LETTER

TO

Doctor ZABDIEL BOYLSTON

Occasion'd by a late

Dissertation

CONCERNING

INOCULATION

Printed at Boston.

Cum tot in bac — Populorum vita Salufq;
Pendeat —
Savitia est voluisse mori. Lucan Pharsal. 1. 5.

BOSTON:

Printed for D. HENCHMAN over against the old Brick-Meeting House in Cornhil, and T. HANCOCK at the sign of the Bible and three Crowns in Annstreet. M. DCC. XXX.

OT.

Doctor ZABDIEL BOYLSTON

Occasion'd by a late

Differtiation

CONCERNING

INOCULATION

Cum tet in bac - Populorum vice Salufas serving of velvile meri. I very Pharfal. 1. 3.

BOