+650%), **Nevada** (+474%), **Georgia** (+375%), **Colorado** (+369%), **Utah** (+323%), **Texas** (+318%), and **Virginia** (+307%).

2: The older population is racially and ethnically diverse and is projected to become even more diverse as our multicultural society ages.

Race and Ethnicity, Age 65+, 2010



Categories do not sum to total People of Color percentage because individuals may belong to more than one racial/ethnic group.

In 2010, one out of every five people age 65+ was nonwhite or Hispanic, a percentage that is increasing and will continue to do so in the future. By 2060, it is projected that 46 percent of the age 65+ population will be people of color.

The growing ethnic and racial diversity of the older population has enormous implications for meeting diverse LTSS preferences, addressing the role of paid and unpaid caregivers, providing services with cultural sensitivity, and training the paid LTSS workforce in cultural competence.

Aging populations of color outnumber whites in **Hawaii** (64% Asian/Pacific Islander), **Puerto Rico** (99% Hispanic), and the **District of Columbia** (62% black). In **New Mexico, California, and Texas**—the states with the highest proportion of Hispanics—more than 30 percent of people age 65+ are people of color.

This report not only highlights diversity in race and ethnicity among older adults, it also demonstrates great disparities related to poverty, education, disability, and living arrangements.

Poverty: Approximately 41 percent of people age 65 or older have incomes at or below 250 percent of poverty (about \$27,000 for a single person over age 65 or \$36,000 for a family of two), an income level that makes them financially vulnerable and unlikely to be able to pay for LTSS out-of-pocket.


Education: Level of education is one of the strongest predictors of LTSS need as well as of the economic capacity to pay for services. Those with college educations are less likely to need services and more likely to be able to pay for them. About one out of five (21%) people age 65 or older has a bachelor's degree or higher.

Disability: More than one-third (37%) of people age 65 or older have a sensory, physical, mobility, self-care, or cognitive disability that may affect their ability to accomplish common tasks of everyday living. Among the age 65+ population, 9 percent have self-care difficulty and 10 percent have cognitive difficulty, which are the most likely to result in need for LTSS.

Living Alone: One-third (34%) of people age 75 or older live alone, which means that there is no one in the household to provide assistance if they need help with daily activities. People living alone are more likely to have to move to a care facility if they need LTSS.

3: Many older Americans live below 250 percent of the poverty line, and are likely to qualify for need-based long-term services and supports and other publicly funded services.

Percent of Adults Below Poverty Line and Below 250% of Poverty Line, by Age, 2010




Older people are less likely to be below the poverty line than younger and working-age people. However, the proportion of older people who have income below 250 percent of the poverty line ¹ is much higher than for the working-age population. The percentage of Americans living below 250 percent of the poverty line is as high for the age 85+ population, those most likely to need LTSS, as it is for the age 0–24 population. This will have significant ramifications in the future because of the increasing projected growth of the age 85+ population.

¹ 250 percent of the federal poverty level is slightly higher than 300 percent of Social Security Income, a moderate level of income under which older people and adults with disabilities can qualify for need-based LTSS and other publicly funded services in most states.

4: Family caregivers provided \$450 billion worth of unpaid care in 2009, almost four times Medicaid long-term services and supports spending and nearly seven times what people paid privately.

Annual Spending in Billions of Dollars




In the United States, 42 million caregivers were providing unpaid care to friends and family *at any given time* during 2009. They provided an average of 18.4 hours of care per week, at an average value of \$11.16 per hour.

Although Medicaid is the largest payer of LTSS, family caregivers are the foundation of the LTSS system. The estimated economic value of contributions was \$450 billion in 2009, almost four times as much as total Medicaid LTSS spending and almost seven times the amount of privately paid LTSS and home health care. The estimated economic value of family caregiving exceeded Medicaid LTSS spending in every state. ²

Family caregivers provide personal care and help with everyday tasks as well as health-related interventions such as administering complex medications. They help to contain health and LTSS costs by providing such care and can even delay or prevent the use of more expensive hospital and nursing home care. Although family caregivers usually undertake these tasks willingly, they often experience high levels of emotional, physical and financial stress. Many of them juggle employment with caregiving responsibilities.

² AARP Public Policy Institute, *Valuing the Invaluable: 2011 Update. The Economic Value of Family Caregiving in 2009* (Washington, DC: AARP Public Policy Institute, June 2011). The \$119 billion figure does not include several categories of LTSS spending, among them inpatient mental health services. The \$67 billion in the figure is from AARP Public Policy Institute analysis of 2009 National Health Expenditure data.


States Exceeding Federal Requirements for Family and Medical Leave Acts for Adults, 2008



The federal Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993 (FMLA) allows covered employees to take up to 12 weeks of unpaid leave to care for a family member with a serious health condition. States can help adults and family caregivers by exceeding the minimum FMLA requirements—by broadening the range of employers that must comply with the requirements, extending the coverage period beyond 12 weeks, and broadening the definition of “family member.” In 2008, 14 states exceeded the federal minimum FMLA requirements for adults.

5: The bulk of Medicaid long-term services and supports dollars still go to nursing facilities rather than home and community-based services.

Medicaid Long-Term Services and Supports Spending for Older People and Adults with Physical Disabilities in the United States, 2009




Medicaid is the primary payer of formal LTSS in the United States (46% in 2009). Almost two-thirds (64%) of Medicaid LTSS dollars for older people and adults with physical disabilities went to nursing facility care, even though most people prefer to live at home or in their communities. Medicaid nursing facility spending was \$51.4 billion, compared to \$28.7 billion for home and community-based services (HCBS) for older people and adults with physical disabilities.

Nursing facility care is a mandatory entitlement within the Medicaid program. All individuals who qualify financially for Medicaid and qualify by level of disability for nursing facility care must be allowed to receive nursing facility services. Most HCBS are optional; although all states have mechanisms for providing home and community alternatives to nursing homes, Medicaid-eligible older people and adults with disabilities are not necessarily entitled to such services.

All state Medicaid programs in the U.S. cover optional HCBS through federal waivers. Also, many states cover personal care services for adults in the home under their Medicaid state plans.

There is great state variation in the funding of Medicaid HCBS. In 2009, the percentage of Medicaid LTSS funds for older adults and adults with physical disabilities going toward HCBS ranged from 10 percent to 65 percent.

Medicaid HCBS Spending as Percentage of LTSS Spending, for Older People and Adults with Physical Disabilities, 2009




Only seven states—**New Mexico, Washington, Minnesota, Oregon, Alaska, California, and Texas**—and the **District of Columbia** spent more than 45 percent of their Medicaid LTSS funds on HCBS. On the other end of the range, six states—**North Dakota, Delaware, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Alabama, and Maryland**—spent 15 percent or less on HCBS.

Although small compared with Medicaid budgets, non-Medicaid state-funded programs are another source of HCBS public funding. In 2009, state-only HCBS funding totaled \$1.5 billion (about 5% of the amount of Medicaid HCBS expenditures) but was significant in several states. State-funded HCBS programs often serve people who are not Medicaid eligible but are at risk of institutionalization.³

³Jenna Walls, Kathleen Gifford, Catherine Rudd, Rex O'Rourke, Martha Roherty, Lindsey Copeland, and Wendy Fox-Grage, *Weathering the Storm: The Impact of the Great Recession on Long-Term Services and Supports* (Washington, DC: AARP Public Policy Institute, January 2011).

6: The number of older people and adults with physical disabilities receiving Medicaid-funded home and community-based services has increased, while the number of nursing facility residents has remained constant.




Most people with LTSS needs do not live in institutions such as nursing facilities. Most live in their own home, and receive LTSS at home or in their community. There are a wide variety of home and community-based services and supports that can enable people to live as independently as possible. These include personal care, where an aide can help with daily activities; assisted living, which is licensed, supportive group housing usually including meals and assistance with daily activities for people who would otherwise not be able to live in their own homes; adult day services provided in a senior center or other group setting, and many others.

The number of older people and adults with physical disabilities receiving HCBS is increasing at a greater rate than the number of people living in nursing facilities. The number of older people and adults with physical disabilities receiving Medicaid HCBS (including those receiving home health services) increased 18 percent from 2003 to 2008, to a total of 2.5 million Medicaid beneficiaries receiving these services.⁴

From 2003 to 2008, the number of people using Medicaid nursing services actually declined 4 percent, from about 1.7 million to 1.6 million. During the same five-year period, the number of nursing facility residents (all payment sources) also declined slightly from 1.5 million to 1.4 million.⁵

⁴ The total of 2.5 million is not an unduplicated count. There is significant duplication between services, so the true number may be substantially lower, between 1.6 million and 2.5 million.


⁵ Most nursing facility stays begin or end during the year, so the number of people who spend time in a nursing facility during the year is approximately twice as high as the number of residents on a typical day.



Across all states, Medicaid HCBS expenditures increased by 70 percent from 2004 to 2009, while Medicaid expenditures for nursing facilities increased by just 12 percent. Nevertheless, total nursing facility expenditures remained nearly twice as high as HCBS expenditures. From 2004 to 2009, all states except **Maryland** and **Mississippi** increased Medicaid HCBS expenditures. The largest percentage increases in expenditures were in the **District of Columbia, Louisiana, Virginia, and Minnesota**, all of which increased HCBS spending by more than 150 percent during the five-year period.

7: On average, Medicaid dollars can support roughly three people with home and community-based services for every person in an institution.

Medicaid Long-Term Services and Supports Spending per Person Served, by Service, 2008




The need for LTSS is associated with growing old. However, people of all ages need LTSS. The services and supports needed by these populations—older people, adults with physical disabilities, adults with intellectual disabilities, and others—as well as the costs of these services and supports, are very different. However, for all populations, the typical cost of HCBS is about one-third the cost of institutional care.

Per person, nursing facility services are almost three times as costly as HCBS for older people and adults with disabilities. In 2008, Medicaid spending for HCBS—waiver and state plan personal care services (PCS)—for each older person and adult with physical disabilities receiving services averaged \$10,957, compared with \$29,533 for each person receiving services in a nursing facility. Home health care, which is often used for short-term post-acute care, averaged \$5,495 per person served.

Likewise, for people with intellectual disabilities, the cost of institutional services in an Intermediate Care Facility-Mental Retardation (ICF-MR) averaged \$123,053 per person, nearly three times the average cost of HCBS (\$42,896). States have been shifting Medicaid resources toward HCBS to “balance” Medicaid LTSS spending. In general, states are further ahead in their efforts to deinstitutionalize by closing ICF-MRs and serving more people with intellectual disabilities in the community.

8: At the state level, registered nurse hours per resident day are correlated with better nursing facility quality measures.




The number of direct care registered nurse (RN) hours per resident day correlates strongly with incidence of pressure sores, use of physical restraints, and hospital admissions. States with more direct care RN hours tended to have lower rates of pressure sores, lower use of restraints, and fewer hospital admissions. On average, in 2010, nursing facility residents received 3.8 hours of direct nursing care per day, of which 0.64 were RN hours.

The states with the most RN hours per resident day are **Alaska** (for which quality data were not available because of the small number of nursing facilities in the state), **Hawaii**, **Washington**, **Delaware**, **Montana**, and **Utah**. These states performed better than the national average in all three quality indicators included in the state profiles (pressure sores, use of restraints, and hospital admissions), except for Utah on its use of physical restraints.

North Dakota, **Hawaii**, **Minnesota**, and **Nebraska** have the lowest rates of pressure sores. **Kansas**, **Nebraska**, and **Wisconsin** have the lowest rates of use of physical restraints. **Minnesota**, **Utah**, **Arizona**, and **Oregon** have the lowest rates of hospital admissions.

9: The supply of assisted living units is catching up with the total number of nursing facility beds.

Assisted Living and Nursing Home Supply, 2007 - 2010




Depending upon state licensure requirements, assisted living can include a variety of residential care settings, such as adult foster care and personal care homes. Assisted living generally offers services that provide assistance with activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living to people in a residential setting.

Over the past few years, there has been a dramatic increase in the number of assisted living units across the United States. From 2007 to 2010, supply has increased almost 18 percent, from a total capacity of 1,046,631 units to 1,233,690 units.⁶ During the same period, nursing facility bed supply remained constant at about 1.7 million beds. This trend demonstrates consumer preferences for alternatives to nursing facilities.

There is almost a sixfold difference in the average supply of assisted living units between the highest five and lowest five states. The average supply in the five highest states (**Minnesota, Oregon, Idaho, Wisconsin, and Washington**) was 62 units per 1,000 people age 65 or older in the state, compared with 11 units in the five lowest states (**District of Columbia, Louisiana, West Virginia, Mississippi, and Nevada**). The national average is 31 units.

⁶ Robert Mollica, Ari Houser, and Kathleen Ujvari, *Assisted Living and Residential Care in the States in 2010* (Washington, DC: AARP Public Policy Institute, with support from The SCAN Foundation and The Commonwealth Fund, April 2012).

State Variation in Assisted Living Supply



Assisted living facilities are predominantly private pay, while nursing facilities are largely funded by Medicaid. Only about one-fifth (19%) of assisted living residents have the cost of services covered by Medicaid, compared with almost two-thirds (63%) of nursing facility residents. The national average annual private pay rate for an assisted living unit (\$39,135) was slightly more than one-half the cost of a semiprivate room in a nursing facility (\$70,445).

Conclusion

This report provides the latest available data on long-term services and supports in the United States at a time when many states are transforming the delivery and financing of these services. Each state is unique, and the states vary greatly in demographics, service delivery, and financing. This edition provides comparable data across all the states, so policymakers in each state can use it to benchmark progress in improving the quality of life for those who need, use, and provide long-term services and supports.


National Long-Term Services and Supports Profile

UNITED STATES

Population & Projections	Year	Value (1,000s)	% Change from 2012	% of Total Population
All ages	2012	315,311		
	2032	376,660	+19%	
	2050	434,447	+38%	
Age 50-64	2012	60,506		19.2%
	2032	61,753	+2%	16.4%
	2050	72,281	+19%	24.0%
Age 65+	2012	42,941		13.6%
	2032	74,728	+74%	19.8%
	2050	88,820	+107%	20.4%
Age 65-74	2012	23,305		7.4%
	2032	38,215	+64%	10.1%
	2050	39,451	+69%	9.1%
Age 75-84	2012	13,210		4.2%
	2032	25,647	+94%	6.8%
	2050	28,527	+116%	6.6%
Age 85+	2012	6,426		2.0%
	2032	10,867	+69%	2.9%
	2050	20,482	+224%	4.8%

Older People of Color (%), 2010	
	20.1%
Asian/Pacific Islander	3.6%
Black	8.5%
Hispanic	6.9%

Projected Growth in the Older Population in United States as a Percentage of 2012 Population, by Age Group



POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS

Living Arrangements	Value
Men per 100 women age 85+, 2010	48
People age 75+ living alone, 2010	34%
People age 60+ with grandchildren in household, 2010	5.5%
People age 60+ responsible for raising grandchildren, 2010	1.6%
Percent of age 65+ households with someone under 18, 2010	7.0%

Income & Poverty	Value
Median household income age 65+, 2010	\$34,381
At/below poverty level age 65+, 2010	9.0%
At/below 250% of poverty level age 65+, 2010	42%
Women age 75+ at/below poverty level, 2010	12.2%
Women age 75+ at/below 250% of poverty level, 2010	54%
Bachelor level education or higher age 65+, 2010	21%

Disability Rates	Value (1,000's)	Percent
People age 65+ with disabilities, 2010		
Self-care difficulty	3,428	8.8%
Cognitive difficulty	3,712	9.5%
Any disability	14,352	37%
People age 18-64 with disabilities, 2010		
Self-care difficulty	3,444	1.8%
Cognitive difficulty	7,943	4.2%
Any disability	19,048	10.0%


Family Caregivers	Value	Per 1,000 Population
Family caregivers, 2009	42,100,000	137
Economic value of family caregiving, 2009 (total in millions of \$)	\$450,000	\$1,460
Economic value per hour, 2009	\$11.16	
Ratio of the economic value of family caregiving to Medicaid long-term care spending, 2009	3.8	

Long-Term Care Insurance	Value	Per 1,000 Age 40+
Private long-term care insurance policies in effect, 2010	6,485,598	45

PUBLIC LTSS EXPENDITURES

Medicaid Expenditures	Value
Total Medicaid expenditures (millions), 2009	\$368,330
Medicaid home and community-based services (HCBS) as a % of long-term services and supports (LTSS) spending, for older people and adults with physical disabilities, 2009 *	36%
Medicaid HCBS spending as a % of LTSS spending, for all populations, 2009 *	44%

Medicaid Long-Term Services and Supports Spending for Older People and Adults with Physical Disabilities in the U.S., 2009



LTSS & Home Health Expenditures	Value (millions)	Per 1,000 Population
Medicaid LTSS expenditures for older people and adults with physical disabilities, 2009	\$80,181	\$261
Nursing facilities	\$51,403	\$168
HCBS	\$28,778	\$94
Aged/disabled waiver services	\$8,821	\$29
Personal care services (PCS)	\$14,983	\$45
Home health services	\$4,861	\$16
Other HCBS **	\$1,165	\$4
Medicaid LTSS expenditures for all populations, 2009	\$126,816	\$413
Institutional services	\$71,220	\$232
HCBS	\$55,596	\$181
Waiver services (all populations)	\$34,826	\$114
Other HCBS (including PCS and home health)	\$20,770	\$68
State-funded HCBS expenditures for older people and adults with physical disabilities, 2009	\$1,481	\$4.83

* HCBS and LTSS include home health services for these indicators. This treatment is consistent with most earlier editions of Across the States, but differs from Across the States 2009, in which home health was categorized separately from LTSS.

** "Other HCBS" includes PACE, self-directed services authorized under Section 1915(j), and several other programs.

Medicaid HCBS Participants By Type of Service	Value	Per 1,000 Population
Nursing facilities (NF), 2008	1,614,404	5.3
HCBS (older people and adults with PD), 2008	2,499,867	8.2
Aged/disabled waiver services	674,528	2.2
Personal care services (PCS)	902,943	3.0
Home health services	922,396	3.0
Institutional services (NF and ICF-MR), 2008	1,717,794	5.6
HCBS (all populations), 2008	3,066,750	10.1
Waiver services (all populations)	1,241,411	4.1
Other HCBS (including PCS and home health)	1,825,339	6.0
Adults with disabilities self-directing services, 2011	739,711	2.43

Medicaid Participant LTSS Balance	Value
Medicaid aged/disabled waiver participants per 100 beneficiaries in nursing facilities, 2008	42
Medicaid HCBS beneficiaries as a % of LTSS users, for older people and adults with PD, 2008 *	61%
Medicaid HCBS beneficiaries as a % of LTSS users, for all populations, 2008 *	64%

Medicaid Expenditures Per Person Served	Value
Nursing facility services, 2008	\$29,533
HCBS expenditures for older people and adults with physical disabilities per person served, 2008 (excluding home health)	\$10,957
Aged/disabled waiver services	\$10,710
Personal care services	\$11,142
Home health services	\$5,495
ICF-MR services, 2008	\$123,053
MR/DD waiver services, 2008	\$42,896

LTSS COSTS

Public & Private Payment Rates	Value
Medicaid payment per day for nursing facility care, 2011	\$178
Medicare payment per day for nursing facility care, 2010	\$398
Medicare reimbursement per home health visit, 2010	\$154
Private pay rate per day in nursing facility, 2011	\$193
Private pay rate per month in assisted living, 2011	\$3,261
Private pay daily rate for adult day care, 2011	\$60
Private pay hourly rate for home health aide, 2011	\$19

LTSS RESOURCES

HCBS Resources	Value	Per 1,000 Age 65+
Assisted living and residential care facilities, 2010	58,938	1.46
Assisted living and residential care units, 2010	1,233,690	31
Personal and home care aides, 2010	686,030	17
Median hourly wage, 2010	\$9.44	
Home health aides, 2010	982,840	24
Median hourly wage, 2010	\$9.89	
People receiving ACL congregate meals, 2009 *	1,671,670	42
People receiving ACL home delivered meals, 2009 *	869,304	22
Total ACL congregate and home delivered meal expenditures (total in millions of \$), 2009 *	\$1,423	\$36

Nursing Facility Resources & Utilization	Value	Per 1,000 Age 65+
Total nursing facilities, 2010	16,071	0.40
Nursing facility beds, 2010	1,696,721	42
Nursing facility residents, 2010	1,408,886	35
Nursing facility occupancy rate, 2010	83%	
Direct care nursing hours per patient day, 2010	3.8	
RN hours per patient day, 2010	0.64	
Nursing facility staffing turnover, 2010	40%	

Nursing Facility Resident Characteristics	Value
Residents with dementia, 2010	46%
Nursing facility residents with low care needs, 2008	17%
Residents with Medicaid as primary payer, 2010	63%
Residents with Medicare as primary payer, 2010	14%
Residents with “other” as primary payer, 2010	22%

Quality & Oversight of Nursing Facilities	Value
Residents with physical restraints (%), 2008	4%
High risk residents with pressure sores, 2008	12%
Long-stay residents with a hospital admission, 2008	21%
Long-term care facility beds per FTE ombudsman, 2010	2,543
Nursing facilities visited by ombudsman at least quarterly, 2010	76%

* These programs were originally administered under AoA. For more information on the Administration for Community Living (ACL), please see <http://www.hhs.gov/acl>.


TRENDS

Medicaid HCBS Utilization	Year	Value
Medicaid HCBS participants, older people and adults with physical disabilities (excluding home health)	2003	1,270,155
	2008	1,577,471
	% change	+24%


Nursing Facility Residents	Year	Value
Nursing facility residents	2005	1,460,185
	2010	1,408,886
	% change	-4%

Long-Term Care Financing	Year	Value
Total Medicaid spending (millions)	2004	\$285,710
	2009	\$368,330
	% change	+29%
Medicaid LTSS spending for older people and adults with physical disabilities (millions)	2004	\$62,811
	2009	\$80,181
	% change	+28%
Medicaid nursing facility spending (millions)	2004	\$45,842
	2009	\$51,403
	% change	+12%
Medicaid HCBS spending for older people and adults with physical disabilities (millions)	2004	\$16,969
	2009	\$28,778
	% change	+70%
Medicaid HCBS as a % of LTSS spending, for older people and adults with physical disabilities	2004	27%
	2009	36%
	change	+9%

Change in Medicaid LTSS Spending, 2004–2009, by Service



Percent Change in Medicaid LTSS Spending, 2004–2009, by Service



In addition to the national long-term services and supports profile, you can find profiles for every state, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands in the full report volume of *Across the States 2012*.

Copies are available free of charge. To order, please call the AARP Public Policy Institute at (202) 434-3890 or email jgasaway@aarp.org. The full report and executive summary are also available on the web. The most recent edition of *Across the States* can always be found at <http://www.aarp.org/acrossthestates>.

State and Data Rankings

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: POPULATION PROJECTIONS

	Population, All Ages, 2012		Population, All Ages, 2032		Population, All Ages, 2050	
	Value (Millions)	Rank	Value (Millions)	Rank	Value (Millions)	Rank
Alabama	4,786	23	5,442	24	6,314	24
Alaska	726	47	951	47	985	47
Arizona	6,961	14	9,297	13	11,085	12
Arkansas	2,978	33	3,479	32	4,071	32
California	38,163	1	45,655	1	53,159	1
Colorado	5,252	22	6,890	20	8,042	19
Connecticut	3,536	29	3,912	30	4,290	31
Delaware	912	45	1,105	45	1,259	45
District of Columbia	624	49	763	50	846	49
Florida	19,170	4	24,746	3	29,208	3
Georgia	10,492	8	13,833	7	16,717	7
Hawaii	1,309	42	1,596	40	1,782	41
Idaho	1,625	39	2,047	39	2,393	38
Illinois	13,252	5	15,487	5	17,782	5
Indiana	6,473	17	6,942	19	7,939	20
Iowa	3,036	30	3,418	33	3,898	33
Kansas	2,855	34	3,243	35	3,662	35
Kentucky	4,363	26	4,808	25	5,427	26
Louisiana	4,413	25	4,776	26	5,320	27
Maine	1,332	41	1,582	41	1,827	40
Maryland	5,928	19	7,419	17	8,489	17
Massachusetts	6,766	15	8,100	15	9,188	16
Michigan	9,715	10	9,789	12	10,827	13
Minnesota	5,401	21	6,227	22	7,103	22
Mississippi	2,988	32	3,320	34	3,777	34
Missouri	6,128	18	7,158	18	8,189	18
Montana	1,017	44	1,326	43	1,511	43
Nebraska	1,851	38	2,243	37	2,633	37
Nevada	2,797	35	3,902	31	4,647	30
New Hampshire	1,345	40	1,581	42	1,765	42
New Jersey	8,771	11	10,044	11	11,370	11
New Mexico	2,066	36	2,572	36	2,924	36
New York	19,595	3	22,147	4	24,594	4
North Carolina	9,848	9	12,265	9	14,537	8
North Dakota	673	48	851	48	947	48
Ohio	11,554	7	12,452	8	14,103	9
Oklahoma	3,777	28	4,244	28	4,784	29
Oregon	3,950	27	4,689	27	5,462	25
Pennsylvania	12,828	6	15,193	6	17,309	6
Rhode Island	1,066	43	1,297	44	1,502	44
South Carolina	4,728	24	5,626	23	6,572	23
South Dakota	847	46	1,053	46	1,211	46
Tennessee	6,521	16	7,942	16	9,547	15
Texas	25,783	2	31,365	2	36,298	2
Utah	3,003	31	4,136	29	5,009	28
Vermont	620	50	722	51	803	51
Virginia	8,289	12	10,746	10	12,667	10
Washington	7,014	13	8,924	14	10,221	14
West Virginia	1,861	37	2,180	38	2,386	39
Wisconsin	5,745	20	6,392	21	7,233	21
Wyoming	574	51	786	49	832	50
United States	315,311		376,660		434,447	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: POPULATION PROJECTIONS

	Percent Change in Population, All Ages, 2012-2032		Percent Change in Population, All Ages, 2012-2050	
	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	+14%	39	+32%	34
Alaska	+31%	6	+36%	27
Arizona	+34%	4	+59%	3
Arkansas	+17%	32	+37%	25
California	+20%	25	+39%	21
Colorado	+31%	6	+53%	5
Connecticut	+11%	44	+21%	49
Delaware	+21%	23	+38%	23
District of Columbia	+22%	18	+36%	27
Florida	+29%	10	+52%	7
Georgia	+32%	5	+59%	3
Hawaii	+22%	18	+36%	27
Idaho	+26%	13	+47%	10
Illinois	+17%	32	+34%	32
Indiana	+7%	50	+23%	47
Iowa	+13%	41	+28%	39
Kansas	+14%	39	+28%	39
Kentucky	+10%	47	+24%	46
Louisiana	+8%	48	+21%	49
Maine	+19%	27	+37%	25
Maryland	+25%	14	+43%	14
Massachusetts	+20%	25	+36%	27
Michigan	+1%	51	+11%	51
Minnesota	+15%	37	+32%	34
Mississippi	+11%	44	+26%	43
Missouri	+17%	32	+34%	32
Montana	+30%	8	+49%	8
Nebraska	+21%	23	+42%	16
Nevada	+40%	1	+66%	2
New Hampshire	+18%	30	+31%	36
New Jersey	+15%	37	+30%	37
New Mexico	+24%	16	+41%	17
New York	+13%	41	+26%	43
North Carolina	+25%	14	+48%	9
North Dakota	+27%	11	+41%	17
Ohio	+8%	48	+22%	48
Oklahoma	+12%	43	+27%	42
Oregon	+19%	27	+38%	23
Pennsylvania	+18%	30	+35%	31
Rhode Island	+22%	18	+41%	17
South Carolina	+19%	27	+39%	21
South Dakota	+24%	16	+43%	14
Tennessee	+22%	18	+46%	11
Texas	+22%	18	+41%	17
Utah	+38%	2	+67%	1
Vermont	+16%	36	+29%	38
Virginia	+30%	8	+53%	5
Washington	+27%	11	+46%	11
West Virginia	+17%	32	+28%	39
Wisconsin	+11%	44	+26%	43
Wyoming	+37%	3	+45%	13
United States	+19%		+38%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: POPULATION PROJECTIONS

	Population, Age 50-64, 2012			Population, Age 50-64, 2032		
	Value (Thousands)	Percent of Total Population	Rank	Value (Thousands)	Percent of Total Population	Rank
Alabama	929	19.4%	27	893	16.4%	22
Alaska	144	19.8%	21	146	15.3%	39
Arizona	1,190	17.1%	49	1,267	13.6%	51
Arkansas	556	18.7%	40	568	16.3%	24
California	6,885	18.0%	44	7,635	16.7%	11
Colorado	1,021	19.4%	27	1,165	16.9%	8
Connecticut	746	21.1%	7	647	16.5%	17
Delaware	178	19.5%	25	176	16.0%	32
District of Columbia	110	17.6%	48	112	14.7%	48
Florida	3,657	19.1%	34	3,873	15.7%	38
Georgia	1,856	17.7%	47	2,288	16.5%	17
Hawaii	250	19.1%	34	256	16.1%	31
Idaho	292	17.9%	45	314	15.3%	39
Illinois	2,525	19.1%	34	2,621	16.9%	8
Indiana	1,268	19.6%	24	1,156	16.7%	11
Iowa	610	20.1%	17	514	15.0%	43
Kansas	550	19.3%	31	482	14.9%	46
Kentucky	869	19.9%	20	800	16.6%	13
Louisiana	860	19.5%	25	777	16.3%	24
Maine	307	23.1%	2	263	16.6%	13
Maryland	1,184	20.0%	19	1,227	16.5%	17
Massachusetts	1,385	20.5%	12	1,397	17.3%	2
Michigan	2,066	21.3%	4	1,621	16.6%	13
Minnesota	1,093	20.2%	15	1,028	16.5%	17
Mississippi	551	18.4%	43	529	15.9%	34
Missouri	1,209	19.7%	23	1,158	16.2%	27
Montana	217	21.3%	4	203	15.3%	39
Nebraska	352	19.0%	38	331	14.7%	48
Nevada	498	17.8%	46	636	16.3%	24
New Hampshire	301	22.4%	3	262	16.6%	13
New Jersey	1,789	20.4%	13	1,733	17.3%	2
New Mexico	391	18.9%	39	387	15.0%	43
New York	3,949	20.2%	15	3,904	17.6%	1
North Carolina	1,839	18.7%	40	1,949	15.9%	34
North Dakota	130	19.3%	31	120	14.1%	50
Ohio	2,392	20.7%	9	2,127	17.1%	6
Oklahoma	703	18.6%	42	671	15.8%	36
Oregon	804	20.4%	13	811	17.3%	2
Pennsylvania	2,669	20.8%	8	2,510	16.5%	17
Rhode Island	220	20.7%	9	210	16.2%	27
South Carolina	910	19.3%	31	909	16.2%	27
South Dakota	165	19.4%	27	156	14.8%	47
Tennessee	1,265	19.4%	27	1,352	17.0%	7
Texas	4,381	17.0%	50	5,011	16.0%	32
Utah	428	14.3%	51	621	15.0%	43
Vermont	144	23.2%	1	114	15.8%	36
Virginia	1,582	19.1%	34	1,764	16.4%	22
Washington	1,388	19.8%	21	1,540	17.3%	2
West Virginia	396	21.3%	4	369	16.9%	8
Wisconsin	1,186	20.6%	11	1,033	16.2%	27
Wyoming	116	20.1%	17	119	15.1%	42
United States	60,506	19.2%		61,753	16.4%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: POPULATION PROJECTIONS

	Population, Age 50-64, 2050			Percent Change in Population, Age 50-64 2012-2032		Percent Change in Population, Age 50-64, 2012-2050	
	Value (Thousands)	Percent of Total Population	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	1,062	16.8%	28	-4%	28	+14%	33
Alaska	182	18.5%	7	+1%	20	+27%	12
Arizona	1,429	12.9%	50	+6%	11	+20%	23
Arkansas	677	16.6%	32	+2%	17	+22%	18
California	8,148	15.3%	46	+11%	7	+18%	25
Colorado	1,303	16.2%	38	+14%	4	+28%	11
Connecticut	828	19.3%	4	-13%	45	+11%	41
Delaware	206	16.4%	36	-1%	24	+16%	29
District of Columbia	97	11.4%	51	+2%	17	-12%	51
Florida	4,788	16.4%	36	+6%	11	+31%	7
Georgia	2,756	16.5%	34	+23%	3	+49%	2
Hawaii	282	15.8%	40	+2%	17	+13%	37
Idaho	394	16.5%	34	+8%	9	+35%	5
Illinois	2,989	16.8%	28	+4%	14	+18%	25
Indiana	1,337	16.8%	28	-9%	41	+5%	45
Iowa	604	15.5%	44	-16%	49	-1%	49
Kansas	572	15.6%	41	-12%	44	+4%	47
Kentucky	902	16.6%	32	-8%	39	+4%	47
Louisiana	963	18.1%	10	-10%	42	+12%	39
Maine	359	19.6%	3	-14%	48	+17%	28
Maryland	1,486	17.5%	20	+4%	14	+26%	14
Massachusetts	1,683	18.3%	9	+1%	20	+22%	18
Michigan	1,953	18.0%	12	-22%	51	-5%	50
Minnesota	1,145	16.1%	39	-6%	34	+5%	45
Mississippi	665	17.6%	18	-4%	28	+21%	22
Missouri	1,405	17.2%	22	-4%	28	+16%	29
Montana	291	19.3%	4	-6%	34	+34%	6
Nebraska	403	15.3%	46	-6%	34	+14%	33
Nevada	726	15.6%	41	+27%	2	+46%	3
New Hampshire	354	20.1%	1	-13%	45	+18%	25
New Jersey	2,032	17.9%	14	-3%	27	+14%	33
New Mexico	452	15.5%	44	-1%	24	+16%	29
New York	4,458	18.1%	10	-1%	24	+13%	37
North Carolina	2,274	15.6%	41	+6%	11	+24%	16
North Dakota	167	17.7%	16	-8%	39	+29%	9
Ohio	2,540	18.0%	12	-11%	43	+6%	44
Oklahoma	805	16.8%	28	-5%	31	+14%	33
Oregon	937	17.1%	23	+1%	20	+16%	29
Pennsylvania	3,261	18.8%	6	-6%	34	+22%	18
Rhode Island	265	17.6%	18	-5%	31	+20%	23
South Carolina	1,114	17.0%	26	0%	23	+22%	18
South Dakota	207	17.1%	23	-5%	31	+26%	14
Tennessee	1,632	17.1%	23	+7%	10	+29%	9
Texas	5,428	15.0%	48	+14%	4	+24%	16
Utah	724	14.4%	49	+45%	1	+69%	1
Vermont	161	20.0%	2	-21%	50	+12%	39
Virginia	2,159	17.0%	26	+12%	6	+36%	4
Washington	1,819	17.8%	15	+11%	7	+31%	7
West Virginia	440	18.5%	7	-7%	38	+11%	41
Wisconsin	1,269	17.5%	20	-13%	45	+7%	43
Wyoming	147	17.7%	16	+3%	16	+27%	12
United States	72,281	16.6%		+2%		+19%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: POPULATION PROJECTIONS

	Population, Age 65+, 2012			Population, Age 65+, 2032		
	Value (Thousands)	Percent of Total Population	Rank	Value (Thousands)	Percent of Total Population	Rank
Alabama	701	14.7%	14	1,095	20.1%	25
Alaska	63	8.7%	51	156	16.4%	49
Arizona	968	13.9%	30	1,800	19.4%	31
Arkansas	446	15.0%	10	687	19.7%	28
California	4,556	11.9%	45	8,662	19.0%	38
Colorado	604	11.5%	47	1,268	18.4%	43
Connecticut	522	14.8%	12	884	22.6%	6
Delaware	139	15.2%	7	233	21.1%	11
District of Columbia	75	11.9%	45	116	15.2%	50
Florida	3,445	18.0%	1	5,674	22.9%	5
Georgia	1,147	10.9%	48	2,352	17.0%	48
Hawaii	206	15.8%	6	331	20.7%	19
Idaho	207	12.7%	44	370	18.1%	45
Illinois	1,696	12.8%	40	2,890	18.7%	40
Indiana	887	13.7%	31	1,443	20.8%	14
Iowa	463	15.2%	7	710	20.8%	14
Kansas	391	13.7%	31	645	19.9%	26
Kentucky	619	14.2%	23	1,002	20.8%	14
Louisiana	600	13.6%	34	964	20.2%	24
Maine	223	16.8%	2	371	23.4%	3
Maryland	766	12.9%	38	1,440	19.4%	31
Massachusetts	959	14.2%	23	1,690	20.9%	13
Michigan	1,436	14.8%	12	2,289	23.4%	3
Minnesota	720	13.3%	37	1,322	21.2%	10
Mississippi	406	13.6%	34	641	19.3%	35
Missouri	883	14.4%	20	1,453	20.3%	23
Montana	154	15.2%	7	263	19.8%	27
Nebraska	253	13.7%	31	417	18.6%	41
Nevada	359	12.8%	40	746	19.1%	37
New Hampshire	197	14.6%	17	372	23.5%	2
New Jersey	1,258	14.3%	22	2,157	21.5%	9
New Mexico	289	14.0%	29	498	19.4%	31
New York	2,782	14.2%	23	4,525	20.4%	22
North Carolina	1,321	13.4%	36	2,331	19.0%	38
North Dakota	98	14.6%	17	156	18.3%	44
Ohio	1,703	14.7%	14	2,685	21.6%	8
Oklahoma	535	14.2%	23	836	19.7%	28
Oregon	569	14.4%	20	970	20.7%	19
Pennsylvania	2,036	15.9%	4	3,156	20.8%	14
Rhode Island	160	15.0%	10	270	20.8%	14
South Carolina	693	14.7%	14	1,155	20.5%	21
South Dakota	124	14.6%	17	204	19.3%	35
Tennessee	924	14.2%	23	1,559	19.6%	30
Texas	2,823	10.9%	48	5,490	17.5%	46
Utah	276	9.2%	50	552	13.3%	51
Vermont	99	15.9%	4	172	23.8%	1
Virginia	1,065	12.9%	38	2,004	18.6%	41
Washington	900	12.8%	40	1,731	19.4%	31
West Virginia	307	16.5%	3	457	21.0%	12
Wisconsin	813	14.2%	23	1,402	21.9%	7
Wyoming	73	12.8%	40	135	17.2%	47
United States	42,941	13.6%		74,728	19.8%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: POPULATION PROJECTIONS

	Population, Age 65+, 2050			Percent Change in Population, Age 65+, 2012-2032		Percent Change in Population, Age 65+, 2012-2050	
	Value (Thousands)	Percent of Total Population	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	1,240	19.6%	37	+56%	45	+77%	44
Alaska	196	19.9%	33	+147%	1	+210%	1
Arizona	2,161	19.5%	38	+86%	12	+123%	13
Arkansas	810	19.9%	33	+54%	49	+82%	35
California	10,866	20.4%	21	+90%	8	+138%	9
Colorado	1,649	20.5%	20	+110%	2	+173%	5
Connecticut	937	21.8%	5	+69%	25	+79%	40
Delaware	270	21.4%	10	+68%	27	+94%	25
District of Columbia	125	14.8%	51	+56%	45	+68%	48
Florida	6,805	23.3%	1	+65%	31	+98%	23
Georgia	3,163	18.9%	47	+105%	4	+176%	4
Hawaii	394	22.1%	3	+60%	40	+91%	27
Idaho	466	19.5%	38	+79%	15	+125%	12
Illinois	3,458	19.4%	43	+70%	22	+104%	19
Indiana	1,586	20.0%	31	+63%	36	+79%	40
Iowa	740	19.0%	46	+53%	50	+60%	50
Kansas	708	19.3%	44	+65%	31	+81%	37
Kentucky	1,099	20.2%	28	+62%	38	+78%	42
Louisiana	1,080	20.3%	25	+61%	39	+80%	38
Maine	397	21.7%	8	+66%	30	+78%	42
Maryland	1,735	20.4%	21	+88%	10	+127%	10
Massachusetts	1,979	21.5%	9	+76%	16	+106%	16
Michigan	2,265	20.9%	16	+59%	41	+58%	51
Minnesota	1,511	21.3%	12	+84%	13	+110%	15
Mississippi	737	19.5%	38	+58%	43	+82%	35
Missouri	1,661	20.3%	25	+65%	31	+88%	31
Montana	307	20.3%	25	+70%	22	+99%	22
Nebraska	479	18.2%	49	+65%	31	+89%	30
Nevada	1,017	21.9%	4	+107%	3	+183%	3
New Hampshire	401	22.7%	2	+89%	9	+104%	19
New Jersey	2,437	21.4%	10	+72%	20	+94%	25
New Mexico	584	20.0%	31	+73%	19	+102%	21
New York	5,155	21.0%	14	+63%	36	+85%	33
North Carolina	2,811	19.3%	44	+76%	16	+113%	14
North Dakota	179	18.9%	47	+59%	41	+83%	34
Ohio	2,900	20.6%	18	+58%	43	+70%	47
Oklahoma	965	20.2%	28	+56%	45	+80%	38
Oregon	1,166	21.3%	12	+70%	22	+105%	18
Pennsylvania	3,528	20.4%	21	+55%	48	+73%	46
Rhode Island	306	20.4%	21	+68%	27	+91%	27
South Carolina	1,354	20.6%	18	+67%	29	+95%	24
South Dakota	237	19.5%	38	+64%	35	+91%	27
Tennessee	1,903	19.9%	33	+69%	25	+106%	16
Texas	7,074	19.5%	38	+94%	6	+151%	6
Utah	855	17.1%	50	+100%	5	+210%	1
Vermont	175	21.8%	5	+74%	18	+77%	44
Virginia	2,543	20.1%	30	+88%	10	+139%	8
Washington	2,223	21.8%	5	+92%	7	+147%	7
West Virginia	497	20.8%	17	+49%	51	+62%	49
Wisconsin	1,521	21.0%	14	+72%	20	+87%	32
Wyoming	166	19.9%	33	+84%	13	+126%	11
United States	88,820	20.4%		+74%		+107%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: POPULATION PROJECTIONS

	Population, Age 65-74, 2012			Population, Age 65-74, 2032		
	Value (Thousands)	Percent of Total Population	Rank	Value (Thousands)	Percent of Total Population	Rank
Alabama	385	8.0%	12	549	10.1%	22
Alaska	41	5.6%	50	83	8.7%	48
Arizona	528	7.6%	24	865	9.3%	43
Arkansas	245	8.2%	8	342	9.8%	29
California	2,471	6.5%	47	4,514	9.9%	26
Colorado	348	6.6%	46	661	9.6%	36
Connecticut	277	7.8%	18	463	11.8%	2
Delaware	77	8.4%	5	117	10.6%	14
District of Columbia	42	6.8%	45	63	8.3%	50
Florida	1,761	9.2%	1	2,721	11.0%	10
Georgia	671	6.4%	48	1,260	9.1%	44
Hawaii	103	7.9%	15	151	9.5%	37
Idaho	116	7.1%	39	182	8.9%	47
Illinois	915	6.9%	44	1,530	9.9%	26
Indiana	481	7.4%	34	735	10.6%	14
Iowa	234	7.7%	21	354	10.4%	18
Kansas	204	7.1%	39	319	9.8%	29
Kentucky	347	7.9%	15	508	10.6%	14
Louisiana	331	7.5%	28	484	10.1%	22
Maine	121	9.1%	2	183	11.6%	5
Maryland	428	7.2%	37	760	10.2%	20
Massachusetts	508	7.5%	28	900	11.1%	8
Michigan	785	8.1%	9	1,156	11.8%	2
Minnesota	386	7.1%	39	691	11.1%	8
Mississippi	223	7.5%	28	322	9.7%	33
Missouri	477	7.8%	18	738	10.3%	19
Montana	85	8.3%	6	126	9.5%	37
Nebraska	130	7.0%	43	210	9.4%	40
Nevada	214	7.6%	24	366	9.4%	40
New Hampshire	109	8.1%	9	194	12.3%	1
New Jersey	672	7.7%	21	1,142	11.4%	6
New Mexico	158	7.6%	24	241	9.4%	40
New York	1,491	7.6%	24	2,408	10.9%	11
North Carolina	743	7.5%	28	1,185	9.7%	33
North Dakota	48	7.1%	39	78	9.1%	44
Ohio	907	7.9%	15	1,362	10.9%	11
Oklahoma	291	7.7%	21	411	9.7%	33
Oregon	318	8.0%	12	468	10.0%	25
Pennsylvania	1,036	8.1%	9	1,613	10.6%	14
Rhode Island	83	7.8%	18	140	10.8%	13
South Carolina	393	8.3%	6	565	10.1%	22
South Dakota	63	7.5%	28	100	9.5%	37
Tennessee	520	8.0%	12	788	9.9%	26
Texas	1,577	6.1%	49	2,854	9.1%	44
Utah	153	5.1%	51	289	7.0%	51
Vermont	55	8.9%	4	85	11.8%	2
Virginia	603	7.3%	35	1,048	9.8%	29
Washington	511	7.3%	35	878	9.8%	29
West Virginia	167	9.0%	3	223	10.2%	20
Wisconsin	431	7.5%	28	722	11.3%	7
Wyoming	41	7.2%	37	67	8.6%	49
United States	23,305	7.4%		38,215	10.1%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: POPULATION PROJECTIONS

	Population, Age 65-74, 2050			Percent Change in Population, Age 65-74, 2012-2032		Percent Change in Population, Age 65-74, 2012-2050	
	Value (Thousands)	Percent of Total Population	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	563	8.9%	37	+43%	48	+46%	41
Alaska	96	9.8%	2	+103%	1	+136%	2
Arizona	833	7.5%	50	+64%	19	+58%	27
Arkansas	378	9.3%	18	+39%	50	+54%	31
California	4,756	8.9%	37	+83%	5	+92%	9
Colorado	752	9.4%	13	+90%	2	+116%	4
Connecticut	385	9.0%	33	+67%	16	+39%	46
Delaware	118	9.4%	13	+52%	33	+54%	31
District of Columbia	53	6.3%	51	+49%	39	+26%	50
Florida	2,859	9.8%	2	+54%	30	+62%	25
Georgia	1,461	8.7%	41	+88%	4	+118%	3
Hawaii	174	9.8%	2	+47%	41	+69%	19
Idaho	217	9.1%	26	+57%	26	+87%	11
Illinois	1,596	9.0%	33	+67%	16	+74%	14
Indiana	698	8.8%	40	+53%	31	+45%	42
Iowa	313	8.0%	49	+51%	35	+34%	48
Kansas	305	8.3%	47	+57%	26	+50%	40
Kentucky	492	9.1%	26	+46%	44	+42%	44
Louisiana	509	9.6%	8	+46%	44	+54%	31
Maine	172	9.4%	13	+51%	35	+42%	44
Maryland	772	9.1%	26	+77%	9	+80%	12
Massachusetts	876	9.5%	11	+77%	9	+72%	15
Michigan	934	8.6%	45	+47%	41	+19%	51
Minnesota	659	9.3%	18	+79%	7	+71%	17
Mississippi	344	9.1%	26	+44%	46	+54%	31
Missouri	751	9.2%	20	+55%	29	+57%	29
Montana	145	9.6%	8	+49%	39	+71%	17
Nebraska	215	8.2%	48	+62%	22	+66%	22
Nevada	449	9.7%	6	+71%	13	+110%	5
New Hampshire	166	9.4%	13	+78%	8	+52%	38
New Jersey	1,042	9.2%	20	+70%	14	+55%	30
New Mexico	261	8.9%	37	+52%	33	+65%	23
New York	2,377	9.7%	6	+61%	23	+59%	26
North Carolina	1,226	8.4%	46	+60%	24	+65%	23
North Dakota	85	9.0%	33	+63%	20	+78%	13
Ohio	1,300	9.2%	20	+50%	38	+43%	43
Oklahoma	448	9.4%	13	+41%	49	+54%	31
Oregon	535	9.8%	2	+47%	41	+68%	21
Pennsylvania	1,591	9.2%	20	+56%	28	+54%	31
Rhode Island	130	8.7%	41	+69%	15	+58%	27
South Carolina	607	9.2%	20	+44%	46	+54%	31
South Dakota	109	9.0%	33	+58%	25	+72%	15
Tennessee	877	9.2%	20	+51%	35	+69%	19
Texas	3,159	8.7%	41	+81%	6	+100%	7
Utah	434	8.7%	41	+89%	3	+184%	1
Vermont	73	9.1%	26	+53%	31	+32%	49
Virginia	1,153	9.1%	26	+74%	11	+91%	10
Washington	1,035	10.1%	1	+72%	12	+103%	6
West Virginia	228	9.5%	11	+34%	51	+37%	47
Wisconsin	656	9.1%	26	+67%	16	+52%	38
Wyoming	80	9.6%	8	+63%	20	+94%	8
United States	39,451	9.1%		+64%		+69%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: POPULATION PROJECTIONS

	Population, Age 75-84, 2012			Population, Age 75-84, 2032		
	Value (Thousands)	Percent of Total Population	Rank	Value (Thousands)	Percent of Total Population	Rank
Alabama	221	4.6%	10	380	7.0%	21
Alaska	16	2.3%	51	55	5.8%	48
Arizona	301	4.3%	26	648	7.0%	21
Arkansas	137	4.6%	10	239	6.9%	28
California	1,391	3.6%	45	2,912	6.4%	41
Colorado	177	3.4%	46	439	6.4%	41
Connecticut	159	4.5%	16	292	7.5%	9
Delaware	43	4.7%	7	80	7.3%	12
District of Columbia	21	3.4%	46	38	5.0%	50
Florida	1,103	5.8%	1	2,011	8.1%	4
Georgia	335	3.2%	49	778	5.6%	49
Hawaii	65	4.9%	5	118	7.4%	11
Idaho	62	3.8%	41	133	6.5%	39
Illinois	521	3.9%	38	968	6.2%	45
Indiana	273	4.2%	32	499	7.2%	17
Iowa	146	4.8%	6	250	7.3%	12
Kansas	122	4.3%	26	230	7.1%	20
Kentucky	189	4.3%	26	350	7.3%	12
Louisiana	186	4.2%	32	336	7.0%	21
Maine	69	5.2%	2	132	8.3%	2
Maryland	231	3.9%	38	475	6.4%	41
Massachusetts	294	4.3%	26	562	6.9%	28
Michigan	440	4.5%	16	800	8.2%	3
Minnesota	218	4.0%	37	452	7.3%	12
Mississippi	126	4.2%	32	224	6.7%	35
Missouri	272	4.4%	18	502	7.0%	21
Montana	47	4.6%	10	97	7.3%	12
Nebraska	81	4.4%	18	147	6.6%	37
Nevada	105	3.8%	41	264	6.8%	32
New Hampshire	59	4.4%	18	127	8.0%	5
New Jersey	390	4.4%	18	707	7.0%	21
New Mexico	90	4.3%	26	178	6.9%	28
New York	860	4.4%	18	1,490	6.7%	35
North Carolina	402	4.1%	36	800	6.5%	39
North Dakota	32	4.7%	7	56	6.6%	37
Ohio	536	4.6%	10	936	7.5%	9
Oklahoma	167	4.4%	18	294	6.9%	28
Oregon	167	4.2%	32	356	7.6%	6
Pennsylvania	650	5.1%	4	1,088	7.2%	17
Rhode Island	49	4.6%	10	91	7.0%	21
South Carolina	209	4.4%	18	408	7.2%	17
South Dakota	39	4.6%	10	73	7.0%	21
Tennessee	281	4.3%	26	538	6.8%	32
Texas	859	3.3%	48	1,860	5.9%	47
Utah	85	2.8%	50	190	4.6%	51
Vermont	29	4.7%	7	62	8.6%	1
Virginia	320	3.9%	38	671	6.2%	45
Washington	261	3.7%	44	609	6.8%	32
West Virginia	97	5.2%	2	167	7.6%	6
Wisconsin	253	4.4%	18	485	7.6%	6
Wyoming	22	3.8%	41	50	6.3%	44
United States	13,210	4.2%		25,647	6.8%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: POPULATION PROJECTIONS

	Population, Age 75-84, 2050			Percent Change in Population, Age 75-84, 2012-2032		Percent Change in Population, Age 75-84, 2012-2050	
	Value (Thousands)	Percent of Total Population	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	394	6.2%	33	+72%	48	+79%	41
Alaska	55	5.5%	49	+232%	1	+231%	1
Arizona	770	6.9%	7	+115%	9	+156%	8
Arkansas	253	6.2%	33	+75%	45	+85%	36
California	3,545	6.7%	12	+109%	15	+155%	9
Colorado	526	6.5%	22	+148%	3	+197%	5
Connecticut	305	7.1%	4	+84%	32	+92%	28
Delaware	87	6.9%	7	+87%	27	+101%	25
District of Columbia	47	5.5%	49	+78%	41	+119%	16
Florida	2,254	7.7%	1	+82%	36	+104%	23
Georgia	1,029	6.2%	33	+132%	5	+207%	3
Hawaii	117	6.6%	18	+82%	36	+82%	38
Idaho	144	6.0%	40	+115%	9	+133%	12
Illinois	1,103	6.2%	33	+86%	29	+112%	21
Indiana	508	6.4%	25	+83%	34	+86%	35
Iowa	237	6.1%	37	+71%	50	+62%	51
Kansas	221	6.0%	40	+89%	26	+82%	38
Kentucky	353	6.5%	22	+86%	29	+87%	34
Louisiana	319	6.0%	40	+81%	39	+72%	45
Maine	124	6.8%	11	+90%	25	+79%	41
Maryland	543	6.4%	25	+106%	17	+135%	11
Massachusetts	644	7.0%	5	+91%	23	+119%	16
Michigan	742	6.9%	7	+82%	36	+68%	48
Minnesota	476	6.7%	12	+107%	16	+118%	19
Mississippi	228	6.0%	40	+78%	41	+81%	40
Missouri	518	6.3%	30	+84%	32	+90%	29
Montana	89	5.9%	44	+106%	17	+89%	31
Nebraska	149	5.7%	47	+83%	34	+85%	36
Nevada	335	7.2%	3	+151%	2	+218%	2
New Hampshire	131	7.4%	2	+114%	11	+120%	14
New Jersey	797	7.0%	5	+81%	39	+104%	23
New Mexico	178	6.1%	37	+98%	20	+98%	27
New York	1,630	6.6%	18	+73%	47	+89%	31
North Carolina	934	6.4%	25	+99%	19	+132%	13
North Dakota	53	5.6%	48	+77%	43	+66%	49
Ohio	912	6.5%	22	+75%	45	+70%	47
Oklahoma	292	6.1%	37	+77%	43	+75%	44
Oregon	366	6.7%	12	+113%	12	+119%	16
Pennsylvania	1,113	6.4%	25	+67%	51	+71%	46
Rhode Island	99	6.6%	18	+86%	29	+101%	25
South Carolina	431	6.6%	18	+95%	21	+106%	22
South Dakota	70	5.8%	45	+87%	27	+79%	41
Tennessee	605	6.3%	30	+91%	23	+115%	20
Texas	2,296	6.3%	30	+117%	8	+167%	6
Utah	260	5.2%	51	+122%	7	+205%	4
Vermont	55	6.9%	7	+111%	13	+89%	31
Virginia	813	6.4%	25	+110%	14	+154%	10
Washington	687	6.7%	12	+133%	4	+164%	7
West Virginia	161	6.7%	12	+72%	48	+66%	49
Wisconsin	481	6.7%	12	+92%	22	+90%	29
Wyoming	49	5.8%	45	+125%	6	+120%	14
United States	28,527	6.6%		+94%		+116%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: POPULATION PROJECTIONS

	Population, Age 85+, 2012			Population, Age 85+, 2032		
	Value (Thousands)	Percent of Total Population	Rank	Value (Thousands)	Percent of Total Population	Rank
Alabama	96	2.0%	28	166	3.1%	10
Alaska	6	0.8%	51	18	1.9%	49
Arizona	140	2.0%	28	287	3.1%	10
Arkansas	64	2.2%	16	106	3.0%	20
California	694	1.8%	38	1,236	2.7%	38
Colorado	79	1.5%	46	168	2.4%	46
Connecticut	86	2.4%	8	128	3.3%	6
Delaware	19	2.1%	23	36	3.3%	6
District of Columbia	11	1.7%	44	15	1.9%	49
Florida	581	3.0%	1	942	3.8%	2
Georgia	142	1.4%	49	313	2.3%	47
Hawaii	39	3.0%	1	62	3.9%	1
Idaho	29	1.8%	38	55	2.7%	38
Illinois	260	2.0%	28	392	2.5%	43
Indiana	133	2.1%	23	209	3.0%	20
Iowa	82	2.7%	3	105	3.1%	10
Kansas	66	2.3%	10	96	3.0%	20
Kentucky	83	1.9%	33	144	3.0%	20
Louisiana	83	1.9%	33	143	3.0%	20
Maine	33	2.4%	8	56	3.5%	3
Maryland	106	1.8%	38	205	2.8%	34
Massachusetts	157	2.3%	10	228	2.8%	34
Michigan	211	2.2%	16	333	3.4%	5
Minnesota	116	2.1%	23	179	2.9%	30
Mississippi	57	1.9%	33	95	2.9%	30
Missouri	133	2.2%	16	213	3.0%	20
Montana	23	2.2%	16	39	3.0%	20
Nebraska	43	2.3%	10	59	2.6%	42
Nevada	41	1.5%	46	116	3.0%	20
New Hampshire	28	2.1%	23	50	3.2%	8
New Jersey	195	2.2%	16	308	3.1%	10
New Mexico	41	2.0%	28	79	3.1%	10
New York	431	2.2%	16	627	2.8%	34
North Carolina	176	1.8%	38	347	2.8%	34
North Dakota	18	2.7%	3	21	2.5%	43
Ohio	260	2.3%	10	388	3.1%	10
Oklahoma	77	2.0%	28	131	3.1%	10
Oregon	84	2.1%	23	145	3.1%	10
Pennsylvania	349	2.7%	3	455	3.0%	20
Rhode Island	29	2.7%	3	39	3.0%	20
South Carolina	90	1.9%	33	182	3.2%	8
South Dakota	22	2.6%	7	30	2.9%	30
Tennessee	122	1.9%	33	233	2.9%	30
Texas	387	1.5%	46	776	2.5%	43
Utah	38	1.3%	50	73	1.8%	51
Vermont	14	2.3%	10	25	3.5%	3
Virginia	142	1.7%	44	285	2.7%	38
Washington	128	1.8%	38	244	2.7%	38
West Virginia	44	2.3%	10	68	3.1%	10
Wisconsin	129	2.2%	16	195	3.1%	10
Wyoming	10	1.8%	38	18	2.3%	47
United States	6,426	2.0%		10,867	2.9%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: POPULATION PROJECTIONS

	Population, Age 85+, 2050			Percent Change in Population, Age 85+, 2012-2032		Percent Change in Population, Age 85+, 2012-2050	
	Value (Thousands)	Percent of Total Population	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	283	4.5%	38	+74%	21	+196%	29
Alaska	45	4.6%	34	+207%	1	+650%	1
Arizona	559	5.0%	13	+105%	5	+299%	8
Arkansas	178	4.4%	41	+65%	29	+178%	39
California	2,564	4.8%	22	+78%	18	+270%	11
Colorado	371	4.6%	34	+112%	4	+369%	4
Connecticut	248	5.8%	2	+49%	40	+188%	36
Delaware	65	5.2%	11	+91%	13	+243%	19
District of Columbia	25	2.9%	51	+37%	47	+132%	49
Florida	1,692	5.8%	2	+62%	30	+191%	34
Georgia	674	4.0%	49	+121%	3	+375%	3
Hawaii	103	5.8%	2	+59%	32	+163%	46
Idaho	105	4.4%	41	+90%	15	+264%	15
Illinois	759	4.3%	47	+51%	39	+192%	32
Indiana	379	4.8%	22	+57%	35	+185%	37
Iowa	190	4.9%	18	+28%	50	+131%	50
Kansas	182	5.0%	13	+46%	42	+176%	40
Kentucky	253	4.7%	30	+74%	21	+204%	27
Louisiana	252	4.7%	30	+74%	21	+205%	26
Maine	101	5.5%	6	+71%	26	+211%	24
Maryland	419	4.9%	18	+92%	12	+294%	9
Massachusetts	459	5.0%	13	+46%	42	+193%	31
Michigan	588	5.4%	7	+58%	33	+179%	38
Minnesota	376	5.3%	8	+55%	36	+224%	21
Mississippi	165	4.4%	41	+67%	28	+189%	35
Missouri	392	4.8%	22	+60%	31	+194%	30
Montana	73	4.8%	22	+73%	24	+222%	22
Nebraska	114	4.3%	47	+38%	46	+167%	42
Nevada	234	5.0%	13	+184%	2	+474%	2
New Hampshire	104	5.9%	1	+77%	19	+267%	13
New Jersey	597	5.3%	8	+58%	33	+206%	25
New Mexico	145	5.0%	13	+94%	10	+255%	16
New York	1,148	4.7%	30	+46%	42	+167%	42
North Carolina	651	4.5%	38	+97%	9	+269%	12
North Dakota	42	4.4%	41	+17%	51	+127%	51
Ohio	688	4.9%	18	+49%	40	+165%	45
Oklahoma	226	4.7%	30	+70%	27	+192%	32
Oregon	264	4.8%	22	+73%	24	+214%	23
Pennsylvania	824	4.8%	22	+30%	49	+136%	48
Rhode Island	77	5.1%	12	+37%	47	+170%	41
South Carolina	316	4.8%	22	+101%	6	+250%	17
South Dakota	58	4.8%	22	+39%	45	+166%	44
Tennessee	421	4.4%	41	+91%	13	+245%	18
Texas	1,619	4.5%	38	+100%	8	+318%	6
Utah	160	3.2%	50	+94%	10	+323%	5
Vermont	47	5.8%	2	+77%	19	+230%	20
Virginia	578	4.6%	34	+101%	6	+307%	7
Washington	501	4.9%	18	+90%	15	+290%	10
West Virginia	109	4.6%	34	+55%	36	+150%	47
Wisconsin	384	5.3%	8	+52%	38	+199%	28
Wyoming	37	4.4%	41	+82%	17	+266%	14
United States	20,842	4.8%		+69%		+224%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: RACE & ETHNICITY

	People of Color, Age 65+, 2010		Asian/Pacific Islander, Age 65+, 2010		Black, Age 65+, 2010		Hispanic, Age 65+, 2010	
	Value	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	19.6%	18	0.5%	40	17.6%	7	0.6%	46
Alaska	25.5%	10	6.3%	4	1.9%	35	2.1%	24
Arizona	17.4%	20	1.8%	17	1.9%	35	11.0%	5
Arkansas	11.3%	28	0.3%	47	9.3%	16	0.8%	42
California	38.1%	4	13.6%	2	5.2%	25	17.7%	3
Colorado	15.5%	22	1.8%	17	2.6%	31	9.9%	6
Connecticut	12.6%	25	1.6%	21	6.2%	22	4.3%	11
Delaware	17.1%	21	1.8%	17	12.4%	10	1.9%	25
District of Columbia	69.4%	2	2.0%	14	61.9%	1	4.0%	13
Florida	22.9%	14	1.3%	22	7.7%	19	13.5%	4
Georgia	25.0%	11	1.9%	15	20.5%	5	1.9%	25
Hawaii	75.8%	1	63.6%	1	0.6%	43	3.4%	15
Idaho	5.9%	40	0.9%	29	0.1%	48	3.2%	16
Illinois	20.2%	17	3.3%	10	11.2%	12	5.2%	10
Indiana	8.5%	35	0.5%	40	5.8%	24	1.5%	30
Iowa	2.5%	49	0.4%	45	0.9%	41	0.7%	43
Kansas	8.8%	33	1.0%	27	3.9%	28	2.6%	19
Kentucky	6.5%	38	0.5%	40	4.7%	26	0.6%	46
Louisiana	26.4%	8	0.9%	29	22.3%	3	2.3%	22
Maine	1.6%	51	0.2%	49	0.2%	47	0.5%	51
Maryland	28.8%	6	4.2%	8	21.4%	4	2.3%	22
Massachusetts	10.4%	30	2.8%	11	3.9%	28	3.0%	18
Michigan	13.5%	24	1.2%	24	9.7%	14	1.5%	30
Minnesota	5.0%	43	1.7%	20	1.5%	38	0.9%	40
Mississippi	26.0%	9	0.4%	45	24.4%	2	0.6%	46
Missouri	10.2%	31	0.8%	33	7.4%	20	1.1%	36
Montana	5.0%	43	0.5%	40	0.1%	48	0.9%	40
Nebraska	5.1%	42	0.5%	40	2.1%	33	1.9%	25
Nevada	23.8%	12	7.4%	3	6.0%	23	8.8%	8
New Hampshire	3.0%	48	0.6%	38	0.3%	45	1.2%	35
New Jersey	23.2%	13	4.8%	7	9.7%	14	8.1%	9
New Mexico	39.6%	3	0.9%	29	1.5%	38	31.4%	1
New York	27.0%	7	5.0%	6	12.1%	11	9.6%	7
North Carolina	19.3%	19	0.9%	29	15.8%	8	1.3%	33
North Dakota	3.2%	47	0.0%	50	0.0%	50	0.7%	43
Ohio	10.9%	29	0.8%	33	8.5%	17	1.0%	37
Oklahoma	14.8%	23	1.1%	25	4.3%	27	1.7%	29
Oregon	7.2%	37	2.2%	13	0.9%	41	2.4%	21
Pennsylvania	10.0%	32	1.3%	22	6.7%	21	1.5%	30
Rhode Island	8.6%	34	1.1%	25	2.5%	32	3.8%	14
South Carolina	22.2%	15	1.0%	27	19.7%	6	1.0%	37
South Dakota	4.9%	45	0.0%	50	0.0%	50	0.7%	43
Tennessee	12.4%	26	0.7%	35	10.1%	13	1.0%	37
Texas	32.5%	5	2.6%	12	8.5%	17	20.6%	2
Utah	7.5%	36	1.9%	15	0.5%	44	4.2%	12
Vermont	2.0%	50	0.7%	35	0.3%	45	0.6%	46
Virginia	21.2%	16	3.5%	9	15.0%	9	1.9%	25
Washington	11.7%	27	5.4%	5	1.8%	37	2.6%	19
West Virginia	3.7%	46	0.3%	47	2.1%	33	0.6%	46
Wisconsin	5.5%	41	0.7%	35	2.7%	30	1.3%	33
Wyoming	6.3%	39	0.6%	38	1.2%	40	3.2%	16
United States	20.1%		3.6%		8.5%		6.9%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: LIVING ARRANGEMENTS

	Men per 100 Women Age 85+, 2010		People Age 75+ Living Alone, 2010		People Age 60+ with Grandchildren in Household, 2010	
	Value	Rank	Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Alabama	43	45	34%	28	5.5%	14
Alaska	54	9	30%	43	6.8%	6
Arizona	58	2	30%	43	5.2%	18
Arkansas	47	23	35%	17	4.3%	28
California	54	9	30%	43	9.1%	2
Colorado	50	14	35%	17	4.8%	23
Connecticut	47	23	35%	17	5.2%	18
Delaware	48	19	32%	39	4.4%	27
District of Columbia	43	45	45%	1	5.3%	17
Florida	57	5	30%	43	5.2%	18
Georgia	41	51	32%	39	6.7%	7
Hawaii	60	1	22%	51	11.4%	1
Idaho	56	6	32%	39	4.2%	31
Illinois	45	39	35%	17	5.4%	16
Indiana	45	39	35%	17	3.8%	38
Iowa	46	31	38%	5	2.1%	48
Kansas	48	19	35%	17	3.6%	40
Kentucky	44	43	37%	9	3.9%	32
Louisiana	47	23	34%	28	6.2%	11
Maine	47	23	33%	35	2.4%	45
Maryland	46	31	33%	35	6.6%	9
Massachusetts	45	39	35%	17	4.8%	23
Michigan	47	23	36%	11	3.9%	32
Minnesota	47	23	38%	5	2.4%	45
Mississippi	42	50	32%	39	6.3%	10
Missouri	46	31	36%	11	3.9%	32
Montana	54	9	38%	5	2.8%	42
Nebraska	48	19	36%	11	2.6%	44
Nevada	58	2	30%	43	7.2%	4
New Hampshire	48	19	34%	28	3.3%	41
New Jersey	46	31	34%	28	6.7%	7
New Mexico	55	7	29%	49	5.5%	14
New York	46	31	35%	17	6.2%	11
North Carolina	43	45	34%	28	4.6%	26
North Dakota	49	15	37%	9	1.4%	51
Ohio	46	31	36%	11	3.9%	32
Oklahoma	49	15	35%	17	3.9%	32
Oregon	52	12	35%	17	3.9%	32
Pennsylvania	45	39	36%	11	3.8%	38
Rhode Island	44	43	39%	3	4.7%	25
South Carolina	43	45	34%	28	4.9%	22
South Dakota	49	15	40%	2	1.6%	50
Tennessee	43	45	33%	35	5.0%	21
Texas	49	15	30%	43	7.4%	3
Utah	58	2	29%	49	6.9%	5
Vermont	47	23	36%	11	2.0%	49
Virginia	46	31	33%	35	5.9%	13
Washington	52	12	34%	28	4.3%	28
West Virginia	47	23	38%	5	4.3%	28
Wisconsin	46	31	39%	3	2.4%	45
Wyoming	55	7	35%	17	2.8%	42
United States	48		34%		5.5%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: LIVING ARRANGEMENTS

	People Age 60+ Responsible for Raising Grandchildren, 2010		Percent of Age 65+ Households with Someone Under Age 18, 2010	
	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	2.5%	3	6.6%	17
Alaska	2.1%	8	11.0%	3
Arizona	1.8%	17	6.8%	16
Arkansas	2.1%	8	4.9%	33
California	1.9%	13	11.8%	2
Colorado	1.6%	24	5.4%	29
Connecticut	1.2%	36	6.4%	19
Delaware	1.7%	22	5.8%	25
District of Columbia	1.9%	13	7.6%	15
Florida	1.4%	26	6.2%	22
Georgia	2.3%	4	8.8%	7
Hawaii	1.8%	17	16.4%	1
Idaho	1.8%	17	5.3%	30
Illinois	1.3%	30	6.4%	19
Indiana	1.4%	26	4.1%	41
Iowa	1.0%	41	2.1%	51
Kansas	1.3%	30	4.2%	40
Kentucky	1.9%	13	4.9%	33
Louisiana	3.0%	1	8.4%	9
Maine	0.7%	46	3.7%	43
Maryland	1.6%	24	9.1%	6
Massachusetts	0.8%	44	6.5%	18
Michigan	1.2%	36	5.3%	30
Minnesota	0.8%	44	2.9%	47
Mississippi	3.0%	1	8.3%	11
Missouri	1.4%	26	4.5%	38
Montana	1.2%	36	3.5%	44
Nebraska	1.3%	30	4.4%	39
Nevada	2.2%	5	9.6%	4
New Hampshire	0.6%	49	4.0%	42
New Jersey	1.2%	36	8.4%	9
New Mexico	2.1%	8	8.0%	12
New York	1.3%	30	8.7%	8
North Carolina	1.8%	17	5.5%	26
North Dakota	0.6%	49	2.8%	49
Ohio	1.3%	30	4.8%	35
Oklahoma	1.7%	22	4.8%	35
Oregon	1.4%	26	5.5%	26
Pennsylvania	1.0%	41	4.8%	35
Rhode Island	1.3%	30	6.0%	24
South Carolina	2.1%	8	6.2%	22
South Dakota	0.7%	46	2.2%	50
Tennessee	2.0%	12	6.3%	21
Texas	2.2%	5	9.4%	5
Utah	2.2%	5	7.8%	13
Vermont	0.6%	49	3.3%	45
Virginia	1.9%	13	7.7%	14
Washington	1.1%	40	5.5%	26
West Virginia	1.8%	17	5.1%	32
Wisconsin	0.7%	46	2.9%	47
Wyoming	0.9%	43	3.0%	46
United States	1.6%		7.0%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: INCOME & POVERTY

	Median Household Income Age 65+, 2010		Percent of Age 65+ At/Below Poverty Level, 2010		Percent of Age 65+ At/Below 250% of Poverty Level, 2010	
	Value	Rank	Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Alabama	\$30,763	42	10.7%	9	48%	6
Alaska	\$43,987	3	5.7%	51	31%	50
Arizona	\$37,134	14	7.7%	31	39%	37
Arkansas	\$29,452	48	10.2%	12	49%	5
California	\$40,255	8	9.7%	17	40%	35
Colorado	\$37,684	13	8.1%	26	37%	40
Connecticut	\$39,235	10	6.6%	48	34%	46
Delaware	\$40,301	7	7.7%	31	35%	44
District of Columbia	\$41,128	5	13.1%	1	35%	44
Florida	\$35,024	17	9.9%	13	43%	21
Georgia	\$32,183	34	10.7%	9	45%	12
Hawaii	\$51,293	1	6.8%	43	28%	51
Idaho	\$33,725	25	7.9%	28	42%	23
Illinois	\$34,673	19	8.4%	23	41%	30
Indiana	\$32,172	35	6.8%	43	43%	21
Iowa	\$32,487	31	6.7%	47	42%	23
Kansas	\$34,653	20	7.7%	31	40%	35
Kentucky	\$27,920	49	11.2%	6	51%	3
Louisiana	\$29,788	47	11.5%	5	50%	4
Maine	\$30,644	44	9.5%	19	47%	8
Maryland	\$44,712	2	7.7%	31	32%	49
Massachusetts	\$35,683	16	8.7%	22	39%	37
Michigan	\$33,381	28	8.0%	27	42%	23
Minnesota	\$34,397	22	8.3%	24	41%	30
Mississippi	\$27,529	50	11.9%	4	54%	1
Missouri	\$31,324	41	9.1%	21	45%	12
Montana	\$32,423	32	7.0%	41	41%	30
Nebraska	\$32,745	30	7.5%	37	42%	23
Nevada	\$38,951	12	7.6%	36	38%	39
New Hampshire	\$37,119	15	6.1%	49	36%	41
New Jersey	\$41,452	4	7.2%	39	34%	46
New Mexico	\$33,447	27	12.0%	3	47%	8
New York	\$34,518	21	10.9%	8	42%	23
North Carolina	\$31,694	37	9.9%	13	45%	12
North Dakota	\$30,669	43	12.1%	2	45%	12
Ohio	\$31,798	36	7.7%	31	44%	17
Oklahoma	\$31,486	39	9.3%	20	46%	10
Oregon	\$34,901	18	7.9%	28	42%	23
Pennsylvania	\$31,364	40	7.9%	28	44%	17
Rhode Island	\$33,192	29	8.2%	25	42%	23
South Carolina	\$31,691	38	9.8%	16	46%	10
South Dakota	\$29,950	46	11.1%	7	45%	12
Tennessee	\$30,160	45	9.7%	17	48%	6
Texas	\$34,178	23	10.7%	9	44%	17
Utah	\$40,784	6	6.0%	50	36%	41
Vermont	\$33,474	26	6.8%	43	41%	30
Virginia	\$39,274	9	7.4%	38	36%	41
Washington	\$39,207	11	6.9%	42	34%	46
West Virginia	\$26,796	51	9.9%	13	54%	1
Wisconsin	\$32,315	33	7.1%	40	44%	17
Wyoming	\$33,777	24	6.8%	43	41%	30
United States	\$34,381		9.0%		42%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: INCOME & POVERTY

	Percent of Women Age 75+ At/Below Poverty Level, 2010		Percent of Women Age 75+ At/ Below 250% of Poverty Level, 2010		Percent of Age 65+ with Bachelor Level Education or Higher, 2010	
	Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Alabama	15.4%	6	60%	8	17%	38
Alaska	7.2%	51	43%	49	24%	13
Arizona	9.3%	45	49%	40	25%	10
Arkansas	16.1%	4	63%	4	15%	46
California	12.4%	23	50%	39	27%	5
Colorado	11.1%	30	51%	38	30%	2
Connecticut	8.3%	50	47%	44	26%	6
Delaware	10.6%	34	47%	44	22%	20
District of Columbia	15.3%	7	38%	50	35%	1
Florida	12.6%	21	53%	34	23%	17
Georgia	15.1%	9	56%	26	20%	28
Hawaii	11.6%	27	36%	51	24%	13
Idaho	12.0%	25	54%	31	20%	28
Illinois	11.3%	28	55%	28	20%	28
Indiana	9.5%	44	58%	16	15%	46
Iowa	9.9%	42	58%	16	15%	46
Kansas	10.3%	37	53%	34	21%	21
Kentucky	15.9%	5	63%	4	14%	50
Louisiana	15.2%	8	61%	6	17%	38
Maine	11.2%	29	60%	8	21%	21
Maryland	12.0%	25	44%	48	28%	4
Massachusetts	12.2%	24	53%	34	26%	6
Michigan	10.8%	33	57%	23	18%	35
Minnesota	13.4%	16	59%	13	21%	21
Mississippi	16.6%	3	64%	1	17%	38
Missouri	13.2%	18	59%	13	17%	38
Montana	13.4%	16	58%	16	21%	21
Nebraska	12.7%	20	57%	23	18%	35
Nevada	10.1%	41	49%	40	20%	28
New Hampshire	10.3%	37	52%	37	25%	10
New Jersey	9.1%	47	45%	47	23%	17
New Mexico	15.1%	9	58%	16	25%	10
New York	14.0%	12	54%	31	23%	17
North Carolina	14.1%	11	57%	23	20%	28
North Dakota	20.6%	1	64%	1	15%	46
Ohio	10.4%	36	59%	13	17%	38
Oklahoma	12.9%	19	58%	16	18%	35
Oregon	9.6%	43	54%	31	24%	13
Pennsylvania	11.0%	32	58%	16	17%	38
Rhode Island	10.2%	40	56%	26	21%	21
South Carolina	13.6%	15	58%	16	21%	21
South Dakota	19.0%	2	61%	6	17%	38
Tennessee	13.9%	13	60%	8	16%	45
Texas	13.7%	14	55%	28	21%	21
Utah	9.3%	45	49%	40	26%	6
Vermont	8.5%	49	55%	28	29%	3
Virginia	10.5%	35	47%	44	24%	13
Washington	9.0%	48	48%	43	26%	6
West Virginia	12.5%	22	64%	1	12%	51
Wisconsin	11.1%	30	60%	8	19%	34
Wyoming	10.3%	37	60%	8	20%	28
United States	12.2%		54%		21%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: DISABILITY RATES

	People Age 65+ with Self-Care Difficulty, 2010			People Age 65+ with Cognitive Difficulty, 2010		
	Value (Thousands)	Percent of Age 65+	Rank	Value (Thousands)	Percent of Age 65+	Rank
Alabama	72	11.3%	2	83	13.0%	2
Alaska	4	8.3%	21	4	8.7%	28
Arizona	58	6.6%	44	68	7.8%	42
Arkansas	37	9.1%	13	45	11.1%	7
California	449	10.7%	4	444	10.6%	13
Colorado	38	7.1%	36	42	7.9%	40
Connecticut	36	7.5%	34	39	8.1%	37
Delaware	7	5.8%	49	10	8.0%	38
District of Columbia	6	8.7%	17	7	9.8%	18
Florida	258	8.0%	24	292	9.1%	24
Georgia	98	9.7%	9	111	11.1%	7
Hawaii	14	7.3%	35	19	9.9%	17
Idaho	15	7.6%	33	18	9.5%	21
Illinois	128	8.3%	21	123	8.0%	38
Indiana	64	7.9%	26	70	8.7%	28
Iowa	25	5.9%	47	28	6.6%	48
Kansas	28	7.8%	29	34	9.4%	23
Kentucky	57	10.2%	8	62	11.1%	7
Louisiana	58	10.8%	3	65	12.1%	3
Maine	14	6.7%	43	20	9.8%	18
Maryland	54	7.8%	29	58	8.4%	33
Massachusetts	67	7.7%	32	71	8.2%	35
Michigan	113	8.5%	19	128	9.7%	20
Minnesota	45	6.9%	37	43	6.6%	48
Mississippi	43	11.7%	1	51	13.9%	1
Missouri	68	8.4%	20	77	9.5%	21
Montana	9	6.6%	44	11	7.7%	44
Nebraska	16	6.8%	41	17	7.3%	46
Nevada	25	7.8%	29	25	7.8%	42
New Hampshire	10	5.9%	47	10	6.1%	51
New Jersey	95	8.3%	21	95	8.3%	34
New Mexico	26	9.6%	10	29	10.9%	11
New York	222	8.8%	16	226	9.0%	25
North Carolina	111	9.2%	12	125	10.4%	14
North Dakota	5	4.9%	50	6	6.5%	50
Ohio	123	7.9%	26	137	8.8%	27
Oklahoma	45	9.1%	13	51	10.3%	15
Oregon	49	9.4%	11	60	11.4%	6
Pennsylvania	149	7.9%	26	162	8.6%	31
Rhode Island	10	6.9%	37	11	7.9%	40
South Carolina	55	8.9%	15	68	11.0%	10
South Dakota	8	6.8%	41	8	7.4%	45
Tennessee	89	10.7%	4	99	11.9%	4
Texas	271	10.7%	4	275	10.8%	12
Utah	17	6.9%	37	20	8.2%	35
Vermont	6	6.9%	37	8	8.9%	26
Virginia	77	8.0%	24	83	8.7%	28
Washington	70	8.7%	17	81	10.0%	16
West Virginia	31	10.5%	7	34	11.6%	5
Wisconsin	50	6.6%	44	50	6.7%	47
Wyoming	3	4.9%	50	6	8.6%	31
United States	3,428	8.8%		3,712	9.5%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: DISABILITY RATES

	People Age 65+ with Any Disability, 2010			People Age 18-64 with Self-Care Difficulty, 2010		
	Value (Thousands)	Percent of Age 65+	Rank	Value (Thousands)	Percent of Age 18-64	Rank
Alabama	281	44%	2	76	2.6%	4
Alaska	20	39%	12	7	1.6%	30
Arizona	287	33%	42	64	1.7%	24
Arkansas	173	43%	3	43	2.5%	5
California	1,539	37%	21	355	1.5%	33
Colorado	185	35%	31	43	1.4%	39
Connecticut	159	33%	42	27	1.2%	47
Delaware	39	31%	51	9	1.7%	24
District of Columbia	23	34%	35	4	1.0%	51
Florida	1,096	34%	35	207	1.8%	21
Georgia	397	40%	11	112	1.9%	19
Hawaii	63	33%	42	11	1.4%	39
Idaho	74	38%	14	17	1.8%	21
Illinois	544	35%	31	121	1.5%	33
Indiana	295	37%	21	79	2.0%	14
Iowa	138	32%	48	28	1.5%	33
Kansas	136	38%	14	34	2.0%	14
Kentucky	233	42%	7	76	2.8%	3
Louisiana	232	43%	3	67	2.4%	7
Maine	78	38%	14	16	1.9%	19
Maryland	231	34%	35	52	1.4%	39
Massachusetts	285	33%	42	59	1.4%	39
Michigan	496	37%	21	139	2.3%	9
Minnesota	209	32%	48	46	1.4%	39
Mississippi	168	45%	1	53	3.0%	2
Missouri	311	39%	12	88	2.4%	7
Montana	52	37%	21	9	1.4%	39
Nebraska	85	36%	27	19	1.7%	24
Nevada	110	34%	35	29	1.7%	24
New Hampshire	54	32%	48	13	1.6%	30
New Jersey	375	33%	42	74	1.3%	46
New Mexico	112	41%	9	28	2.3%	9
New York	858	34%	35	180	1.5%	33
North Carolina	460	38%	14	124	2.1%	13
North Dakota	32	35%	31	5	1.1%	50
Ohio	569	36%	27	140	2.0%	14
Oklahoma	212	43%	3	52	2.3%	9
Oregon	198	38%	14	49	2.0%	14
Pennsylvania	677	36%	27	136	1.7%	24
Rhode Island	53	37%	21	11	1.7%	24
South Carolina	237	38%	14	65	2.3%	9
South Dakota	40	36%	27	7	1.4%	39
Tennessee	348	42%	7	97	2.5%	5
Texas	1,029	41%	9	309	2.0%	14
Utah	85	34%	35	19	1.2%	47
Vermont	30	34%	35	7	1.8%	21
Virginia	336	35%	31	77	1.5%	33
Washington	307	38%	14	68	1.6%	30
West Virginia	126	43%	3	36	3.1%	1
Wisconsin	246	33%	42	54	1.5%	33
Wyoming	25	37%	21	4	1.2%	47
United States	14,352	37%		3,444	1.8%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: DISABILITY RATES

	People Age 18-64 with Cognitive Difficulty, 2010			People Age 18-64 with Any Disability, 2010		
	Value (Thousands)	Percent of Age 18-64	Rank	Value (Thousands)	Percent of Age 18-64	Rank
Alabama	174	5.9%	4	423	14.4%	5
Alaska	18	3.9%	32	48	10.8%	22
Arizona	152	4.0%	30	364	9.6%	31
Arkansas	99	5.6%	9	255	14.6%	4
California	762	3.3%	44	1,818	7.8%	48
Colorado	114	3.6%	39	273	8.5%	40
Connecticut	77	3.5%	40	179	8.1%	44
Delaware	25	4.6%	20	60	10.9%	20
District of Columbia	19	4.6%	20	37	8.7%	38
Florida	469	4.1%	28	1,115	9.8%	30
Georgia	242	4.0%	30	615	10.2%	26
Hawaii	26	3.2%	47	66	8.1%	44
Idaho	45	4.8%	17	103	11.1%	19
Illinois	257	3.2%	47	640	8.0%	46
Indiana	182	4.6%	20	435	10.9%	20
Iowa	71	3.8%	35	172	9.3%	33
Kansas	73	4.2%	25	178	10.3%	25
Kentucky	179	6.7%	2	423	15.9%	2
Louisiana	161	5.8%	5	378	13.6%	7
Maine	48	5.8%	5	104	12.4%	9
Maryland	116	3.2%	47	298	8.2%	42
Massachusetts	163	3.9%	32	354	8.4%	41
Michigan	314	5.1%	13	715	11.7%	13
Minnesota	126	3.8%	35	266	8.0%	46
Mississippi	111	6.2%	3	267	15.0%	3
Missouri	186	5.1%	13	440	12.0%	11
Montana	25	4.1%	28	64	10.5%	23
Nebraska	39	3.5%	40	104	9.4%	32
Nevada	56	3.3%	44	152	9.1%	34
New Hampshire	35	4.2%	25	77	9.1%	34
New Jersey	163	3.0%	50	398	7.3%	51
New Mexico	60	4.8%	17	140	11.3%	16
New York	402	3.3%	44	1,012	8.2%	42
North Carolina	272	4.6%	20	673	11.4%	14
North Dakota	12	2.8%	51	32	7.6%	49
Ohio	350	4.9%	16	804	11.4%	14
Oklahoma	129	5.7%	8	323	14.3%	6
Oregon	129	5.3%	12	287	11.9%	12
Pennsylvania	370	4.7%	19	824	10.5%	23
Rhode Island	37	5.6%	9	75	11.2%	17
South Carolina	146	5.1%	13	352	12.4%	9
South Dakota	18	3.7%	37	43	8.9%	36
Tennessee	230	5.8%	5	530	13.5%	8
Texas	602	3.9%	32	1,549	10.1%	28
Utah	56	3.4%	43	124	7.6%	49
Vermont	22	5.5%	11	45	11.2%	17
Virginia	174	3.5%	40	447	8.9%	36
Washington	182	4.3%	24	425	10.0%	29
West Virginia	81	7.1%	1	197	17.2%	1
Wisconsin	132	3.7%	37	306	8.7%	38
Wyoming	15	4.2%	25	36	10.2%	26
United States	7,943	4.2%		19,048	10.0%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: FAMILY CAREGIVERS

	Family Caregivers, 2009			Economic Value of Family Caregiving, 2009		
	Value	Per 1,000 Population	Rank	Value (millions)	Per 1,000 Population	Rank
Alabama	818,000	174	3	\$7,300	\$1,560	18
Alaska	88,000	126	41	\$1,100	\$1,570	16
Arizona	855,000	130	35	\$9,400	\$1,430	35
Arkansas	478,000	165	7	\$4,500	\$1,560	18
California	4,020,000	109	50	\$47,000	\$1,270	44
Colorado	576,000	115	47	\$6,600	\$1,310	41
Connecticut	486,000	138	24	\$5,800	\$1,650	8
Delaware	138,000	156	9	\$1,560	\$1,760	3
District of Columbia	68,000	113	49	\$760	\$1,270	44
Florida	2,780,000	150	10	\$29,000	\$1,560	18
Georgia	1,360,000	139	23	\$13,100	\$1,330	40
Hawaii	169,000	130	35	\$1,990	\$1,540	22
Idaho	210,000	136	30	\$2,000	\$1,300	42
Illinois	1,660,000	129	38	\$18,800	\$1,460	31
Indiana	916,000	143	18	\$9,400	\$1,460	31
Iowa	369,000	123	44	\$4,100	\$1,370	38
Kansas	414,000	147	12	\$4,100	\$1,460	31
Kentucky	735,000	170	4	\$7,100	\$1,640	9
Louisiana	627,000	140	22	\$5,700	\$1,270	44
Maine	191,000	145	14	\$2,300	\$1,730	5
Maryland	769,000	135	31	\$8,100	\$1,420	37
Massachusetts	858,000	130	35	\$10,900	\$1,660	7
Michigan	1,440,000	145	14	\$15,500	\$1,560	18
Minnesota	679,000	129	38	\$8,200	\$1,570	16
Mississippi	565,000	191	1	\$5,200	\$1,780	2
Missouri	865,000	144	16	\$8,900	\$1,480	29
Montana	131,000	134	32	\$1,390	\$1,430	35
Nebraska	219,000	122	45	\$2,200	\$1,250	49
Nevada	364,000	138	24	\$4,000	\$1,510	27
New Hampshire	183,000	138	24	\$2,200	\$1,680	6
New Jersey	1,190,000	137	28	\$13,200	\$1,520	25
New Mexico	287,000	143	18	\$3,100	\$1,530	24
New York	2,800,000	143	18	\$32,000	\$1,630	10
North Carolina	1,180,000	126	41	\$11,700	\$1,250	49
North Dakota	75,000	115	47	\$830	\$1,290	43
Ohio	1,660,000	144	16	\$17,500	\$1,520	25
Oklahoma	596,000	162	8	\$6,000	\$1,610	12
Oregon	463,000	121	46	\$5,500	\$1,440	34
Pennsylvania	1,850,000	147	12	\$19,900	\$1,580	15
Rhode Island	148,000	141	21	\$1,880	\$1,790	1
South Carolina	770,000	169	5	\$7,400	\$1,620	11
South Dakota	101,000	124	43	\$1,030	\$1,270	44
Tennessee	1,130,000	180	2	\$11,000	\$1,750	4
Texas	3,420,000	138	24	\$34,000	\$1,370	38
Utah	382,000	137	28	\$4,200	\$1,490	28
Vermont	83,000	134	32	\$990	\$1,590	13
Virginia	1,180,000	150	10	\$11,700	\$1,480	29
Washington	854,000	128	40	\$10,600	\$1,590	13
West Virginia	303,000	166	6	\$2,800	\$1,540	22
Wisconsin	524,000	93	51	\$5,800	\$1,020	51
Wyoming	72,000	133	34	\$680	\$1,260	48
United States	42,100,000	137		\$450,000	\$1,460	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: FAMILY CAREGIVERS

	Economic Value per Hour, 2009		Ratio of Economic Value of Family Caregiving to Medicaid LTSS Spending, 2009	
	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	\$9.37	51	5.2	12
Alaska	\$13.10	3	2.9	43
Arizona	\$11.50	21	6.3	7
Arkansas	\$9.87	46	4.2	23
California	\$12.17	12	4.1	25
Colorado	\$11.93	13	4.8	17
Connecticut	\$12.50	7	1.8	49
Delaware	\$11.77	16	4.7	19
District of Columbia	\$11.70	17	1.4	51
Florida	\$10.88	31	6.8	4
Georgia	\$10.03	43	6.6	5
Hawaii	\$12.33	11	7.9	3
Idaho	\$10.03	43	4.9	16
Illinois	\$11.83	15	6.1	9
Indiana	\$10.70	32	4.1	25
Iowa	\$11.66	19	3.2	37
Kansas	\$10.41	37	4.0	28
Kentucky	\$10.03	43	5.1	13
Louisiana	\$9.53	50	2.9	43
Maine	\$12.50	7	3.2	37
Maryland	\$11.03	29	4.3	22
Massachusetts	\$13.33	1	2.9	43
Michigan	\$11.23	27	6.5	6
Minnesota	\$12.70	5	2.4	46
Mississippi	\$9.70	48	4.4	21
Missouri	\$10.70	32	4.7	19
Montana	\$11.16	28	4.1	25
Nebraska	\$10.70	32	3.4	34
Nevada	\$11.48	23	11.9	1
New Hampshire	\$12.67	6	3.9	29
New Jersey	\$11.59	20	3.5	31
New Mexico	\$11.25	26	3.3	35
New York	\$11.85	14	1.5	50
North Carolina	\$10.37	38	3.5	31
North Dakota	\$11.68	18	2.3	47
Ohio	\$11.03	29	3.5	31
Oklahoma	\$10.44	36	5.0	15
Oregon	\$12.43	9	4.2	23
Pennsylvania	\$11.27	25	3.1	40
Rhode Island	\$13.27	2	3.3	35
South Carolina	\$10.04	42	6.3	7
South Dakota	\$10.70	32	3.7	30
Tennessee	\$10.20	41	5.1	13
Texas	\$10.35	40	5.6	11
Utah	\$11.37	24	10.7	2
Vermont	\$12.37	10	3.1	40
Virginia	\$10.37	38	6.0	10
Washington	\$12.94	4	4.8	17
West Virginia	\$9.67	49	3.1	40
Wisconsin	\$11.49	22	1.9	48
Wyoming	\$9.87	46	3.2	37
United States	\$11.16		3.8	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

POPULATION & CHARACTERISTICS: LONG-TERM CARE INSURANCE

	Private Long-Term Care Insurance Policies in Effect, 2010		
	Value	Per 1,000 Age 40+	Rank
Alabama	74,291	33	42
Alaska	8,793	29	48
Arizona	103,642	36	36
Arkansas	41,093	30	47
California	708,605	44	24
Colorado	122,775	55	12
Connecticut	93,955	52	16
Delaware	17,227	40	29
District of Columbia	30,069	122	2
Florida	330,017	34	39
Georgia	145,914	35	37
Hawaii	76,518	116	3
Idaho	23,514	35	37
Illinois	286,437	49	20
Indiana	101,381	34	39
Iowa	124,729	86	7
Kansas	96,305	75	8
Kentucky	66,822	32	44
Louisiana	57,721	28	49
Maine	219,330	308	1
Maryland	164,752	60	11
Massachusetts	159,155	50	18
Michigan	180,912	38	33
Minnesota	180,578	73	9
Mississippi	40,847	31	46
Missouri	155,771	55	12
Montana	26,686	54	14
Nebraska	83,702	101	6
Nevada	34,417	28	49
New Hampshire	32,516	48	21
New Jersey	184,611	43	26
New Mexico	32,664	34	39
New York	348,203	38	33
North Carolina	183,514	41	28
North Dakota	32,337	103	5
Ohio	216,142	39	31
Oklahoma	56,960	33	42
Oregon	86,657	47	22
Pennsylvania	255,389	40	29
Rhode Island	20,432	39	31
South Carolina	92,292	42	27
South Dakota	42,904	113	4
Tennessee	131,025	44	24
Texas	381,754	37	35
Utah	30,969	32	44
Vermont	16,510	51	17
Virginia	243,465	65	10
Washington	155,059	50	18
West Virginia	25,965	27	51
Wisconsin	148,636	54	14
Wyoming	11,636	45	23
United States	6,485,598	45	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

PUBLIC LTSS EXPENDITURES: MEDICAID EXPENDITURES

	Total Medicaid Expenditures, 2009		Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (FMAP), 2009	
	Value (Millions)	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	\$4,417	26	68.01%	10
Alaska	\$1,070	47	51.43%	36
Arizona	\$8,561	12	65.75%	14
Arkansas	\$3,500	31	72.78%	3
California	\$40,818	2	50.00%	41
Colorado	\$3,571	30	50.00%	41
Connecticut	\$5,974	22	50.00%	41
Delaware	\$1,213	45	50.21%	37
District of Columbia	\$1,626	40	70.00%	8
Florida	\$15,547	5	54.98%	32
Georgia	\$7,461	14	65.10%	17
Hawaii	\$1,339	42	54.24%	34
Idaho	\$1,295	44	69.40%	9
Illinois	\$13,572	7	50.17%	38
Indiana	\$6,263	21	65.93%	13
Iowa	\$2,983	33	63.51%	21
Kansas	\$2,456	35	60.38%	28
Kentucky	\$5,371	24	70.96%	6
Louisiana	\$6,581	20	67.61%	11
Maine	\$2,589	34	64.99%	18
Maryland	\$6,702	19	50.00%	41
Massachusetts	\$12,196	8	50.00%	41
Michigan	\$10,635	10	63.19%	23
Minnesota	\$7,425	15	50.00%	41
Mississippi	\$3,813	28	75.67%	1
Missouri	\$7,676	13	64.51%	19
Montana	\$876	48	67.42%	12
Nebraska	\$1,633	39	60.56%	27
Nevada	\$1,383	41	50.16%	39
New Hampshire	\$1,328	43	50.00%	41
New Jersey	\$9,928	11	50.00%	41
New Mexico	\$3,257	32	71.35%	5
New York	\$49,719	1	50.00%	41
North Carolina	\$11,490	9	65.13%	16
North Dakota	\$590	50	63.01%	24
Ohio	\$14,080	6	63.42%	22
Oklahoma	\$3,936	27	64.43%	20
Oregon	\$3,594	29	62.74%	25
Pennsylvania	\$17,129	4	54.81%	33
Rhode Island	\$1,891	37	52.63%	35
South Carolina	\$5,097	25	70.32%	7
South Dakota	\$715	49	62.72%	26
Tennessee	\$7,393	16	65.57%	15
Texas	\$24,121	3	58.73%	30
Utah	\$1,643	38	71.68%	4
Vermont	\$1,146	46	58.73%	30
Virginia	\$5,806	23	50.00%	41
Washington	\$6,828	18	50.12%	40
West Virginia	\$2,446	36	74.04%	2
Wisconsin	\$7,123	17	60.21%	29
Wyoming	\$526	51	50.00%	41
United States	\$368,330			

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

PUBLIC LTSS EXPENDITURES: MEDICAID EXPENDITURES

	Medicaid HCBS Spending as a % of LTSS Spending for Older People and Adults w/ Physical Disabilities, 2009*		Medicaid HCBS Spending as a % of LTSS Spending for All Populations, 2009*	
	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	15%	46	30%	46
Alaska	56%	5	63%	6
Arizona	44%	9	67%	4
Arkansas	29%	24	30%	46
California	55%	6	57%	9
Colorado	44%	9	58%	8
Connecticut	24%	32	44%	21
Delaware	13%	49	35%	39
District of Columbia	46%	8	50%	14
Florida	21%	37	34%	40
Georgia	26%	27	37%	36
Hawaii	19%	40	42%	24
Idaho	43%	12	46%	17
Illinois	23%	34	30%	46
Indiana	16%	44	31%	44
Iowa	29%	24	39%	33
Kansas	39%	16	55%	11
Kentucky	19%	40	31%	44
Louisiana	32%	22	36%	38
Maine	25%	29	52%	12
Maryland	15%	46	37%	36
Massachusetts	35%	17	47%	15
Michigan	22%	36	33%	41
Minnesota	60%	3	68%	3
Mississippi	16%	44	14%	51
Missouri	34%	19	41%	29
Montana	34%	19	47%	15
Nebraska	25%	29	38%	34
Nevada	34%	19	42%	24
New Hampshire	18%	42	41%	29
New Jersey	21%	37	26%	50
New Mexico	65%	1	75%	1
New York	41%	14	46%	17
North Carolina	43%	12	43%	22
North Dakota	10%	51	29%	49
Ohio	24%	32	33%	41
Oklahoma	32%	22	42%	24
Oregon	59%	4	74%	2
Pennsylvania	18%	42	32%	43
Rhode Island	13%	49	46%	17
South Carolina	28%	26	38%	34
South Dakota	14%	48	40%	31
Tennessee	26%	27	42%	24
Texas	50%	7	46%	17
Utah	20%	39	43%	22
Vermont	41%	14	65%	5
Virginia	35%	17	42%	24
Washington	62%	2	62%	7
West Virginia	25%	29	40%	31
Wisconsin	44%	9	56%	10
Wyoming	23%	34	51%	13
United States	36%		44%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

* Because of inconsistencies in the numbers for some services, 2008 data are used for HI, NM, and RI, and an average of 2008 and 2009 is used for GA and WI.

PUBLIC LTSS EXPENDITURES: LTSS & HOME HEALTH

Medicaid LTSS Expenditures for Older People and Adults w/ Physical Disabilities, 2009*

Medicaid Nursing Facility Expenditures, 2009*

	Value (Millions)	Per Person in State	Rank		Value (Millions)	Per Person in State	Rank
Alabama	\$1,103	\$232	27		\$938	\$197	15
Alaska	\$268	\$384	6		\$119	\$170	24
Arizona	\$794	\$125	49		\$447	\$70	49
Arkansas	\$807	\$279	17		\$573	\$198	14
California	\$9,856	\$267	18		\$4,430	\$120	39
Colorado	\$973	\$196	39		\$549	\$110	42
Connecticut	\$1,638	\$460	3		\$1,240	\$348	2
Delaware	\$212	\$238	24		\$186	\$208	13
District of Columbia	\$362	\$612	2		\$197	\$333	3
Florida	\$3,074	\$165	44		\$2,423	\$130	36
Georgia	\$1,552	\$161	46		\$1,153	\$120	39
Hawaii	\$273	\$203	37		\$222	\$165	26
Idaho	\$278	\$179	41		\$157	\$101	43
Illinois	\$2,103	\$164	45		\$1,616	\$126	38
Indiana	\$1,428	\$221	29		\$1,195	\$185	19
Iowa	\$660	\$218	31		\$467	\$154	31
Kansas	\$617	\$218	31		\$373	\$132	35
Kentucky	\$1,027	\$238	24		\$828	\$192	16
Louisiana	\$1,103	\$246	20		\$746	\$166	25
Maine	\$335	\$252	19		\$252	\$190	17
Maryland	\$1,248	\$218	31		\$1,062	\$185	19
Massachusetts	\$2,681	\$411	4		\$1,746	\$268	6
Michigan	\$1,972	\$199	38		\$1,547	\$156	29
Minnesota	\$2,166	\$410	5		\$871	\$165	26
Mississippi	\$864	\$292	13		\$727	\$246	9
Missouri	\$1,311	\$220	30		\$869	\$146	32
Montana	\$240	\$243	21		\$158	\$161	28
Nebraska	\$423	\$233	26		\$318	\$175	22
Nevada	\$246	\$92	50		\$162	\$60	50
New Hampshire	\$382	\$290	14		\$315	\$239	10
New Jersey	\$2,509	\$287	16		\$1,984	\$227	11
New Mexico	\$491	\$241	22		\$174	\$85	47
New York	\$13,053	\$676	1		\$7,675	\$398	1
North Carolina	\$2,256	\$239	23		\$1,292	\$137	34
North Dakota	\$192	\$289	15		\$172	\$259	7
Ohio	\$3,382	\$293	12		\$2,565	\$222	12
Oklahoma	\$783	\$211	34		\$530	\$142	33
Oregon	\$744	\$195	40		\$304	\$80	48
Pennsylvania	\$4,394	\$347	7		\$3,606	\$285	4
Rhode Island	\$343	\$326	9		\$298	\$283	5
South Carolina	\$712	\$155	47		\$513	\$112	41
South Dakota	\$165	\$205	36		\$142	\$176	21
Tennessee	\$1,312	\$208	35		\$975	\$155	30
Texas	\$4,269	\$172	42		\$2,152	\$87	45
Utah	\$186	\$68	51		\$150	\$55	51
Vermont	\$197	\$316	10		\$117	\$187	18
Virginia	\$1,185	\$149	48		\$769	\$97	44
Washington	\$1,533	\$230	28		\$581	\$87	45
West Virginia	\$616	\$334	8		\$459	\$249	8
Wisconsin	\$1,767	\$312	11		\$986	\$174	23
Wyoming	\$95	\$170	43		\$73	\$130	36
United States	\$80,181	\$261			\$51,403	\$168	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

* Because of inconsistencies in the numbers for some services, 2008 data are used for HI, NM, and RI, and an average of 2008 and 2009 is used for GA and WI.

PUBLIC LTSS EXPENDITURES: LTSS & HOME HEALTH

	Medicaid HCBS Expenditures for Older People and Adults w/ Physical Disabilities, 2009*			Medicaid Aged/Disabled Waiver Services Expenditures, 2009*		
	Value (Millions)	Per Person in State	Rank	Value (Millions)	Per Person in State	Rank
Alabama	\$165	\$35	44	\$104	\$22	31
Alaska	\$150	\$214	4	\$67	\$95	4
Arizona	\$347	\$55	30	NA	NA	NA
Arkansas	\$234	\$81	19	\$101	\$35	23
California	\$5,426	\$147	6	\$149	\$4	48
Colorado	\$424	\$85	15	\$182	\$37	20
Connecticut	\$398	\$112	12	\$151	\$42	15
Delaware	\$27	\$30	48	\$18	\$20	32
District of Columbia	\$165	\$279	1	\$70	\$118	2
Florida	\$650	\$35	44	\$381	\$20	32
Georgia	\$399	\$42	39	\$310	\$32	27
Hawaii	\$52	\$38	41	\$51	\$38	19
Idaho	\$120	\$77	21	\$89	\$57	9
Illinois	\$486	\$38	41	\$443	\$35	23
Indiana	\$233	\$36	43	\$95	\$15	41
Iowa	\$194	\$64	25	\$99	\$33	26
Kansas	\$243	\$86	14	\$223	\$79	6
Kentucky	\$199	\$46	34	\$83	\$19	36
Louisiana	\$358	\$80	20	\$71	\$16	39
Maine	\$82	\$62	26	\$27	\$20	32
Maryland	\$187	\$33	46	\$113	\$20	32
Massachusetts	\$935	\$143	7	\$77	\$12	43
Michigan	\$426	\$43	36	\$118	\$12	43
Minnesota	\$1,295	\$245	3	\$683	\$129	1
Mississippi	\$136	\$46	34	\$131	\$44	14
Missouri	\$442	\$74	22	\$113	\$19	36
Montana	\$81	\$83	18	\$33	\$34	25
Nebraska	\$105	\$58	29	\$66	\$37	20
Nevada	\$84	\$31	47	\$12	\$5	46
New Hampshire	\$68	\$51	33	\$53	\$41	16
New Jersey	\$524	\$60	28	\$152	\$17	38
New Mexico	\$317	\$156	5	\$81	\$40	17
New York	\$5,377	\$279	1	\$40	\$2	49
North Carolina	\$964	\$102	13	\$277	\$29	28
North Dakota	\$20	\$29	49	\$3	\$5	46
Ohio	\$818	\$71	23	\$607	\$53	10
Oklahoma	\$254	\$68	24	\$222	\$60	8
Oregon	\$439	\$115	11	\$370	\$97	3
Pennsylvania	\$789	\$62	26	\$569	\$45	12
Rhode Island	\$45	\$43	36	\$43	\$40	17
South Carolina	\$199	\$43	36	\$165	\$36	22
South Dakota	\$23	\$29	49	\$13	\$16	39
Tennessee	\$337	\$53	31	\$84	\$13	42
Texas	\$2,117	\$85	15	\$559	\$23	30
Utah	\$36	\$13	51	\$24	\$9	45
Vermont	\$81	\$129	10	NA	NA	NA
Virginia	\$416	\$52	32	\$398	\$50	11
Washington	\$952	\$143	7	\$496	\$74	7
West Virginia	\$157	\$85	15	\$84	\$45	12
Wisconsin	\$781	\$138	9	\$506	\$89	5
Wyoming	\$22	\$40	40	\$15	\$26	29
United States	\$28,778	\$94		\$8,821	\$29	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

* Because of inconsistencies in the numbers for some services, 2008 data are used for HI, NM, and RI, and an average of 2008 and 2009 is used for GA and WI.

PUBLIC LTSS EXPENDITURES: LTSS & HOME HEALTH

	Medicaid Personal Care Services Expenditures, 2009*			Medicaid Home Health Services Expenditures, 2009*		
	Value (Millions)	Per Person in State	Rank	Value (Millions)	Per Person in State	Rank
Alabama	NA	NA	NA	\$61	\$13	15
Alaska	\$82	\$118	4	\$1	\$1	44
Arizona	\$8	\$1	32	\$1	\$0**	48
Arkansas	\$79	\$27	18	\$53	\$18	10
California	\$4,983	\$135	3	\$179	\$5	31
Colorado	NA	NA	NA	\$176	\$35	4
Connecticut	NA	NA	NA	\$247	\$69	2
Delaware	NA	NA	NA	\$8	\$9	24
District of Columbia	\$89	\$150	2	\$7	\$11	19
Florida	\$57	\$3	28	\$169	\$9	24
Georgia	\$1	\$0**	35	\$88	\$9	24
Hawaii	NA	NA	NA	\$1	\$0**	48
Idaho	\$23	\$15	23	\$9	\$6	29
Illinois	NA	NA	NA	\$43	\$3	36
Indiana	NA	NA	NA	\$138	\$21	7
Iowa	NA	NA	NA	\$94	\$31	5
Kansas	\$3	\$1	32	\$13	\$5	31
Kentucky	NA	NA	NA	\$116	\$27	6
Louisiana	\$246	\$55	10	\$36	\$8	27
Maine	\$51	\$39	13	\$4	\$3	36
Maryland	\$35	\$6	26	\$39	\$7	28
Massachusetts	\$632	\$97	6	\$101	\$16	12
Michigan	\$268	\$27	18	\$26	\$3	36
Minnesota	\$505	\$96	7	\$107	\$20	8
Mississippi	NA	NA	NA	\$5	\$2	42
Missouri	\$318	\$53	11	\$5	\$1	44
Montana	\$36	\$36	15	\$12	\$12	18
Nebraska	\$16	\$9	24	\$23	\$13	15
Nevada	\$68	\$25	20	\$3	\$1	44
New Hampshire	\$6	\$5	27	\$8	\$6	29
New Jersey	\$344	\$39	13	\$27	\$3	36
New Mexico	\$226	\$111	5	\$1	\$0**	48
New York	\$3,332	\$173	1	\$1,848	\$96	1
North Carolina	\$526	\$56	9	\$161	\$17	11
North Dakota	\$14	\$21	21	\$1	\$2	42
Ohio	NA	NA	NA	\$188	\$16	12
Oklahoma	\$12	\$3	28	\$19	\$5	31
Oregon	\$28	\$7	25	\$1	\$0**	48
Pennsylvania	NA	NA	NA	\$130	\$10	23
Rhode Island	NA	NA	NA	\$3	\$3	36
South Carolina	\$10	\$2	30	\$12	\$3	36
South Dakota	\$2	\$2	30	\$9	\$11	19
Tennessee	NA	NA	NA	\$242	\$38	3
Texas	\$1,256	\$51	12	\$272	\$11	19
Utah	\$2	\$1	32	\$11	\$4	34
Vermont	\$19	\$31	17	\$7	\$11	19
Virginia	\$0**	\$0**	35	\$7	\$1	44
Washington	\$418	\$63	8	\$29	\$4	34
West Virginia	\$38	\$21	21	\$35	\$19	9
Wisconsin	\$198	\$35	16	\$76	\$13	15
Wyoming	NA	NA	NA	\$8	\$14	14
United States	\$13,931	\$45		\$4,861	\$16	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1. Personal care services are not included in the state plan in some states. States not reporting any PCS expenditures or participants are indicated by NA.

* Because of inconsistencies in the numbers for some services, 2008 data are used for HI, NM, and RI, and an average of 2008 and 2009 is used for GA and WI.

**The value is less than \$0.5 but rounds to zero.

PUBLIC LTSS EXPENDITURES: LTSS & HOME HEALTH

	Medicaid, Other HCBS Expenditures, 2009*			Medicaid LTSS Expenditures for All Populations, 2009*		
	Value (Millions)	Per Person in State	Rank	Value (Millions)	Per Person in State	Rank
Alabama	NA	NA	NA	\$1,479	\$311	38
Alaska	NA	NA	NA	\$403	\$576	6
Arizona	\$338	\$53	2	\$1,528	\$241	47
Arkansas	\$1	\$0**	22	\$1,225	\$423	20
California	\$116	\$3	9	\$12,801	\$346	34
Colorado	\$67	\$13	4	\$1,374	\$276	41
Connecticut	NA	NA	NA	\$3,437	\$965	2
Delaware	NA	NA	NA	\$341	\$382	24
District of Columbia	NA	NA	NA	\$570	\$962	3
Florida	\$43	\$2	11	\$4,379	\$235	48
Georgia	NA	NA	NA	\$1,998	\$208	49
Hawaii	NA	NA	NA	\$399	\$296	39
Idaho	NA	NA	NA	\$422	\$272	43
Illinois	NA	NA	NA	\$3,498	\$273	42
Indiana	NA	NA	NA	\$2,402	\$372	27
Iowa	\$1	\$0**	22	\$1,337	\$441	18
Kansas	\$5	\$2	11	\$1,056	\$373	26
Kentucky	NA	NA	NA	\$1,476	\$342	36
Louisiana	\$4	\$1	15	\$2,110	\$470	15
Maine	NA	NA	NA	\$874	\$658	4
Maryland	NA	NA	NA	\$2,133	\$372	27
Massachusetts	\$124	\$19	3	\$3,749	\$575	7
Michigan	\$13	\$1	15	\$2,557	\$258	46
Minnesota	NA	NA	NA	\$3,430	\$649	5
Mississippi	NA	NA	NA	\$1,245	\$421	21
Missouri	\$5	\$1	15	\$2,135	\$358	29
Montana	\$0**	\$0**	22	\$353	\$358	29
Nebraska	NA	NA	NA	\$718	\$396	22
Nevada	NA	NA	NA	\$378	\$141	51
New Hampshire	NA	NA	NA	\$607	\$461	17
New Jersey	\$1	\$0**	22	\$4,457	\$509	13
New Mexico	\$10	\$5	8	\$797	\$391	23
New York	\$157	\$8	6	\$22,236	\$1,152	1
North Carolina	NA	NA	NA	\$3,576	\$378	25
North Dakota	\$1	\$1	15	\$370	\$557	8
Ohio	\$22	\$2	11	\$5,691	\$494	14
Oklahoma	\$1	\$0**	22	\$1,298	\$349	33
Oregon	\$40	\$11	5	\$1,289	\$338	37
Pennsylvania	\$90	\$7	7	\$6,855	\$541	10
Rhode Island	NA	NA	NA	\$583	\$553	9
South Carolina	\$12	\$3	9	\$1,281	\$279	40
South Dakota	NA	NA	NA	\$286	\$354	31
Tennessee	\$11	\$2	11	\$2,160	\$343	35
Texas	\$30	\$1	15	\$6,465	\$261	45
Utah	NA	NA	NA	\$411	\$151	50
Vermont	\$54	\$87	1	\$336	\$537	11
Virginia	\$11	\$1	15	\$2,092	\$264	44
Washington	\$9	\$1	15	\$2,333	\$350	32
West Virginia	NA	NA	NA	\$986	\$534	12
Wisconsin	NA	NA	NA	\$2,654	\$468	16
Wyoming	NA	NA	NA	\$245	\$438	19
United States	\$1,165	\$4		\$126,816	\$413	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1. "Other HCBS" includes PACE, self-directed services authorized under Section 1915(j), and several other programs. States not reporting any expenditures of these types are indicated by NA.

* Because of inconsistencies in the numbers for some services, 2008 data are used for HI, NM, and RI, and an average of 2008 and 2009 is used for GA and WI.

**The value is less than \$0.5 but rounds to zero.

PUBLIC LTSS EXPENDITURES: LTSS & HOME HEALTH

	Medicaid Institutional LTSS Expenditures for All Populations, 2009*			Medicaid HCBS Expenditures for All Populations, 2009*		
	Value (Millions)	Per Person in State	Rank	Value (Millions)	Per Person in State	Rank
Alabama	\$1,040	\$219	22	\$439	\$92	44
Alaska	\$150	\$215	24	\$253	\$361	5
Arizona	\$505	\$80	51	\$1,023	\$161	24
Arkansas	\$860	\$297	14	\$365	\$126	36
California	\$5,507	\$149	41	\$7,293	\$197	17
Colorado	\$576	\$116	46	\$798	\$160	27
Connecticut	\$1,921	\$539	2	\$1,516	\$426	4
Delaware	\$221	\$248	18	\$120	\$135	34
District of Columbia	\$283	\$478	3	\$287	\$484	2
Florida	\$2,879	\$154	39	\$1,500	\$80	47
Georgia	\$1,264	\$131	45	\$734	\$76	48
Hawaii	\$231	\$171	37	\$168	\$125	37
Idaho	\$227	\$146	42	\$195	\$125	37
Illinois	\$2,439	\$191	32	\$1,059	\$83	46
Indiana	\$1,664	\$258	17	\$739	\$114	40
Iowa	\$811	\$267	16	\$526	\$173	20
Kansas	\$477	\$169	38	\$579	\$204	16
Kentucky	\$1,017	\$235	20	\$460	\$107	42
Louisiana	\$1,343	\$299	13	\$767	\$171	21
Maine	\$422	\$317	10	\$453	\$340	7
Maryland	\$1,349	\$235	20	\$784	\$137	33
Massachusetts	\$1,984	\$304	11	\$1,766	\$271	9
Michigan	\$1,714	\$173	35	\$844	\$85	45
Minnesota	\$1,099	\$208	28	\$2,331	\$441	3
Mississippi	\$1,066	\$360	7	\$179	\$60	50
Missouri	\$1,265	\$212	26	\$870	\$146	29
Montana	\$186	\$189	33	\$166	\$169	22
Nebraska	\$445	\$245	19	\$273	\$151	28
Nevada	\$221	\$82	50	\$157	\$59	51
New Hampshire	\$357	\$271	15	\$250	\$190	18
New Jersey	\$3,311	\$378	5	\$1,146	\$131	35
New Mexico	\$201	\$99	47	\$596	\$293	8
New York	\$11,977	\$620	1	\$10,258	\$531	1
North Carolina	\$2,046	\$216	23	\$1,530	\$162	23
North Dakota	\$263	\$396	4	\$107	\$161	24
Ohio	\$3,839	\$333	8	\$1,852	\$161	24
Oklahoma	\$759	\$204	30	\$539	\$145	30
Oregon	\$329	\$86	48	\$960	\$252	11
Pennsylvania	\$4,630	\$365	6	\$2,225	\$176	19
Rhode Island	\$317	\$301	12	\$266	\$252	11
South Carolina	\$789	\$172	36	\$492	\$107	42
South Dakota	\$170	\$211	27	\$116	\$143	32
Tennessee	\$1,244	\$197	31	\$916	\$145	30
Texas	\$3,490	\$141	43	\$2,975	\$120	39
Utah	\$234	\$86	48	\$178	\$65	49
Vermont	\$118	\$189	33	\$218	\$349	6
Virginia	\$1,209	\$153	40	\$883	\$111	41
Washington	\$884	\$133	44	\$1,449	\$217	14
West Virginia	\$592	\$320	9	\$395	\$214	15
Wisconsin	\$1,177	\$208	28	\$1,478	\$261	10
Wyoming	\$121	\$215	24	\$124	\$222	13
United States	\$71,220	\$232		\$55,596	\$181	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

* Because of inconsistencies in the numbers for some services, 2008 data are used for HI, NM, and RI, and an average of 2008 and 2009 is used for GA and WI.

PUBLIC LTSS EXPENDITURES: LTSS & HOME HEALTH

	Medicaid HCBS Waiver Services Expenditures for All Populations, 2009*			Medicaid, Other HCBS Expenditures (including PCS and Home Health) for All Populations, 2009*		
	Value (Millions)	Per Person in State	Rank	Value (Millions)	Per Person in State	Rank
Alabama	\$378	\$79	40	\$61	\$13	37
Alaska	\$170	\$243	7	\$83	\$119	7
Arizona	NA	NA	NA	\$1,023	\$161	3
Arkansas	\$232	\$80	38	\$133	\$46	19
California	\$2,016	\$55	47	\$5,277	\$143	5
Colorado	\$556	\$112	28	\$242	\$49	16
Connecticut	\$1,270	\$356	1	\$247	\$69	11
Delaware	\$112	\$125	24	\$8	\$9	41
District of Columbia	\$191	\$323	3	\$95	\$161	3
Florida	\$1,231	\$66	43	\$269	\$14	35
Georgia	\$645	\$67	42	\$89	\$9	41
Hawaii	\$167	\$124	25	\$1	\$0**	51
Idaho	\$163	\$105	31	\$32	\$20	31
Illinois	\$1,016	\$79	40	\$43	\$3	47
Indiana	\$601	\$93	34	\$138	\$21	29
Iowa	\$431	\$142	17	\$95	\$31	24
Kansas	\$558	\$197	11	\$21	\$7	44
Kentucky	\$344	\$80	38	\$116	\$27	26
Louisiana	\$481	\$107	30	\$286	\$64	13
Maine	\$397	\$299	4	\$56	\$42	21
Maryland	\$711	\$124	25	\$74	\$13	37
Massachusetts	\$908	\$139	19	\$858	\$132	6
Michigan	\$536	\$54	48	\$307	\$31	24
Minnesota	\$1,719	\$325	2	\$612	\$116	8
Mississippi	\$174	\$59	45	\$5	\$2	49
Missouri	\$541	\$91	35	\$329	\$55	15
Montana	\$118	\$120	27	\$48	\$49	16
Nebraska	\$234	\$129	23	\$39	\$21	29
Nevada	\$85	\$32	49	\$72	\$27	26
New Hampshire	\$236	\$179	12	\$14	\$11	40
New Jersey	\$774	\$88	37	\$372	\$43	20
New Mexico	\$359	\$176	13	\$236	\$116	8
New York	\$4,921	\$255	5	\$5,337	\$276	2
North Carolina	\$844	\$89	36	\$687	\$73	10
North Dakota	\$91	\$137	20	\$16	\$24	28
Ohio	\$1,642	\$142	17	\$210	\$18	32
Oklahoma	\$508	\$137	20	\$31	\$8	43
Oregon	\$891	\$234	8	\$69	\$18	32
Pennsylvania	\$2,006	\$158	15	\$219	\$17	34
Rhode Island	\$263	\$250	6	\$3	\$3	47
South Carolina	\$458	\$100	33	\$34	\$7	44
South Dakota	\$105	\$130	22	\$10	\$13	37
Tennessee	\$663	\$105	31	\$253	\$40	22
Texas	\$1,417	\$57	46	\$1,558	\$63	14
Utah	\$166	\$61	44	\$12	\$4	46
Vermont	NA	NA	NA	\$218	\$349	1
Virginia	\$865	\$109	29	\$18	\$2	49
Washington	\$992	\$149	16	\$456	\$68	12
West Virginia	\$321	\$174	14	\$73	\$40	22
Wisconsin	\$1,203	\$212	9	\$274	\$48	18
Wyoming	\$117	\$209	10	\$8	\$14	35
United States	\$34,826	\$114		\$20,770	\$68	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

* Because of inconsistencies in the numbers for some services, 2008 data are used for HI, NM, and RI, and an average of 2008 and 2009 is used for GA and WI.

58 **The value is less than \$0.5 but rounds to zero.

PUBLIC LTSS EXPENDITURES: LTSS & HOME HEALTH

State-funded HCBS Expenditures for Older People and Adults w/ Physical Disabilities, 2009*

	Value (Millions)	Per Person in State	Rank
Alabama	NA	NA	NA
Alaska	\$4	\$5.58	14
Arizona	\$16	\$2.53	27
Arkansas	\$8	\$2.66	24
California	\$182	\$4.93	17
Colorado	\$22	\$4.42	19
Connecticut	\$68	\$19.09	2
Delaware	\$2	\$1.77	32
District of Columbia	NA	NA	NA
Florida	\$57	\$3.05	23
Georgia	\$18	\$1.86	31
Hawaii	\$6	\$4.12	22
Idaho	NA	NA	NA
Illinois	\$228	\$17.85	3
Indiana	\$32	\$4.94	16
Iowa	\$7	\$2.20	29
Kansas	\$8	\$2.65	25
Kentucky	\$34	\$7.78	9
Louisiana	NA	NA	NA
Maine	\$16	\$12.19	5
Maryland	\$13	\$2.20	29
Massachusetts	\$136	\$20.94	1
Michigan	NA	NA	NA
Minnesota	\$30	\$5.76	13
Mississippi	NA	NA	NA
Missouri	\$9	\$1.43	35
Montana	NA	NA	NA
Nebraska	\$2	\$1.12	37
Nevada	\$28	\$10.43	6
New Hampshire	\$12	\$9.25	7
New Jersey	\$43	\$4.93	17
New Mexico	NA	NA	NA
New York	\$115	\$5.96	12
North Carolina	\$39	\$4.14	21
North Dakota	\$1	\$1.20	36
Ohio	\$12	\$1.00	38
Oklahoma	\$3	\$0.69	39
Oregon	\$6	\$1.59	33
Pennsylvania	\$220	\$17.34	4
Rhode Island	\$5	\$4.41	20
South Carolina	NA	NA	NA
South Dakota	NA	NA	NA
Tennessee	\$9	\$1.49	34
Texas	\$13	\$0.52	40
Utah	\$25	\$9.09	8
Vermont	\$4	\$6.96	10
Virginia	\$18	\$2.27	28
Washington	\$18	\$2.63	26
West Virginia	\$13	\$6.91	11
Wisconsin	NA	NA	NA
Wyoming	\$3	\$5.45	15
United States	\$1,481	\$4.83	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

* Because of inconsistencies in the numbers for some services, 2008 data are used for HI, NM, and RI, and an average of 2008 and 2009 is used for GA and WI.

PUBLIC LTSS EXPENDITURES: USERS BY TYPE OF SERVICE

	Medicaid Nursing Facility Users, 2008			Medicaid HCBS Users (Older People and Adults with Physical Disabilities), 2008		
	Value	Per 1,000 Population	Rank	Value	Per 1,000 Population	Rank
Alabama	25,671	5.4	26	16,211	3.4	40
Alaska	939	1.4	50	6,863	10.0	12
Arizona	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Arkansas	27,184	9.5	3	29,859	10.4	10
California	137,361	3.8	39	524,639	14.3	2
Colorado	16,320	3.3	44	28,454	5.8	30
Connecticut	39,696	11.2	1	27,543	7.8	21
Delaware	3,713	4.2	35	2,576	2.9	42
District of Columbia	3,359	5.8	20	8,248	14.2	3
Florida	77,853	4.2	35	46,742	2.5	44
Georgia	38,806	4.1	38	21,115	2.2	47
Hawaii	4,863	3.7	40	4,347	3.3	41
Idaho	5,337	3.5	42	16,459	10.7	9
Illinois	71,848	5.6	23	186,145	14.6	1
Indiana	44,479	6.9	10	15,602	2.4	45
Iowa	19,597	6.5	12	28,333	9.4	14
Kansas	15,549	5.5	25	20,801	7.4	23
Kentucky	27,701	6.5	12	28,363	6.6	27
Louisiana	28,846	6.5	12	27,838	6.3	29
Maine	10,285	7.7	7	13,464	10.1	11
Maryland	24,714	4.3	34	12,123	2.1	48
Massachusetts	53,232	8.2	6	44,381	6.9	25
Michigan	46,964	4.7	31	74,047	7.4	23
Minnesota	29,124	5.6	23	74,107	14.1	4
Mississippi	21,734	7.4	8	20,249	6.9	25
Missouri	38,466	6.5	12	75,096	12.7	5
Montana	5,163	5.3	27	5,690	5.8	30
Nebraska	10,815	6.0	19	15,419	8.6	18
Nevada	4,842	1.8	49	9,572	3.6	39
New Hampshire	6,840	5.2	28	6,015	4.6	36
New Jersey	44,595	5.1	30	46,663	5.4	32
New Mexico	6,021	3.0	46	16,336	8.1	19
New York	212,714	11.1	2	212,020	11.0	8
North Carolina	41,234	4.4	32	104,983	11.3	7
North Dakota	5,505	8.4	5	3,079	4.7	35
Ohio	83,530	7.3	9	76,001	6.6	27
Oklahoma	21,376	5.8	20	34,015	9.3	15
Oregon	10,189	2.7	47	33,804	9.0	17
Pennsylvania	77,931	6.2	16	52,489	4.2	38
Rhode Island	9,815	9.3	4	5,013	4.8	34
South Carolina	16,288	3.6	41	22,885	5.1	33
South Dakota	5,533	6.9	10	7,333	9.2	16
Tennessee	32,471	5.2	28	14,069	2.3	46
Texas	102,111	4.2	35	289,935	11.9	6
Utah	5,498	2.1	48	5,592	2.1	48
Vermont	3,793	6.1	18	NA	NA	NA
Virginia	26,804	3.4	43	20,316	2.6	43
Washington	21,209	3.2	45	62,105	9.5	13
West Virginia	11,474	6.2	16	13,765	7.5	22
Wisconsin	32,585	5.8	20	45,354	8.0	20
Wyoming	2,427	4.4	32	2,464	4.5	37
United States	1,614,404	5.3		2,499,867	8.2	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

PUBLIC LTSS EXPENDITURES: USERS BY TYPE OF SERVICE

	Medicaid HCBS Aged/Disabled Waiver Services Users, 2008			Medicaid HCBS Personal Care Services Users, 2008		
	Value	Per 1,000 Population	Rank	Value	Per 1,000 Population	Rank
Alabama	9,468	2.0	28	NA	NA	NA
Alaska	3,049	4.4	10	3,520	5.1	7
Arizona	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Arkansas	10,173	3.5	14	13,923	4.8	8
California	16,119	0.4	49	422,823	11.6	1
Colorado	17,490	3.6	13	NA	NA	NA
Connecticut	12,386	3.5	14	NA	NA	NA
Delaware	1,307	1.5	36	NA	NA	NA
District of Columbia	1,714	3.0	21	2,298	4.0	12
Florida	29,316	1.6	32	403	0.0*	30
Georgia	15,082	1.6	32	NA	NA	NA
Hawaii	2,719	2.0	28	NA	NA	NA
Idaho	8,185	5.3	5	3,799	2.5	19
Illinois	58,001	4.6	8	NA	NA	NA
Indiana	7,311	1.1	41	NA	NA	NA
Iowa	15,103	5.0	6	NA	NA	NA
Kansas	16,567	5.9	4	89	0.0*	30
Kentucky	10,217	2.4	24	NA	NA	NA
Louisiana	4,615	1.0	42	11,532	2.6	17
Maine	1,635	1.2	40	8,963	6.7	3
Maryland	3,998	0.7	45	4,416	0.8	28
Massachusetts	9,828	1.5	36	16,900	2.6	17
Michigan	9,498	1.0	42	58,653	5.9	5
Minnesota	40,724	7.8	1	20,759	4.0	12
Mississippi	12,361	4.2	11	NA	NA	NA
Missouri	19,620	3.3	18	49,333	8.3	2
Montana	2,205	2.3	25	3,093	3.2	15
Nebraska	5,909	3.3	18	1,832	1.0	25
Nevada	2,654	1.0	42	6,205	2.3	22
New Hampshire	3,454	2.6	23	21	0.0*	30
New Jersey	11,345	1.3	38	20,623	2.4	21
New Mexico	3,575	1.8	30	12,365	6.1	4
New York	24,140	1.3	38	78,494	4.1	10
North Carolina	14,579	1.6	32	50,017	5.4	6
North Dakota	361	0.5	47	1,853	2.8	16
Ohio	44,693	3.9	12	NA	NA	NA
Oklahoma	25,687	7.0	3	3,327	0.9	26
Oregon	27,403	7.3	2	3,389	0.9	26
Pennsylvania	26,714	2.1	26	NA	NA	NA
Rhode Island	3,577	3.4	17	NA	NA	NA
South Carolina	14,922	3.3	18	NA	NA	NA
South Dakota	1,472	1.8	30	996	1.2	24
Tennessee	3,204	0.5	47	NA	NA	NA
Texas	39,536	1.6	32	51,567	2.1	23
Utah	1,492	0.6	46	1,964	0.7	29
Vermont	NA	NA	NA	2,653	4.3	9
Virginia	16,583	2.1	26	NA	NA	NA
Washington	31,748	4.8	7	27,075	4.1	10
West Virginia	5,592	3.0	21	6,026	3.3	14
Wisconsin	25,287	4.5	9	14,032	2.5	19
Wyoming	1,909	3.5	14	NA	NA	NA
United States	674,528	2.2		902,943	3.0	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1. Personal care services are not included in the state plan in some states. States not reporting any PCS expenditures or participants are indicated by NA.

*The value is less than 0.05 but rounds to zero.

PUBLIC LTSS EXPENDITURES: USERS BY TYPE OF SERVICE

	Medicaid HCBS Home Health Services Users, 2008			Medicaid Institutional Services (NF and ICF-MR) Users, 2008		
	Value	Per 1,000 Population	Rank	Value	Per 1,000 Population	Rank
Alabama	6,743	1.4	28	25,912	5.5	26
Alaska	294	0.4	47	944	1.4	50
Arizona	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Arkansas	5,763	2.0	21	29,416	10.2	3
California	85,697	2.3	18	145,978	4.0	39
Colorado	10,964	2.2	19	16,476	3.4	44
Connecticut	15,157	4.3	8	40,929	11.5	1
Delaware	1,269	1.4	28	3,851	4.4	35
District of Columbia	4,236	7.3	3	4,017	6.9	14
Florida	17,023	0.9	39	80,860	4.4	35
Georgia	6,033	0.6	43	40,469	4.3	38
Hawaii	1,628	1.2	34	4,950	3.7	42
Idaho	4,475	2.9	12	5,908	3.9	41
Illinois	128,144	10.1	1	81,126	6.4	19
Indiana	8,291	1.3	32	48,785	7.6	11
Iowa	13,230	4.4	7	21,881	7.3	12
Kansas	4,145	1.5	27	16,176	5.8	25
Kentucky	18,146	4.2	11	28,378	6.6	16
Louisiana	11,691	2.6	16	34,294	7.7	10
Maine	2,866	2.2	19	10,504	7.9	8
Maryland	3,709	0.7	42	25,061	4.4	35
Massachusetts	17,653	2.7	13	54,271	8.4	6
Michigan	5,896	0.6	43	47,098	4.7	32
Minnesota	12,624	2.4	17	32,035	6.1	22
Mississippi	7,888	2.7	13	24,877	8.4	6
Missouri	6,143	1.0	37	39,427	6.7	15
Montana	392	0.4	47	5,228	5.4	28
Nebraska	7,678	4.3	8	11,454	6.4	19
Nevada	713	0.3	49	4,971	1.9	49
New Hampshire	2,540	1.9	23	6,874	5.2	30
New Jersey	14,695	1.7	25	48,038	5.5	26
New Mexico	396	0.2	50	6,291	3.1	46
New York	109,386	5.7	6	221,828	11.5	1
North Carolina	40,387	4.3	8	45,419	4.9	31
North Dakota	865	1.3	32	6,160	9.4	4
Ohio	31,308	2.7	13	91,274	7.9	8
Oklahoma	5,001	1.4	28	23,127	6.3	21
Oregon	3,012	0.8	40	10,229	2.7	47
Pennsylvania	25,775	2.0	21	81,945	6.5	17
Rhode Island	1,436	1.4	28	9,862	9.3	5
South Carolina	7,963	1.8	24	17,917	4.0	39
South Dakota	4,865	6.1	4	5,715	7.2	13
Tennessee	10,865	1.7	25	33,688	5.4	28
Texas	198,832	8.2	2	114,213	4.7	32
Utah	2,136	0.8	40	6,326	2.4	48
Vermont	3,723	6.0	5	3,802	6.1	22
Virginia	3,733	0.5	45	28,603	3.7	42
Washington	3,282	0.5	45	21,271	3.2	45
West Virginia	2,147	1.2	34	12,046	6.5	17
Wisconsin	6,035	1.1	36	34,028	6.0	24
Wyoming	555	1.0	37	2,522	4.6	34
United States	922,396	3.0		1,717,794	5.6	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

PUBLIC LTSS EXPENDITURES: USERS BY TYPE OF SERVICE

	HCBS Users, All Populations, 2008			HCBS Waiver Services Users, All Populations, 2008		
	Value	Per 1,000 Population	Rank	Value	Per 1,000 Population	Rank
Alabama	21,888	4.6	42	15,145	3.2	34
Alaska	8,358	12.2	13	4,544	6.6	10
Arizona	34,968	5.6	39	NA	NA	NA
Arkansas	33,404	11.6	17	13,718	4.8	23
California	599,526	16.4	2	91,006	2.5	45
Colorado	40,455	8.3	29	29,491	6.0	13
Connecticut	31,145	8.8	26	15,988	4.5	27
Delaware	4,129	4.7	41	2,860	3.2	34
District of Columbia	9,352	16.1	4	2,818	4.9	22
Florida	82,578	4.5	43	65,152	3.5	33
Georgia	32,680	3.4	49	26,647	2.8	41
Hawaii	7,183	5.4	40	5,555	4.2	30
Idaho	18,844	12.3	12	10,570	6.9	9
Illinois	208,346	16.3	3	80,202	6.3	11
Indiana	26,323	4.1	46	18,032	2.8	41
Iowa	40,185	13.3	7	26,955	8.9	4
Kansas	33,423	11.9	15	29,189	10.4	2
Kentucky	31,617	7.4	34	13,471	3.1	37
Louisiana	36,172	8.2	32	12,949	2.9	38
Maine	17,368	13.1	8	5,539	4.2	30
Maryland	24,552	4.3	44	16,427	2.9	38
Massachusetts	57,662	8.9	25	23,109	3.6	32
Michigan	82,580	8.3	29	18,031	1.8	47
Minnesota	90,225	17.2	1	56,842	10.8	1
Mississippi	22,924	7.8	33	15,036	5.1	19
Missouri	84,110	14.2	6	28,634	4.8	23
Montana	8,105	8.3	29	4,620	4.7	25
Nebraska	19,546	10.9	18	10,036	5.6	16
Nevada	11,258	4.2	45	4,340	1.6	49
New Hampshire	9,088	6.9	35	6,527	5.0	21
New Jersey	60,322	6.9	35	25,004	2.9	38
New Mexico	21,575	10.7	21	8,814	4.4	29
New York	276,868	14.4	5	88,988	4.6	26
North Carolina	115,793	12.4	11	25,389	2.7	43
North Dakota	6,614	10.1	22	3,896	5.9	14
Ohio	96,932	8.4	27	65,624	5.7	15
Oklahoma	39,720	10.8	20	31,392	8.6	5
Oregon	44,688	11.9	15	38,287	10.2	3
Pennsylvania	82,724	6.6	38	56,949	4.5	27
Rhode Island	8,893	8.4	27	7,457	7.1	8
South Carolina	31,099	6.9	35	23,136	5.1	19
South Dakota	10,211	12.8	10	4,350	5.4	17
Tennessee	21,183	3.4	49	10,318	1.7	48
Texas	314,728	12.9	9	64,329	2.6	44
Utah	10,215	3.8	47	6,115	2.3	46
Vermont	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Virginia	28,493	3.6	48	24,760	3.2	34
Washington	71,808	10.9	18	41,451	6.3	11
West Virginia	17,659	9.6	23	9,486	5.2	18
Wisconsin	67,941	12.0	14	47,874	8.5	6
Wyoming	4,915	9.0	24	4,360	8.0	7
United States	3,066,750	10.1		1,241,411	4.1	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

PUBLIC LTSS EXPENDITURES: USERS BY TYPE OF SERVICE

	Other HCBS Services (including PCS and Home Health) Users, All Populations, 2008			Adults with Disabilities Self-Directing Services, 2011		
	Value	Per 1,000 Population	Rank	Value	Per 1,000 Population	Rank
Alabama	6,743	1.4	42	89	0.02	49
Alaska	3,814	5.5	16	3,688	5.36	4
Arizona	34,968	5.6	15	2,140	0.34	39
Arkansas	19,686	6.8	11	4,928	1.71	11
California	508,520	13.9	1	480,000	13.11	1
Colorado	10,964	2.2	34	19,550	4.00	6
Connecticut	15,157	4.3	24	2,429	0.69	31
Delaware	1,269	1.4	42	35	0.04	48
District of Columbia	6,534	11.3	2	1	0.00*	51
Florida	17,426	0.9	49	1,984	0.11	46
Georgia	6,033	0.6	50	2,849	0.30	41
Hawaii	1,628	1.2	47	2,271	1.70	12
Idaho	8,274	5.4	17	1,178	0.77	29
Illinois	128,144	10.1	5	8,327	0.65	33
Indiana	8,291	1.3	46	905	0.14	45
Iowa	13,230	4.4	22	3,095	1.03	24
Kansas	4,234	1.5	40	3,416	1.22	21
Kentucky	18,146	4.2	25	4,332	1.01	25
Louisiana	23,223	5.2	20	2,235	0.50	35
Maine	11,829	8.9	9	930	0.70	30
Maryland	8,125	1.4	42	7,175	1.26	20
Massachusetts	34,553	5.3	18	19,460	3.01	8
Michigan	64,549	6.5	12	9,355	0.94	27
Minnesota	33,383	6.4	13	5,736	1.09	22
Mississippi	7,888	2.7	30	3,750	1.27	19
Missouri	55,476	9.4	8	15,270	2.58	9
Montana	3,485	3.6	28	4,832	4.95	5
Nebraska	9,510	5.3	18	2,346	1.31	17
Nevada	6,918	2.6	32	1,238	0.47	36
New Hampshire	2,561	1.9	36	1,770	1.35	16
New Jersey	35,318	4.1	26	2,587	0.30	41
New Mexico	12,761	6.3	14	4,400	2.19	10
New York	187,880	9.8	6	10,252	0.53	34
North Carolina	90,404	9.7	7	70	0.01	50
North Dakota	2,718	4.1	26	432	0.66	32
Ohio	31,308	2.7	30	1,082	0.09	47
Oklahoma	8,328	2.3	33	953	0.26	43
Oregon	6,401	1.7	38	23,512	6.24	3
Pennsylvania	25,775	2.0	35	19,157	1.52	15
Rhode Island	1,436	1.4	42	1,642	1.56	14
South Carolina	7,963	1.8	37	1,786	0.39	37
South Dakota	5,861	7.3	10	1,036	1.30	18
Tennessee	10,865	1.7	38	1,186	0.19	44
Texas	250,399	10.3	3	7,964	0.33	40
Utah	4,100	1.5	40	2,875	1.08	23
Vermont	6,376	10.2	4	4,310	6.91	2
Virginia	3,733	0.5	51	7,809	1.00	26
Washington	30,357	4.6	21	22,585	3.44	7
West Virginia	8,173	4.4	22	690	0.37	38
Wisconsin	20,067	3.6	28	9,563	1.70	12
Wyoming	555	1.0	48	506	0.93	28
United States	1,825,339	6.0		739,711	2.43	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

*The value is less than less than 0.005 but rounds to zero.

PUBLIC LTSS EXPENDITURES: MEDICAID LTSS BALANCE

	Medicaid Aged/Disabled Waiver Participants per 100 Beneficiaries in Nursing Facilities, 2008		Medicaid HCBS Beneficiaries as a % of LTSS Users, for Older People and Adults with PD, 2008		Medicaid HCBS Beneficiaries as a % of LTSS Users, for All Populations, 2008	
	Value (Thousands)	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	37	29	39%	42	46%	45
Alaska	325	1	88%	1	90%	1
Arizona	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Arkansas	37	29	52%	25	53%	32
California	12	46	79%	2	80%	3
Colorado	107	7	64%	14	71%	11
Connecticut	31	36	41%	39	43%	47
Delaware	35	33	41%	39	52%	34
District of Columbia	51	21	71%	11	70%	12
Florida	38	28	38%	43	51%	38
Georgia	39	26	35%	45	45%	46
Hawaii	56	17	47%	35	59%	27
Idaho	153	3	76%	4	76%	6
Illinois	81	10	72%	8	72%	9
Indiana	16	42	26%	49	35%	49
Iowa	77	13	59%	17	65%	18
Kansas	107	7	57%	21	67%	15
Kentucky	37	29	51%	27	53%	32
Louisiana	16	42	49%	32	51%	38
Maine	16	42	57%	21	62%	24
Maryland	16	42	33%	47	49%	42
Massachusetts	18	41	45%	37	52%	34
Michigan	20	40	61%	15	64%	19
Minnesota	140	5	72%	8	74%	7
Mississippi	57	16	48%	33	48%	43
Missouri	51	21	66%	12	68%	14
Montana	43	25	52%	25	61%	26
Nebraska	55	18	59%	17	63%	21
Nevada	55	18	66%	12	69%	13
New Hampshire	50	23	47%	35	57%	29
New Jersey	25	39	51%	27	56%	30
New Mexico	59	15	73%	7	77%	4
New York	11	47	50%	29	56%	30
North Carolina	35	33	72%	8	72%	9
North Dakota	7	49	36%	44	52%	34
Ohio	54	20	48%	33	52%	34
Oklahoma	120	6	61%	15	63%	21
Oregon	269	2	77%	3	81%	2
Pennsylvania	34	35	40%	41	50%	40
Rhode Island	36	32	34%	46	47%	44
South Carolina	92	9	58%	19	63%	21
South Dakota	27	37	57%	21	64%	19
Tennessee	10	48	30%	48	39%	48
Texas	39	26	74%	6	73%	8
Utah	27	37	50%	29	62%	24
Vermont	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Virginia	62	14	43%	38	50%	40
Washington	150	4	75%	5	77%	4
West Virginia	49	24	55%	24	59%	27
Wisconsin	78	12	58%	19	67%	15
Wyoming	79	11	50%	29	66%	17
United States	42		61%		64%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

PUBLIC LTSS EXPENDITURES: PER PERSON SERVED

	Medicaid Nursing Facility Services Expenditures per Person Served, 2008		Medicaid HCBS Expenditures for Older People and Adults w/ PD per Person Served, 2008		Medicaid Aged/Disabled Waiver Services Expenditures per Person Served, 2008	
	Value	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	\$32,322	16	\$9,704	22	\$9,704	28
Alaska	\$79,078	1	\$18,711	2	\$16,543	5
Arizona	\$24,154	43	NA	NA	NA	NA
Arkansas	\$16,318	51	\$5,136	43	\$6,697	39
California	\$28,341	31	\$10,184	19	\$8,906	32
Colorado	\$30,886	20	\$7,895	33	\$7,895	35
Connecticut	\$33,346	13	\$10,626	17	\$10,626	23
Delaware	\$48,369	3	\$12,893	10	\$12,893	13
District of Columbia	\$47,938	4	\$8,456	32	\$14,564	10
Florida	\$30,019	24	\$10,380	18	\$10,305	24
Georgia	\$27,253	36	\$8,935	28	\$8,935	31
Hawaii	\$43,501	5	\$15,853	4	\$15,853	6
Idaho	\$32,519	14	\$8,784	29	\$9,106	30
Illinois	\$21,865	48	\$8,503	30	\$8,503	33
Indiana	\$23,625	46	\$8,460	31	\$8,460	34
Iowa	\$24,294	42	\$5,772	41	\$5,772	43
Kansas	\$23,026	47	\$11,874	14	\$11,938	21
Kentucky	\$28,157	34	\$3,393	48	\$3,393	48
Louisiana	\$24,887	40	\$3,518	46	\$12,308	18
Maine	\$23,988	44	\$7,499	36	\$14,163	11
Maryland	\$41,167	6	\$13,510	7	\$22,455	1
Massachusetts	\$35,462	10	\$13,295	8	\$4,156	46
Michigan	\$32,319	17	\$5,296	42	\$9,504	29
Minnesota	\$28,341	31	\$13,279	9	\$14,998	9
Mississippi	\$32,490	15	\$7,572	35	\$7,572	38
Missouri	\$21,832	49	\$5,868	40	\$5,148	45
Montana	\$29,937	25	\$10,988	16	\$13,350	12
Nebraska	\$27,296	35	\$9,388	23	\$9,987	27
Nevada	\$33,912	12	\$9,325	24	\$4,083	47
New Hampshire	\$30,823	21	\$12,827	11	\$12,781	14
New Jersey	\$40,908	7	\$12,226	13	\$12,384	17
New Mexico	\$28,767	27	\$3,457	47	\$15,416	8
New York	\$34,175	11	\$23,177	1	\$1,484	49
North Carolina	\$26,210	37	\$8,975	27	\$18,037	3
North Dakota	\$30,907	19	\$1,067	49	\$6,543	40
Ohio	\$30,535	22	\$12,612	12	\$12,612	15
Oklahoma	\$24,444	41	\$7,085	37	\$7,605	37
Oregon	\$31,766	18	\$9,312	25	\$10,283	25
Pennsylvania	\$37,867	8	\$18,218	3	\$18,218	2
Rhode Island	\$52,105	2	\$10,025	21	\$10,025	26
South Carolina	\$28,361	30	\$7,734	34	\$7,734	36
South Dakota	\$24,983	38	\$3,894	45	\$5,332	44
Tennessee	\$28,367	29	\$5,928	39	\$5,928	42
Texas	\$18,963	50	\$10,065	20	\$12,506	16
Utah	\$28,933	26	\$5,091	44	\$10,697	22
Vermont	\$30,496	23	NA	NA	NA	NA
Virginia	\$28,215	33	\$15,566	5	\$15,566	7
Washington	\$24,899	39	\$11,692	15	\$12,080	19
West Virginia	\$37,860	9	\$9,054	26	\$12,051	20
Wisconsin	\$23,958	45	\$15,056	6	\$17,661	4
Wyoming	\$28,427	28	\$5,970	38	\$5,970	41
United States	\$29,533		\$10,957		\$10,710	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

PUBLIC LTSS EXPENDITURES: PER PERSON SERVED

	Medicaid PCS Expenditures per Person Served, 2008		Medicaid Home Health Services Expenditures per Person Served, 2008	
	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	NA	NA	\$3,708	18
Alaska	\$20,589	2	\$3,256	20
Arizona	NA	NA	NA	NA
Arkansas	\$3,996	27	\$1,799	34
California	\$10,232	13	\$1,823	33
Colorado	NA	NA	\$8,086	5
Connecticut	NA	NA	\$9,799	4
Delaware	NA	NA	\$3,995	16
District of Columbia	\$3,900	28	\$4,163	15
Florida	\$15,830	7	\$4,189	14
Georgia	NA	NA	\$835	47
Hawaii	NA	NA	\$1,946	32
Idaho	\$8,089	17	\$1,673	35
Illinois	NA	NA	\$962	45
Indiana	NA	NA	\$12,762	3
Iowa	NA	NA	\$8,075	6
Kansas	\$14,011	8	\$2,916	25
Kentucky	NA	NA	\$2,750	26
Louisiana	\$16,833	5	\$3,124	22
Maine	\$6,284	22	\$2,688	27
Maryland	\$5,412	25	\$627	49
Massachusetts	\$18,610	4	\$4,853	11
Michigan	\$4,614	26	\$685	48
Minnesota	\$9,906	14	\$1,993	31
Mississippi	NA	NA	\$923	46
Missouri	\$6,154	24	\$969	44
Montana	\$9,304	15	\$1,101	41
Nebraska	\$7,453	19	\$4,966	10
Nevada	\$11,567	10	\$4,694	13
New Hampshire	\$20,496	3	\$1,173	40
New Jersey	\$12,140	9	\$3,337	19
New Mexico	\$16,471	6	\$1,077	42
New York	\$29,848	1	\$16,846	2
North Carolina	\$6,333	21	\$3,251	21
North Dakota	\$6,675	20	\$6,648	8
Ohio	NA	NA	\$4,787	12
Oklahoma	\$3,077	29	\$2,147	29
Oregon	\$1,463	31	\$350	50
Pennsylvania	NA	NA	\$7,268	7
Rhode Island	NA	NA	\$2,115	30
South Carolina	NA	NA	\$3,721	17
South Dakota	\$1,770	30	\$979	43
Tennessee	NA	NA	\$17,045	1
Texas	\$8,193	16	\$2,349	28
Utah	\$832	32	\$5,322	9
Vermont	\$7,676	18	\$1,639	36
Virginia	NA	NA	\$1,565	38
Washington	\$11,236	11	\$1,305	39
West Virginia	\$6,273	23	\$1,570	37
Wisconsin	\$10,362	12	\$2,922	24
Wyoming	NA	NA	\$3,072	23
United States	\$11,142		\$5,495	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1. Personal care services are not included in the state plan in some states. States not reporting any PCS expenditures or participants are indicated by NA.

PUBLIC LTSS EXPENDITURES: PER PERSON SERVED

	Medicaid ICF-MR Services Expenditures per Person Served, 2008		Medicaid MR/DD Waiver Services Expenditures per Person Served, 2008	
	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	\$144,633	14	\$43,421	24
Alaska	\$170,340	10	\$59,949	8
Arizona	NA	NA	NA	NA
Arkansas	\$61,467	50	\$32,074	35
California	\$83,545	40	\$23,564	45
Colorado	\$144,069	15	\$39,340	28
Connecticut	\$190,441	6	\$19,488	48
Delaware	\$215,541	3	\$96,836	1
District of Columbia	\$112,654	23	\$30,409	38
Florida	\$109,714	26	\$30,323	39
Georgia	\$71,121	44	\$13,599	49
Hawaii	\$102,725	30	\$32,833	34
Idaho	\$114,706	22	\$27,518	43
Illinois	\$61,960	49	\$30,176	40
Indiana	\$67,259	48	\$44,455	20
Iowa	\$125,416	20	\$26,531	44
Kansas	\$100,801	31	\$36,224	30
Kentucky	\$88,394	37	\$67,486	6
Louisiana	\$87,358	39	\$45,281	19
Maine	\$137,218	19	\$77,736	3
Maryland	\$158,901	12	\$46,780	18
Massachusetts	\$206,175	4	\$48,810	14
Michigan	\$163,811	11	\$48,251	17
Minnesota	\$68,762	46	\$63,802	7
Mississippi	\$89,852	34	\$20,774	47
Missouri	\$111,027	25	\$44,194	21
Montana	\$182,088	9	\$33,671	33
Nebraska	\$106,731	28	\$35,988	31
Nevada	\$145,041	13	\$39,587	27
New Hampshire	\$88,394	37	\$48,447	15
New Jersey	\$184,533	8	\$31,281	36
New Mexico	\$78,659	41	\$48,447	15
New York	\$384,582	1	\$77,992	2
North Carolina	\$111,333	24	\$43,520	23
North Dakota	\$107,602	27	\$21,949	46
Ohio	\$96,287	32	\$40,889	25
Oklahoma	\$68,021	47	\$52,103	12
Oregon	\$281,470	2	\$40,590	26
Pennsylvania	\$140,236	18	\$50,294	13
Rhode Island	\$189,654	7	\$69,470	5
South Carolina	\$89,608	35	\$36,602	29
South Dakota	\$119,182	21	\$30,089	41
Tennessee	\$196,136	5	\$70,968	4
Texas	\$78,237	42	\$35,502	32
Utah	\$70,476	45	\$31,161	37
Vermont	\$143,510	16	NA	NA
Virginia	\$143,378	17	\$54,967	11
Washington	\$73,741	43	\$43,715	22
West Virginia	\$105,026	29	\$57,480	9
Wisconsin	\$88,970	36	\$28,717	42
Wyoming	\$94,774	33	\$56,804	10
United States	\$123,053		\$42,896	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

LTSS COSTS: PUBLIC & PRIVATE PAYMENT RATES

	Medicaid Payment per Day for Nursing Facility Care, 2011		Medicare Payment per Day for Nursing Facility Care, 2010		Medicare Reimbursement per Home Health Visit, 2010	
	Value	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	NA	NA	\$341	48	\$153	35
Alaska	NA	NA	\$648	1	\$217	2
Arizona	\$177	21	\$423	19	\$188	7
Arkansas	NA	NA	\$334	50	\$127	49
California	\$171	24	\$506	2	\$188	7
Colorado	\$191	17	\$437	10	\$158	29
Connecticut	\$221	4	\$432	12	\$132	47
Delaware	\$209	8	\$421	20	\$172	16
District of Columbia	\$261	1	\$428	16	\$185	10
Florida	\$206	11	\$404	28	\$133	46
Georgia	\$143	37	\$354	41	\$166	23
Hawaii	\$233	2	\$440	9	\$240	1
Idaho	\$194	15	\$395	30	\$153	35
Illinois	\$120	42	\$391	31	\$193	6
Indiana	\$156	29	\$364	37	\$154	33
Iowa	\$144	35	\$429	15	\$138	43
Kansas	\$150	32	\$420	22	\$147	38
Kentucky	NA	NA	\$349	43	\$157	30
Louisiana	NA	NA	\$322	51	\$143	40
Maine	\$178	20	\$424	18	\$167	21
Maryland	\$223	3	\$408	27	\$199	5
Massachusetts	\$197	13	\$443	7	\$141	41
Michigan	\$208	10	\$382	33	\$186	9
Minnesota	\$165	26	\$432	12	\$174	15
Mississippi	NA	NA	\$353	42	\$156	32
Missouri	\$133	38	\$347	45	\$168	18
Montana	\$174	23	\$402	29	\$170	17
Nebraska	\$144	35	\$415	24	\$165	25
Nevada	\$184	19	\$456	5	\$179	13
New Hampshire	\$195	14	\$434	11	\$163	27
New Jersey	\$209	8	\$464	3	\$180	12
New Mexico	NA	NA	\$377	36	\$154	33
New York	\$220	6	\$417	23	\$131	48
North Carolina	NA	NA	\$356	39	\$178	14
North Dakota	\$206	11	\$383	32	\$140	42
Ohio	\$177	21	\$378	34	\$146	39
Oklahoma	\$127	40	\$344	46	\$127	49
Oregon	\$221	4	\$454	6	\$216	3
Pennsylvania	\$212	7	\$378	34	\$165	25
Rhode Island	\$194	15	\$427	17	\$168	18
South Carolina	\$154	30	\$348	44	\$182	11
South Dakota	\$130	39	\$430	14	\$166	23
Tennessee	\$145	34	\$342	47	\$157	30
Texas	\$126	41	\$356	39	\$138	43
Utah	\$163	28	\$414	25	\$119	51
Vermont	\$189	18	\$442	8	\$135	45
Virginia	\$153	31	\$358	38	\$167	21
Washington	\$168	25	\$459	4	\$215	4
West Virginia	NA	NA	\$339	49	\$168	18
Wisconsin	\$150	32	\$412	26	\$163	27
Wyoming	\$164	27	\$421	20	\$150	37
United States	\$178		\$398		\$154	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

LTSS COSTS: PUBLIC & PRIVATE PAYMENT RATES

	Semi-Private Pay Rate per Day in Nursing Facility, 2011		Private Pay Rate per Month in Assisted Living, 2011	
	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	\$170	39	\$2,899	40
Alaska	\$609	1	\$5,500	1
Arizona	\$175	36	\$3,000	35
Arkansas	\$143	47	\$2,700	46
California	\$213	20	\$3,500	17
Colorado	\$202	23	\$3,288	25
Connecticut	\$357	2	\$4,488	6
Delaware	\$230	14	\$4,626	4
District of Columbia	\$282	7	\$4,350	7
Florida	\$210	21	\$2,663	47
Georgia	\$160	42	\$2,400	51
Hawaii	\$297	5	\$3,825	11
Idaho	\$200	24	\$3,113	29
Illinois	\$150	44	\$3,490	20
Indiana	\$183	32	\$3,447	21
Iowa	\$145	45	\$3,182	28
Kansas	\$144	46	\$3,518	16
Kentucky	\$185	30	\$2,948	39
Louisiana	\$137	48	\$3,065	34
Maine	\$260	9	\$4,625	5
Maryland	\$227	15	\$3,300	24
Massachusetts	\$320	3	\$4,950	2
Michigan	\$220	17	\$3,000	35
Minnesota	\$185	30	\$3,100	30
Mississippi	\$189	28	\$2,949	38
Missouri	\$134	49	\$2,420	50
Montana	\$183	32	\$3,100	30
Nebraska	\$175	36	\$2,803	41
Nevada	\$210	21	\$2,750	43
New Hampshire	\$263	8	\$3,906	10
New Jersey	\$289	6	\$4,938	3
New Mexico	\$183	32	\$3,491	19
New York	\$315	4	\$3,660	13
North Carolina	\$183	32	\$2,800	42
North Dakota	\$188	29	\$2,500	49
Ohio	\$190	27	\$3,325	23
Oklahoma	\$130	50	\$2,748	45
Oregon	\$220	17	\$3,500	17
Pennsylvania	\$245	12	\$3,000	35
Rhode Island	\$258	10	\$4,213	8
South Carolina	\$175	36	\$3,070	33
South Dakota	\$167	41	\$2,750	43
Tennessee	\$170	39	\$3,080	32
Texas	\$127	51	\$3,210	27
Utah	\$157	43	\$2,648	48
Vermont	\$249	11	\$3,600	14
Virginia	\$191	26	\$3,705	12
Washington	\$224	16	\$4,000	9
West Virginia	\$233	13	\$3,275	26
Wisconsin	\$218	19	\$3,550	15
Wyoming	\$192	25	\$3,407	22
United States	\$193		\$3,261	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

LTSS COSTS: PUBLIC & PRIVATE PAYMENT RATES

	Private Pay Daily Rate for Adult Day Care, 2011		Private Pay Hourly Rate for Home Health Aide, 2011	
	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	\$35	50	\$16	47
Alaska	\$90	6	\$27	1
Arizona	\$80	8	\$19	31
Arkansas	\$63	25	\$16	47
California	\$77	9	\$21	11
Colorado	\$60	27	\$21	11
Connecticut	\$75	11	\$22	8
Delaware	\$75	11	\$21	11
District of Columbia	\$104	2	\$21	11
Florida	\$60	27	\$18	37
Georgia	\$59	33	\$18	37
Hawaii	\$64	23	\$25	3
Idaho	\$96	4	\$18	37
Illinois	\$65	21	\$20	22
Indiana	\$68	16	\$18	37
Iowa	\$52	41	\$20	22
Kansas	\$63	25	\$18	37
Kentucky	\$59	33	\$18	37
Louisiana	\$59	33	\$16	47
Maine	\$92	5	\$21	11
Maryland	\$75	11	\$20	22
Massachusetts	\$60	27	\$25	3
Michigan	\$76	10	\$19	31
Minnesota	\$66	19	\$26	2
Mississippi	\$64	23	\$16	47
Missouri	\$70	14	\$19	31
Montana	\$70	14	\$21	11
Nebraska	\$50	42	\$20	22
Nevada	\$65	21	\$21	11
New Hampshire	\$60	27	\$24	5
New Jersey	\$87	7	\$21	11
New Mexico	\$68	16	\$20	22
New York	\$48	47	\$21	11
North Carolina	\$48	47	\$19	31
North Dakota	\$67	18	\$23	6
Ohio	\$50	42	\$18	37
Oklahoma	\$60	27	\$19	31
Oregon	\$97	3	\$22	8
Pennsylvania	\$54	39	\$20	22
Rhode Island	\$66	19	\$23	6
South Carolina	\$49	46	\$17	45
South Dakota	\$50	42	\$20	22
Tennessee	\$50	42	\$17	45
Texas	\$30	51	\$18	37
Utah	\$40	49	\$20	22
Vermont	\$120	1	\$21	11
Virginia	\$55	37	\$19	31
Washington	\$60	27	\$22	8
West Virginia	\$55	37	\$16	47
Wisconsin	\$59	33	\$21	11
Wyoming	\$54	39	\$20	22
United States	\$60		\$19	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

LTSS RESOURCES: HCBS RESOURCES

	Assisted Living and Residential Care Facilities, 2010			Assisted Living and Residential Care Units, 2010		
	Value	Per 1,000 Age 65+	Rank	Value	Per 1,000 Age 65+	Rank
Alabama	472	0.72	33	9,860	15	44
Alaska	229	4.15	5	1,912	35	14
Arizona	1,925	2.17	11	28,536	32	18
Arkansas	175	0.42	44	7,713	18	39
California	7,471	1.75	14	211,402	50	6
Colorado	540	0.98	26	16,664	30	19
Connecticut	158	0.31	49	NA	NA	NA
Delaware	133	1.03	23	2,365	18	39
District of Columbia	22	0.32	48	509	7	50
Florida	3,330	1.02	25	83,761	26	30
Georgia	2,224	2.14	12	30,567	29	22
Hawaii	659	3.33	7	4,891	25	31
Idaho	2,515	12.87	1	11,701	60	3
Illinois	549	0.34	47	29,214	18	39
Indiana	527	0.62	37	32,875	39	10
Iowa	475	1.05	21	21,360	47	7
Kansas	280	0.74	32	8,363	22	36
Kentucky	459	0.79	30	15,411	27	27
Louisiana	138	0.25	50	5,860	10	49
Maine	686	3.25	8	8,958	42	9
Maryland	1,803	2.54	9	20,226	28	25
Massachusetts	437	0.48	40	25,681	28	25
Michigan	3,181	2.33	10	39,849	29	22
Minnesota	5,768	8.42	2	53,712	78	1
Mississippi	176	0.46	43	5,079	13	47
Missouri	603	0.72	33	21,333	25	31
Montana	256	1.74	16	5,246	36	11
Nebraska	387	1.56	17	11,356	46	8
Nevada	392	1.20	20	4,408	14	46
New Hampshire	149	0.83	29	4,899	27	27
New Jersey	302	0.25	50	20,420	17	43
New Mexico	254	0.93	27	7,800	29	22
New York	1,000	0.38	45	40,670	15	44
North Carolina	1,277	1.03	23	42,458	34	15
North Dakota	181	1.85	13	3,562	36	11
Ohio	1,378	0.85	28	49,405	30	19
Oklahoma	248	0.49	39	12,540	25	31
Oregon	3,792	7.08	3	33,171	62	2
Pennsylvania	2,054	1.05	21	70,300	36	11
Rhode Island	73	0.48	40	3,829	25	31
South Carolina	480	0.76	31	16,930	27	27
South Dakota	205	1.75	14	3,989	34	15
Tennessee	317	0.37	46	15,375	18	39
Texas	1,601	0.61	38	50,782	19	38
Utah	167	0.67	35	5,926	24	35
Vermont	119	1.30	18	2,749	30	19
Virginia	618	0.63	36	33,067	34	15
Washington	3,445	4.14	6	44,687	54	5
West Virginia	379	1.27	19	3,565	12	48
Wisconsin	4,895	6.28	4	44,537	57	4
Wyoming	34	0.48	40	1,440	21	37
United States	58,938	1.46		1,233,690	31	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

LTSS RESOURCES: HCBS RESOURCES

	Personal and Home Care Aides, 2010			Personal and Home Care Aides, Median Hourly Wage, 2010	
	Value	Per 1,000 Age 65+	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	3,800	6	47	\$8.35	48
Alaska	2,310	42	4	\$14.38	1
Arizona	11,460	13	29	\$10.05	20
Arkansas	8,940	21	13	\$8.30	49
California	44,400	10	34	\$10.23	17
Colorado	10,130	18	20	\$9.63	26
Connecticut	9,450	19	16	\$10.37	13
Delaware	470	4	48	\$9.82	21
District of Columbia	1,020	15	25	\$12.53	2
Florida	14,030	4	48	\$8.96	35
Georgia	8,810	8	38	\$8.63	43
Hawaii	4,730	24	11	\$8.77	39
Idaho	3,840	20	15	\$9.22	31
Illinois	16,060	10	34	\$9.69	24
Indiana	12,070	14	27	\$9.40	30
Iowa	4,070	9	37	\$9.80	23
Kansas	12,080	32	7	\$8.87	37
Kentucky	4,090	7	42	\$8.67	41
Louisiana	16,600	30	8	\$8.41	46
Maine	5,520	26	9	\$9.82	21
Maryland	5,480	8	38	\$10.37	13
Massachusetts	16,030	18	20	\$11.90	3
Michigan	11,360	8	38	\$9.55	28
Minnesota	41,600	61	2	\$10.92	5
Mississippi	NA	NA	NA	\$8.37	47
Missouri	17,250	21	13	\$8.75	40
Montana	2,480	17	23	\$9.65	25
Nebraska	1,830	7	42	\$10.28	16
Nevada	4,400	13	29	\$10.41	11
New Hampshire	3,460	19	16	\$10.49	10
New Jersey	9,600	8	38	\$11.24	4
New Mexico	16,340	60	3	\$8.84	38
New York	101,530	39	5	\$10.29	15
North Carolina	11,880	10	34	\$9.03	33
North Dakota	NA	NA	NA	\$10.08	18
Ohio	12,140	7	42	\$9.48	29
Oklahoma	7,870	15	25	\$8.52	45
Oregon	9,590	18	20	\$10.52	9
Pennsylvania	25,330	13	29	\$10.08	18
Rhode Island	1,120	7	42	\$10.79	7
South Carolina	6,910	11	33	\$8.91	36
South Dakota	1,590	14	27	\$9.22	31
Tennessee	10,610	12	32	\$8.65	42
Texas	101,960	39	5	\$8.16	50
Utah	1,770	7	42	\$9.03	33
Vermont	6,130	67	1	\$10.40	12
Virginia	16,000	16	24	\$8.62	44
Washington	15,760	19	16	\$10.85	6
West Virginia	6,600	22	12	\$8.14	51
Wisconsin	19,630	25	10	\$9.62	27
Wyoming	1,300	19	16	\$10.56	8
United States	686,030	17		\$9.44	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

LTSS RESOURCES: HCBS RESOURCES

	Home Health Aides, 2010			Home Health Aides, Median Hourly Wage, 2010	
	Value	Per 1,000 Age 65+	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	9,850	15	37	\$8.80	47
Alaska	1,570	28	10	\$14.56	1
Arizona	21,280	24	16	\$10.36	20
Arkansas	8,120	19	27	\$8.30	51
California	59,750	14	43	\$10.13	27
Colorado	13,360	24	16	\$10.38	19
Connecticut	11,410	22	19	\$13.33	2
Delaware	2,000	15	37	\$11.34	6
District of Columbia	3,520	51	4	\$10.64	15
Florida	31,340	10	47	\$10.00	30
Georgia	11,990	12	45	\$8.94	42
Hawaii	1,330	7	49	\$10.84	13
Idaho	3,770	19	27	\$8.88	45
Illinois	36,120	22	19	\$10.13	27
Indiana	17,030	20	24	\$9.90	33
Iowa	11,440	25	13	\$10.18	24
Kansas	7,910	21	22	\$9.92	32
Kentucky	4,270	7	49	\$10.14	26
Louisiana	12,240	22	19	\$8.81	46
Maine	4,810	23	18	\$10.52	18
Maryland	10,450	15	37	\$11.24	7
Massachusetts	17,080	19	27	\$12.54	3
Michigan	34,980	26	12	\$9.48	38
Minnesota	36,920	54	1	\$10.97	11
Mississippi	6,520	17	31	\$8.53	49
Missouri	13,440	16	34	\$9.15	41
Montana	3,740	25	13	\$10.06	29
Nebraska	2,340	9	48	\$10.30	23
Nevada	4,830	15	37	\$10.58	17
New Hampshire	2,290	13	44	\$10.84	13
New Jersey	29,550	25	13	\$10.61	16
New Mexico	8,100	30	9	\$8.90	44
New York	137,830	52	3	\$10.36	20
North Carolina	67,190	54	1	\$9.25	40
North Dakota	1,950	20	24	\$11.05	10
Ohio	67,140	41	5	\$9.36	39
Oklahoma	8,810	17	31	\$9.69	36
Oregon	8,640	16	34	\$9.85	34
Pennsylvania	65,660	33	7	\$9.96	31
Rhode Island	5,540	36	6	\$12.16	4
South Carolina	11,730	18	30	\$9.80	35
South Dakota	560	5	51	\$11.21	8
Tennessee	13,230	15	37	\$9.67	37
Texas	86,350	33	7	\$8.64	48
Utah	4,910	20	24	\$10.17	25
Vermont	1,090	12	45	\$12.13	5
Virginia	16,410	17	31	\$8.94	42
Washington	13,010	16	34	\$10.88	12
West Virginia	6,340	21	22	\$8.45	50
Wisconsin	22,080	28	10	\$10.35	22
Wyoming	1,030	15	37	\$11.16	9
United States	982,840	24		\$9.89	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

LTSS RESOURCES: HCBS RESOURCES

	People Receiving ACL Congregate Meals, 2009			People Receiving ACL Home Delivered Meals, 2009		
	Value	Per 1,000 Age 65+	Rank	Value	Per 1,000 Age 65+	Rank
Alabama	25,081	39	32	13,539	21	30
Alaska	7,970	149	4	2,638	49	6
Arizona	12,316	14	48	11,484	13	46
Arkansas	26,563	64	21	15,284	37	13
California	163,104	39	32	54,209	13	46
Colorado	20,442	38	34	8,030	15	40
Connecticut	15,881	32	39	6,542	13	46
Delaware	19,128	151	3	3,324	26	23
District of Columbia	5,159	76	15	3,926	58	3
Florida	35,287	11	51	30,455	10	50
Georgia	14,562	14	48	14,402	14	44
Hawaii	4,139	22	44	3,287	17	36
Idaho	14,450	76	15	3,795	20	32
Illinois	73,858	46	28	40,893	26	23
Indiana	21,281	26	41	12,119	15	40
Iowa	43,377	96	9	13,433	30	19
Kansas	25,785	69	18	11,934	32	18
Kentucky	18,934	33	38	8,734	15	40
Louisiana	18,823	34	36	20,851	38	12
Maine	8,668	42	29	4,929	24	28
Maryland	28,248	41	30	5,525	8	51
Massachusetts	26,086	29	40	45,642	51	5
Michigan	64,208	48	27	49,744	37	13
Minnesota	56,989	84	11	13,798	20	32
Mississippi	5,300	14	48	15,098	40	10
Missouri	78,108	94	10	36,949	45	9
Montana	24,835	172	2	7,100	49	6
Nebraska	18,060	74	17	7,966	33	17
Nevada	15,813	50	25	5,832	18	35
New Hampshire	14,441	82	13	10,980	63	2
New Jersey	41,035	35	35	32,579	28	20
New Mexico	32,806	123	6	10,371	39	11
New York	147,255	57	23	58,362	23	29
North Carolina	28,369	23	43	19,631	16	38
North Dakota	13,910	144	5	5,364	56	4
Ohio	54,401	34	36	33,901	21	30
Oklahoma	26,158	52	24	12,510	25	27
Oregon	42,398	81	14	14,152	27	22
Pennsylvania	79,154	41	30	32,795	17	36
Rhode Island	7,347	49	26	2,255	15	40
South Carolina	10,871	18	45	12,389	20	32
South Dakota	11,808	102	8	3,188	28	20
Tennessee	13,507	16	46	10,341	12	49
Texas	66,617	26	41	64,857	26	23
Utah	15,747	65	20	8,421	35	15
Vermont	10,325	115	7	4,390	49	6
Virginia	14,073	15	47	13,103	14	44
Washington	51,328	64	21	12,792	16	38
West Virginia	24,736	84	11	10,006	34	16
Wisconsin	53,176	69	18	20,284	26	23
Wyoming	19,753	289	1	5,171	76	1
United States	1,671,670	42		869,304	22	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

LTSS RESOURCES: HCBS RESOURCES

	Total ACL Congregate and Home Delivered Meal Expenditures, 2009			Number of Health Maintenance Tasks Able to be Delegated to LTSS Workers (out of 16 tasks), 2011	
	Value (Millions)	Per 1,000 Age 65+	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	\$23	\$36	25	4	29
Alaska	\$9	\$167	1	8	21
Arizona	\$13	\$15	51	NA	NA
Arkansas	\$20	\$48	19	15	6
California	\$150	\$36	25	2	32
Colorado	\$14	\$27	38	16	1
Connecticut	\$16	\$32	30	1	36
Delaware	\$4	\$34	28	3	30
District of Columbia	\$5	\$74	6	8	21
Florida	\$53	\$16	50	0	41
Georgia	\$30	\$30	32	NA	NA
Hawaii	\$6	\$30	32	14	8
Idaho	\$7	\$38	22	13	13
Illinois	\$58	\$36	25	2	32
Indiana	\$22	\$27	38	NA	NA
Iowa	\$18	\$41	20	16	1
Kansas	\$18	\$49	17	6	26
Kentucky	\$15	\$27	38	6	26
Louisiana	\$27	\$49	17	11	16
Maine	\$6	\$30	32	9	20
Maryland	\$16	\$24	43	14	8
Massachusetts	\$54	\$60	12	2	32
Michigan	\$51	\$38	22	0	41
Minnesota	\$20	\$29	35	13	13
Mississippi	\$8	\$22	44	3	30
Missouri	\$44	\$53	13	16	1
Montana	\$10	\$70	10	0	41
Nebraska	\$15	\$61	11	16	1
Nevada	\$6	\$21	46	15	6
New Hampshire	\$14	\$77	4	8	21
New Jersey	\$44	\$38	22	7	24
New Mexico	\$19	\$73	7	NA	NA
New York	\$189	\$73	7	11	16
North Carolina	\$24	\$20	48	6	26
North Dakota	\$9	\$89	3	13	13
Ohio	\$51	\$32	30	7	24
Oklahoma	\$21	\$41	20	0	41
Oregon	\$14	\$27	38	16	1
Pennsylvania	\$65	\$33	29	NA	NA
Rhode Island	\$4	\$27	38	0	41
South Carolina	\$17	\$28	36	1	36
South Dakota	\$8	\$73	7	11	16
Tennessee	\$18	\$21	46	1	36
Texas	\$70	\$28	36	14	8
Utah	\$12	\$50	15	1	36
Vermont	\$7	\$77	4	1	36
Virginia	\$21	\$22	44	2	32
Washington	\$15	\$18	49	14	8
West Virginia	\$15	\$50	15	0	41
Wisconsin	\$39	\$51	14	14	8
Wyoming	\$9	\$131	2	10	19
United States	\$1,423	\$36			

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

LTSS RESOURCES: NURSING FACILITY RESOURCES & UTILIZATION

	Total Nursing Facilities, 2010			Nursing Facility Beds, 2010		
	Value	Per 1,000 Age 65+	Rank	Value	Per 1,000 Age 65+	Rank
Alabama	233	0.35	33	26,880	41	28
Alaska	15	0.27	44	682	12	51
Arizona	140	0.16	50	16,245	18	49
Arkansas	246	0.58	11	25,690	61	7
California	1,257	0.29	39	122,233	29	43
Colorado	216	0.39	29	20,506	37	33
Connecticut	242	0.48	21	29,207	58	12
Delaware	48	0.37	31	5,062	39	31
District of Columbia	19	0.28	43	2,768	40	29
Florida	685	0.21	49	82,558	25	46
Georgia	360	0.35	33	39,880	38	32
Hawaii	49	0.25	47	4,171	21	48
Idaho	80	0.41	27	6,177	32	41
Illinois	802	0.50	19	97,312	60	8
Indiana	522	0.62	8	50,246	60	8
Iowa	460	1.01	1	32,365	71	1
Kansas	351	0.93	4	23,425	62	6
Kentucky	289	0.50	19	26,181	45	21
Louisiana	288	0.51	18	35,645	64	4
Maine	109	0.52	16	7,130	34	38
Maryland	231	0.33	36	28,546	40	29
Massachusetts	436	0.48	21	48,780	54	15
Michigan	434	0.32	37	47,412	35	37
Minnesota	390	0.57	12	32,292	47	19
Mississippi	206	0.54	15	18,771	49	17
Missouri	526	0.63	7	53,600	64	4
Montana	89	0.60	9	7,010	48	18
Nebraska	233	0.94	3	16,275	66	3
Nevada	51	0.16	50	5,892	18	49
New Hampshire	80	0.45	24	7,742	43	25
New Jersey	365	0.31	38	51,514	43	25
New Mexico	74	0.27	44	7,112	26	45
New York	646	0.25	47	119,184	45	21
North Carolina	431	0.35	33	44,230	36	34
North Dakota	88	0.90	5	6,536	67	2
Ohio	980	0.60	9	93,445	57	14
Oklahoma	330	0.65	6	30,083	59	10
Oregon	138	0.26	46	12,293	23	47
Pennsylvania	724	0.37	31	89,317	45	21
Rhode Island	86	0.57	12	8,814	58	12
South Carolina	186	0.29	39	18,966	30	42
South Dakota	111	0.95	2	6,936	59	10
Tennessee	330	0.39	29	37,928	44	24
Texas	1,255	0.48	21	133,349	51	16
Utah	102	0.41	27	8,335	33	39
Vermont	40	0.44	25	3,276	36	34
Virginia	289	0.29	39	32,251	33	39
Washington	238	0.29	39	22,244	27	44
West Virginia	129	0.43	26	10,828	36	34
Wisconsin	403	0.52	16	36,422	47	19
Wyoming	39	0.56	14	2,975	42	27
United States	16,071	0.40		1,696,721	42	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

LTSS RESOURCES: NURSING FACILITY RESOURCES & UTILIZATION

	Nursing Facility Residents, 2010			Nursing Facility Occupancy Rate, 2010	
	Value (Millions)	Per 1,000 Age 65+	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	23,080	35	26	86%	24
Alaska	640	12	51	94%	1
Arizona	11,758	13	50	72%	43
Arkansas	18,770	45	14	73%	42
California	103,434	24	41	85%	26
Colorado	16,421	30	35	80%	37
Connecticut	26,186	52	6	90%	9
Delaware	4,242	33	30	84%	30
District of Columbia	2,577	37	25	93%	3
Florida	72,304	22	42	88%	16
Georgia	34,520	33	30	87%	22
Hawaii	3,854	19	47	92%	4
Idaho	4,377	22	42	71%	46
Illinois	75,844	47	10	78%	41
Indiana	39,967	47	10	80%	37
Iowa	25,783	57	2	80%	37
Kansas	19,294	51	7	82%	32
Kentucky	23,574	41	18	90%	9
Louisiana	25,778	46	12	72%	43
Maine	6,414	30	35	90%	9
Maryland	24,820	35	26	87%	22
Massachusetts	43,066	48	9	88%	16
Michigan	40,082	29	38	85%	26
Minnesota	29,173	43	15	90%	9
Mississippi	16,526	43	15	88%	16
Missouri	38,504	46	12	72%	43
Montana	4,928	33	30	70%	47
Nebraska	13,037	53	4	80%	37
Nevada	4,744	15	48	81%	36
New Hampshire	6,920	39	20	89%	13
New Jersey	45,837	39	20	89%	13
New Mexico	5,853	21	45	82%	32
New York	109,909	42	17	92%	4
North Carolina	37,736	30	35	85%	26
North Dakota	5,722	58	1	88%	16
Ohio	79,658	49	8	85%	26
Oklahoma	19,878	39	20	66%	49
Oregon	7,567	14	49	62%	51
Pennsylvania	81,190	41	18	91%	6
Rhode Island	7,998	53	4	91%	6
South Carolina	17,261	27	40	91%	6
South Dakota	6,544	56	3	94%	1
Tennessee	32,487	38	24	86%	24
Texas	92,859	35	26	70%	47
Utah	5,391	21	45	65%	50
Vermont	2,899	32	33	88%	16
Virginia	28,572	29	38	89%	13
Washington	18,339	22	42	82%	32
West Virginia	9,569	32	33	88%	16
Wisconsin	30,565	39	20	84%	30
Wyoming	2,435	35	26	82%	32
United States	1,408,886	35		83%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

LTSS RESOURCES: NURSING FACILITY RESOURCES & UTILIZATION

	Total Direct Care Nursing Hours per Resident Day, 2010		RN Nursing Hours per Resident Day, 2010		Nursing Facility Staffing Turnover, 2010	
	Value	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	4.2	6	0.56	44	48%	13
Alaska	5.4	1	1.51	1	NA	NA
Arizona	3.9	17	0.71	23	44%	17
Arkansas	4.1	9	0.41	49	45%	16
California	4.0	14	0.64	34	27%	45
Colorado	3.8	30	0.80	17	48%	13
Connecticut	3.9	17	0.77	19	22%	48
Delaware	4.1	9	0.89	5	37%	28
District of Columbia	4.4	3	0.71	23	24%	47
Florida	4.6	2	0.60	40	28%	44
Georgia	3.6	44	0.44	47	42%	22
Hawaii	4.0	14	0.94	3	15%	50
Idaho	4.2	6	0.85	10	53%	8
Illinois	3.4	49	0.64	34	38%	25
Indiana	3.7	36	0.65	30	46%	15
Iowa	3.5	47	0.64	34	30%	40
Kansas	3.8	30	0.65	30	61%	5
Kentucky	3.9	17	0.63	37	55%	6
Louisiana	3.4	49	0.38	50	43%	19
Maine	4.4	3	1.00	2	35%	37
Maryland	3.9	17	0.67	27	38%	25
Massachusetts	4.0	14	0.79	18	26%	46
Michigan	3.9	17	0.70	26	37%	28
Minnesota	3.9	17	0.71	23	37%	28
Mississippi	3.9	17	0.58	43	30%	40
Missouri	3.7	36	0.49	46	67%	4
Montana	4.1	9	0.88	6	51%	11
Nebraska	3.9	17	0.67	27	40%	23
Nevada	3.8	30	0.82	13	44%	17
New Hampshire	3.9	17	0.83	11	33%	38
New Jersey	3.7	36	0.76	20	29%	42
New Mexico	3.4	49	0.65	30	70%	3
New York	3.7	36	0.62	38	20%	49
North Carolina	3.9	17	0.65	30	43%	19
North Dakota	4.1	9	0.72	22	29%	42
Ohio	3.9	17	0.66	29	37%	28
Oklahoma	3.6	44	0.37	51	71%	2
Oregon	4.4	3	0.81	15	36%	33
Pennsylvania	3.8	30	0.74	21	39%	24
Rhode Island	3.7	36	0.86	8	36%	33
South Carolina	3.9	17	0.60	40	36%	33
South Dakota	3.5	47	0.83	11	43%	19
Tennessee	3.7	36	0.53	45	36%	33
Texas	3.6	44	0.42	48	72%	1
Utah	3.8	30	0.88	6	53%	8
Vermont	4.2	6	0.81	15	33%	38
Virginia	3.8	30	0.61	39	38%	25
Washington	4.1	9	0.90	4	52%	10
West Virginia	3.7	36	0.59	42	54%	7
Wisconsin	3.9	17	0.82	13	37%	28
Wyoming	3.7	36	0.86	8	50%	12
United States	3.8		0.64		40%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

LTSS RESOURCES: NURSING FACILITY RESIDENT CHARACTERISTICS

	Residents with Dementia, 2010		Nursing Facility Residents with Low Care Needs, 2008		Residents with Medicaid as Primary Payer, 2010	
	Value	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	52%	9	19%	20	68%	8
Alaska	47%	24	NA	NA	73%	3
Arizona	39%	48	15%	29	62%	27
Arkansas	45%	31	24%	5	67%	9
California	39%	48	13%	35	66%	13
Colorado	45%	31	20%	17	59%	39
Connecticut	50%	14	21%	11	67%	9
Delaware	48%	20	17%	26	58%	41
District of Columbia	46%	28	NA	NA	80%	1
Florida	47%	24	13%	35	58%	41
Georgia	49%	17	19%	20	72%	5
Hawaii	55%	1	9%	45	67%	9
Idaho	44%	37	12%	39	62%	27
Illinois	42%	45	31%	1	63%	21
Indiana	48%	20	20%	17	62%	27
Iowa	49%	17	26%	4	47%	51
Kansas	46%	28	23%	7	54%	47
Kentucky	50%	14	9%	45	65%	16
Louisiana	42%	45	31%	1	73%	3
Maine	55%	1	2%	49	66%	13
Maryland	42%	45	11%	41	61%	33
Massachusetts	53%	7	15%	29	63%	21
Michigan	55%	1	16%	27	62%	27
Minnesota	44%	37	19%	20	56%	43
Mississippi	50%	14	21%	11	75%	2
Missouri	44%	37	22%	8	61%	33
Montana	43%	43	20%	17	56%	43
Nebraska	44%	37	22%	8	53%	49
Nevada	35%	51	14%	31	56%	43
New Hampshire	55%	1	19%	20	64%	17
New Jersey	44%	37	19%	20	63%	21
New Mexico	46%	28	21%	11	64%	17
New York	49%	17	13%	35	71%	7
North Carolina	45%	31	12%	39	67%	9
North Dakota	54%	6	19%	20	53%	49
Ohio	45%	31	14%	31	63%	21
Oklahoma	43%	43	28%	3	66%	13
Oregon	44%	37	13%	35	61%	33
Pennsylvania	47%	24	10%	43	62%	27
Rhode Island	51%	12	24%	5	64%	17
South Carolina	55%	1	9%	45	62%	27
South Dakota	52%	9	21%	11	55%	46
Tennessee	51%	12	14%	31	63%	21
Texas	48%	20	21%	11	63%	21
Utah	38%	50	16%	27	54%	47
Vermont	52%	9	11%	41	64%	17
Virginia	45%	31	9%	45	61%	33
Washington	45%	31	10%	43	60%	37
West Virginia	53%	7	14%	31	72%	5
Wisconsin	48%	20	21%	11	59%	39
Wyoming	47%	24	22%	8	60%	37
United States	46%		17%		63%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

LTSS RESOURCES: NURSING FACILITY RESIDENT CHARACTERISTICS

	Residents with Medicare as Primary Payer, 2010		Residents with "Other" as Primary Payer, 2010	
	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	14%	26	19%	36
Alaska	15%	17	12%	49
Arizona	15%	17	23%	19
Arkansas	11%	44	21%	30
California	15%	17	19%	36
Colorado	12%	34	29%	8
Connecticut	14%	26	18%	42
Delaware	17%	7	25%	16
District of Columbia	12%	34	9%	51
Florida	21%	1	21%	30
Georgia	13%	31	15%	46
Hawaii	11%	44	22%	22
Idaho	16%	12	22%	22
Illinois	15%	17	22%	22
Indiana	17%	7	21%	30
Iowa	8%	50	45%	1
Kansas	10%	46	36%	3
Kentucky	15%	17	19%	36
Louisiana	12%	34	15%	46
Maine	16%	12	18%	42
Maryland	17%	7	22%	22
Massachusetts	14%	26	23%	19
Michigan	19%	2	19%	36
Minnesota	10%	46	34%	6
Mississippi	15%	17	10%	50
Missouri	12%	34	27%	9
Montana	12%	34	32%	7
Nebraska	12%	34	36%	3
Nevada	18%	5	26%	13
New Hampshire	15%	17	21%	30
New Jersey	18%	5	19%	36
New Mexico	13%	31	23%	19
New York	12%	34	17%	44
North Carolina	16%	12	17%	44
North Dakota	7%	51	39%	2
Ohio	12%	34	25%	16
Oklahoma	12%	34	22%	22
Oregon	14%	26	25%	16
Pennsylvania	12%	34	26%	13
Rhode Island	9%	49	26%	13
South Carolina	17%	7	20%	34
South Dakota	10%	46	36%	3
Tennessee	16%	12	22%	22
Texas	15%	17	22%	22
Utah	19%	2	27%	9
Vermont	16%	12	19%	36
Virginia	19%	2	20%	34
Washington	17%	7	22%	22
West Virginia	15%	17	14%	48
Wisconsin	14%	26	27%	9
Wyoming	13%	31	27%	9
United States	14%		22%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

LTSS RESOURCES: QUALITY & OVERSIGHT OF NURSING FACILITIES

	% of Long-Stay NH Residents with Physical Restraints, 2008		% of High Risk NH Residents with Pressure Sores, 2008		% of Long-Stay Residents with a Hospital Admission, 2008	
	Value	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	2%	35	10%	33	23%	11
Alaska	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Arizona	4%	15	12%	12	11%	45
Arkansas	6%	5	11%	22	28%	3
California	8%	1	13%	6	20%	18
Colorado	4%	15	9%	39	12%	42
Connecticut	3%	24	10%	33	19%	23
Delaware	3%	24	11%	22	20%	18
District of Columbia	2%	35	17%	1	NA	NA
Florida	5%	9	13%	6	24%	9
Georgia	5%	9	12%	12	21%	16
Hawaii	3%	24	7%	47	NA	NA
Idaho	4%	15	8%	42	13%	39
Illinois	3%	24	15%	3	25%	6
Indiana	3%	24	12%	12	20%	18
Iowa	2%	35	8%	42	17%	30
Kansas	1%	48	10%	33	22%	12
Kentucky	5%	9	11%	22	24%	9
Louisiana	8%	1	17%	1	32%	2
Maine	2%	35	8%	42	17%	30
Maryland	3%	24	13%	6	21%	16
Massachusetts	4%	15	10%	33	16%	32
Michigan	4%	15	11%	22	19%	23
Minnesota	2%	35	7%	47	8%	48
Mississippi	6%	5	12%	12	33%	1
Missouri	4%	15	11%	22	22%	12
Montana	2%	35	8%	42	13%	39
Nebraska	1%	48	7%	47	18%	28
Nevada	5%	9	12%	12	19%	23
New Hampshire	2%	35	8%	42	14%	35
New Jersey	4%	15	15%	3	27%	4
New Mexico	5%	9	11%	22	14%	35
New York	3%	24	13%	6	20%	18
North Carolina	4%	15	11%	22	19%	23
North Dakota	2%	35	7%	47	13%	39
Ohio	5%	9	11%	22	19%	23
Oklahoma	6%	5	15%	3	26%	5
Oregon	4%	15	12%	12	11%	45
Pennsylvania	3%	24	11%	22	18%	28
Rhode Island	2%	35	11%	22	12%	42
South Carolina	6%	5	12%	12	20%	18
South Dakota	2%	35	10%	33	16%	32
Tennessee	7%	3	12%	12	25%	6
Texas	3%	24	12%	12	25%	6
Utah	7%	3	9%	39	10%	47
Vermont	2%	35	9%	39	12%	42
Virginia	2%	35	13%	6	22%	12
Washington	2%	35	11%	22	14%	35
West Virginia	3%	24	13%	6	22%	12
Wisconsin	1%	48	10%	33	14%	35
Wyoming	3%	24	12%	12	15%	34
United States	4%		12%		21%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

LTSS RESOURCES: QUALITY & OVERSIGHT OF NURSING FACILITIES

	Long-Term Care Facility Beds per FTE Ombudsman, 2010		% of Nursing Facilities Visited by Ombudsman at Least Quarterly, 2010	
	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Alabama	1,744	32	100%	1
Alaska	1,002	46	53%	41
Arizona	2,374	25	100%	1
Arkansas	2,317	27	100%	1
California	3,758	11	80%	29
Colorado	1,120	44	100%	1
Connecticut	3,614	13	100%	1
Delaware	1,494	35	100%	1
District of Columbia	491	51	63%	35
Florida	3,248	16	100%	1
Georgia	1,755	30	98%	22
Hawaii	5,686	2	98%	22
Idaho	1,142	43	100%	1
Illinois	3,177	17	65%	34
Indiana	4,279	7	10%	50
Iowa	5,265	5	0%	51
Kansas	3,434	15	100%	1
Kentucky	905	48	100%	1
Louisiana	2,309	28	100%	1
Maine	1,921	29	100%	1
Maryland	1,254	41	85%	26
Massachusetts	1,440	36	100%	1
Michigan	4,233	9	59%	38
Minnesota	7,569	1	63%	35
Mississippi	1,370	39	100%	1
Missouri	4,246	8	56%	39
Montana	799	50	100%	1
Nebraska	5,321	4	47%	43
Nevada	1,081	45	100%	1
New Hampshire	2,471	23	26%	49
New Jersey	2,891	20	42%	46
New Mexico	1,317	40	100%	1
New York	3,934	10	79%	30
North Carolina	2,368	26	63%	35
North Dakota	3,555	14	82%	27
Ohio	1,682	33	54%	40
Oklahoma	1,530	34	100%	1
Oregon	5,409	3	81%	28
Pennsylvania	2,516	22	98%	22
Rhode Island	1,420	38	68%	33
South Carolina	1,749	31	74%	32
South Dakota	3,620	12	44%	45
Tennessee	4,355	6	52%	42
Texas	2,964	19	90%	25
Utah	1,421	37	42%	46
Vermont	921	47	100%	1
Virginia	2,413	24	46%	44
Washington	2,597	21	78%	31
West Virginia	1,234	42	99%	21
Wisconsin	2,968	18	32%	48
Wyoming	885	49	100%	1
United States	2,543		76%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

TRENDS: LTSS UTILIZATION

	Medicaid HCBS Participants, Older People and Adults w/ Physical Disabilities				Nursing Facility Residents			
	2003	2008	% Change	Rank	2005	2010	% Change	Rank
Alabama	8,274	9,468	+14%	31	23,459	23,080	-2%	16
Alaska	4,940	6,569	+33%	22	629	640	+2%	6
Arizona	NA	NA	NA	NA	12,893	11,758	-9%	44
Arkansas	24,157	24,096	0%	42	18,265	18,770	+3%	5
California	303,025	438,942	+45%	15	107,787	103,434	-4%	27
Colorado	15,634	17,490	+12%	35	16,669	16,421	-1%	13
Connecticut	10,886	12,386	+14%	31	27,568	26,186	-5%	30
Delaware	1,293	1,307	+1%	41	3,872	4,242	+10%	1
District of Columbia	2,636	4,012	+52%	14	2,801	2,577	-8%	42
Florida	36,222	29,719	-18%	48	72,909	72,304	-1%	13
Georgia	15,418	15,082	-2%	44	37,804	34,520	-9%	44
Hawaii	1,742	2,719	+56%	13	3,691	3,854	+4%	3
Idaho	9,805	11,984	+22%	29	4,654	4,377	-6%	37
Illinois	46,713	58,001	+24%	27	79,622	75,844	-5%	30
Indiana	3,688	7,311	+98%	8	40,841	39,967	-2%	16
Iowa	7,349	15,103	+106%	6	28,367	25,783	-9%	44
Kansas	10,442	16,656	+60%	11	20,685	19,294	-7%	41
Kentucky	15,690	10,217	-35%	49	23,465	23,574	0%	8
Louisiana	2,397	16,147	+574%	1	29,840	25,778	-14%	51
Maine	8,435	10,598	+26%	25	6,746	6,414	-5%	30
Maryland	7,887	8,414	+7%	39	25,269	24,820	-2%	16
Massachusetts	16,569	26,728	+61%	10	45,974	43,066	-6%	37
Michigan	62,495	68,151	+9%	37	42,039	40,082	-5%	30
Minnesota	30,174	61,483	+104%	7	33,651	29,173	-13%	49
Mississippi	10,888	12,361	+14%	31	16,851	16,526	-2%	16
Missouri	68,700	68,953	0%	42	38,443	38,504	0%	8
Montana	4,292	5,298	+23%	28	5,370	4,928	-8%	42
Nebraska	6,211	7,741	+25%	26	13,575	13,037	-4%	27
Nevada	4,277	8,859	+107%	5	4,461	4,744	+6%	2
New Hampshire	2,625	3,475	+32%	23	7,062	6,920	-2%	16
New Jersey	26,618	31,968	+20%	30	45,566	45,837	+1%	7
New Mexico	11,204	15,940	+42%	17	6,163	5,853	-5%	30
New York	105,289	102,634	-3%	45	113,278	109,909	-3%	24
North Carolina	44,628	64,596	+45%	15	38,421	37,736	-2%	16
North Dakota	986	2,214	+125%	4	5,944	5,722	-4%	27
Ohio	31,903	44,693	+40%	19	82,209	79,658	-3%	24
Oklahoma	21,401	29,014	+36%	21	22,777	19,878	-13%	49
Oregon	34,210	30,792	-10%	46	8,347	7,567	-9%	44
Pennsylvania	15,368	26,714	+74%	9	80,886	81,190	0%	8
Rhode Island	2,613	3,577	+37%	20	8,383	7,998	-5%	30
South Carolina	13,633	14,922	+9%	37	16,596	17,261	+4%	3
South Dakota	2,195	2,468	+12%	35	6,752	6,544	-3%	24
Tennessee	515	3,204	+522%	2	33,163	32,487	-2%	16
Texas	107,655	91,103	-15%	47	92,585	92,859	0%	8
Utah	1,407	3,456	+146%	3	5,496	5,391	-2%	16
Vermont	2,802	NA	NA	NA	3,097	2,899	-6%	37
Virginia	10,449	16,583	+59%	12	28,652	28,572	0%	8
Washington	51,603	58,823	+14%	31	19,588	18,339	-6%	37
West Virginia	10,837	11,618	+7%	39	10,033	9,569	-5%	30
Wisconsin	30,620	39,319	+28%	24	34,523	30,565	-11%	48
Wyoming	1,355	1,909	+41%	18	2,464	2,435	-1%	13
United States	1,270,155	1,577,471	+24%		1,460,185	1,408,886	-4%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

TRENDS: LTSS FINANCING

	Total Medicaid Spending (Millions)				Medicaid LTSS Spending for Older People and Adults with Physical Disabilities (Millions)			
	2004	2009	% Change	Rank	2004	2009	% Change	Rank
Alabama	\$3,670	\$4,417	+20%	42	\$869	\$1,103	+27%	25
Alaska	\$890	\$1,070	+20%	42	\$218	\$268	+23%	31
Arizona	\$4,871	\$8,561	+76%	1	NA	\$794	NA	NA
Arkansas	\$2,600	\$3,500	+35%	17	\$684	\$807	+18%	38
California	\$30,246	\$40,818	+35%	17	\$5,972	\$9,856	+65%	2
Colorado	\$2,685	\$3,571	+33%	22	\$637	\$973	+53%	4
Connecticut	\$4,004	\$5,974	+49%	5	\$1,299	\$1,638	+26%	26
Delaware	\$794	\$1,213	+53%	3	\$182	\$212	+17%	39
District of Columbia	\$1,226	\$1,626	+33%	22	\$218	\$362	+66%	1
Florida	\$12,726	\$15,547	+22%	39	\$2,586	\$3,074	+19%	35
Georgia	\$8,859	\$7,461	-16%	51	\$1,545	\$1,552	0%	46
Hawaii	\$935	\$1,339	+43%	9	\$231	\$273	+19%	35
Idaho	\$958	\$1,295	+35%	17	\$205	\$278	+36%	10
Illinois	\$10,417	\$13,572	+30%	25	\$1,985	\$2,103	+6%	42
Indiana	\$5,052	\$6,263	+24%	37	\$1,013	\$1,428	+41%	8
Iowa	\$2,283	\$2,983	+31%	24	\$542	\$660	+22%	34
Kansas	\$1,921	\$2,456	+28%	29	\$465	\$617	+33%	14
Kentucky	\$4,309	\$5,371	+25%	34	\$796	\$1,027	+29%	16
Louisiana	\$5,107	\$6,581	+29%	27	\$671	\$1,103	+64%	3
Maine	\$2,034	\$2,589	+27%	31	\$321	\$335	+4%	44
Maryland	\$4,730	\$6,702	+42%	11	\$1,079	\$1,248	+16%	40
Massachusetts	\$8,628	\$12,196	+41%	12	\$2,075	\$2,681	+29%	16
Michigan	\$8,326	\$10,635	+28%	29	\$2,002	\$1,972	-1%	47
Minnesota	\$5,404	\$7,425	+37%	14	\$1,414	\$2,166	+53%	4
Mississippi	\$3,426	\$3,813	+11%	49	\$635	\$864	+36%	10
Missouri	\$6,155	\$7,676	+25%	34	\$1,103	\$1,311	+19%	35
Montana	\$653	\$876	+34%	20	\$185	\$240	+29%	16
Nebraska	\$1,456	\$1,633	+12%	48	\$442	\$423	-4%	49
Nevada	\$1,034	\$1,383	+34%	20	\$192	\$246	+28%	21
New Hampshire	\$1,141	\$1,328	+16%	46	\$293	\$382	+31%	15
New Jersey	\$7,982	\$9,928	+24%	37	\$1,943	\$2,509	+29%	16
New Mexico	\$2,241	\$3,257	+45%	8	\$400	\$491	+23%	31
New York	\$41,452	\$49,719	+20%	42	\$10,215	\$13,053	+28%	21
North Carolina	\$8,380	\$11,490	+37%	14	\$1,790	\$2,256	+26%	26
North Dakota	\$490	\$590	+20%	42	\$175	\$192	+10%	41
Ohio	\$11,516	\$14,080	+22%	39	\$3,299	\$3,382	+3%	45
Oklahoma	\$2,574	\$3,936	+53%	3	\$584	\$783	+34%	13
Oregon	\$2,626	\$3,594	+37%	14	\$582	\$744	+28%	21
Pennsylvania	\$14,178	\$17,129	+21%	41	\$4,458	\$4,394	-1%	47
Rhode Island	\$1,642	\$1,891	+15%	47	\$326	\$343	+5%	43
South Carolina	\$3,944	\$5,097	+29%	27	\$574	\$712	+24%	30
South Dakota	\$569	\$715	+26%	33	\$131	\$165	+26%	26
Tennessee	\$7,055	\$7,393	+5%	50	NA	\$1,312	NA	NA
Texas	\$16,232	\$24,121	+49%	5	\$3,143	\$4,269	+36%	10
Utah	\$1,260	\$1,643	+30%	25	\$123	\$186	+52%	6
Vermont	\$801	\$1,146	+43%	9	\$153	\$197	+29%	16
Virginia	\$3,955	\$5,806	+47%	7	\$808	\$1,185	+47%	7
Washington	\$5,396	\$6,828	+27%	31	\$1,218	\$1,533	+26%	26
West Virginia	\$1,961	\$2,446	+25%	34	\$501	\$616	+23%	31
Wisconsin	\$4,540	\$7,123	+57%	2	\$1,277	\$1,767	+38%	9
Wyoming	\$375	\$526	+40%	13	\$74	\$95	+28%	21
United States	\$285,710	\$368,330	+29%		\$62,811	\$80,181	+28%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

TRENDS: LTSS FINANCING

	Medicaid Nursing Facility Spending (Millions)				Medicaid HCBS Spending for Older People and Adults with Physical Disabilities (Millions)			
	2004	2009	% Change	Rank	2004	2009	% Change	Rank
Alabama	\$767	\$938	+22%	12	\$102	\$165	+61%	28
Alaska	\$107	\$119	+11%	29	\$111	\$150	+35%	40
Arizona	NA	\$447	NA	NA	NA	\$347	NA	NA
Arkansas	\$540	\$573	+6%	35	\$144	\$234	+63%	26
California	\$3,084	\$4,430	+44%	1	\$2,888	\$5,426	+88%	15
Colorado	\$426	\$549	+29%	6	\$211	\$424	+101%	12
Connecticut	\$1,016	\$1,240	+22%	12	\$284	\$398	+40%	38
Delaware	\$159	\$186	+17%	19	\$23	\$27	+16%	46
District of Columbia	\$188	\$197	+5%	36	\$30	\$165	+451%	1
Florida	\$2,250	\$2,423	+8%	33	\$335	\$650	+94%	13
Georgia	\$1,354	\$1,153	-15%	50	\$191	\$399	+109%	10
Hawaii	\$191	\$222	+16%	21	\$40	\$52	+31%	41
Idaho	\$127	\$157	+24%	8	\$78	\$120	+54%	29
Illinois	\$1,576	\$1,616	+3%	39	\$409	\$486	+19%	44
Indiana	\$918	\$1,195	+30%	5	\$95	\$233	+145%	5
Iowa	\$426	\$467	+10%	31	\$116	\$194	+67%	22
Kansas	\$327	\$373	+14%	24	\$138	\$243	+76%	21
Kentucky	\$629	\$828	+32%	4	\$167	\$199	+19%	44
Louisiana	\$601	\$746	+24%	8	\$70	\$358	+412%	2
Maine	\$244	\$252	+3%	39	\$77	\$82	+8%	48
Maryland	\$864	\$1,062	+23%	10	\$215	\$187	-13%	49
Massachusetts	\$1,612	\$1,746	+8%	33	\$464	\$935	+102%	11
Michigan	\$1,704	\$1,547	-9%	47	\$299	\$426	+42%	36
Minnesota	\$907	\$871	-4%	44	\$507	\$1,295	+155%	4
Mississippi	\$563	\$727	+29%	6	\$71	\$136	+91%	14
Missouri	\$795	\$869	+9%	32	\$308	\$442	+43%	33
Montana	\$140	\$158	+13%	26	\$45	\$81	+80%	18
Nebraska	\$360	\$318	-12%	48	\$82	\$105	+28%	43
Nevada	\$141	\$162	+15%	23	\$50	\$84	+66%	23
New Hampshire	\$255	\$315	+23%	10	\$38	\$68	+80%	18
New Jersey	\$1,480	\$1,984	+34%	3	\$463	\$524	+13%	47
New Mexico	\$180	\$174	-3%	42	\$220	\$317	+44%	31
New York	\$6,487	\$7,675	+18%	18	\$3,728	\$5,377	+44%	31
North Carolina	\$1,118	\$1,292	+16%	21	\$672	\$964	+43%	33
North Dakota	\$164	\$172	+5%	36	\$11	\$20	+84%	17
Ohio	\$2,726	\$2,565	-6%	45	\$573	\$818	+43%	33
Oklahoma	\$463	\$530	+14%	24	\$121	\$254	+110%	9
Oregon	\$270	\$304	+13%	26	\$312	\$439	+41%	37
Pennsylvania	\$4,135	\$3,606	-13%	49	\$323	\$789	+144%	6
Rhode Island	\$293	\$298	+2%	41	\$33	\$45	+38%	39
South Carolina	\$462	\$513	+11%	29	\$112	\$199	+77%	20
South Dakota	\$118	\$142	+20%	16	\$13	\$23	+85%	16
Tennessee	\$1,006	\$975	-3%	42	NA	\$337	NA	NA
Texas	\$1,763	\$2,152	+22%	12	\$1,380	\$2,117	+53%	30
Utah	\$106	\$150	+41%	2	\$17	\$36	+116%	8
Vermont	\$104	\$117	+12%	28	\$49	\$81	+65%	24
Virginia	\$655	\$769	+17%	19	\$153	\$416	+171%	3
Washington	\$631	\$581	-8%	46	\$587	\$952	+62%	27
West Virginia	\$379	\$459	+21%	15	\$122	\$157	+29%	42
Wisconsin	\$949	\$986	+4%	38	\$329	\$781	+137%	7
Wyoming	\$61	\$73	+20%	16	\$14	\$22	+64%	25
United States	\$45,842	\$51,403	+12%		\$16,969	\$28,778	+70%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.

**Medicaid HCBS as a % of LTSS Spending, for Older People
and Adults with Physical Disabilities**

	2004	2009	Change	Rank
Alabama	12%	15%	+3%	39
Alaska	51%	56%	+5%	29
Arizona	NA	44%	NA	NA
Arkansas	21%	29%	+8%	16
California	48%	55%	+7%	21
Colorado	33%	44%	+11%	10
Connecticut	22%	24%	+2%	42
Delaware	13%	13%	0%	46
District of Columbia	14%	46%	+32%	1
Florida	13%	21%	+8%	16
Georgia	12%	26%	+13%	7
Hawaii	17%	19%	+2%	42
Idaho	38%	43%	+5%	29
Illinois	21%	23%	+3%	39
Indiana	9%	16%	+7%	21
Iowa	21%	29%	+8%	16
Kansas	30%	39%	+10%	12
Kentucky	21%	19%	-2%	47
Louisiana	10%	32%	+22%	3
Maine	24%	25%	+1%	44
Maryland	20%	15%	-5%	49
Massachusetts	22%	35%	+13%	7
Michigan	15%	22%	+7%	21
Minnesota	36%	60%	+24%	2
Mississippi	11%	16%	+5%	29
Missouri	28%	34%	+6%	25
Montana	24%	34%	+10%	12
Nebraska	19%	25%	+6%	25
Nevada	26%	34%	+8%	16
New Hampshire	13%	18%	+5%	29
New Jersey	24%	21%	-3%	48
New Mexico	55%	65%	+10%	12
New York	36%	41%	+5%	29
North Carolina	38%	43%	+5%	29
North Dakota	6%	10%	+4%	37
Ohio	17%	24%	+7%	21
Oklahoma	21%	32%	+12%	9
Oregon	54%	59%	+5%	29
Pennsylvania	7%	18%	+11%	10
Rhode Island	10%	13%	+3%	39
South Carolina	20%	28%	+8%	16
South Dakota	10%	14%	+4%	37
Tennessee	NA	26%	NA	NA
Texas	44%	50%	+6%	25
Utah	14%	20%	+6%	25
Vermont	32%	41%	+9%	15
Virginia	19%	35%	+16%	5
Washington	48%	62%	+14%	6
West Virginia	24%	25%	+1%	44
Wisconsin	26%	44%	+18%	4
Wyoming	18%	23%	+5%	29
United States	27%	36%	+9%	

Note: The highest data value within each ranking is indicated by a rank of 1.



Public Policy Institute
601 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20049
202-434-3890
www.aarp.org/ppi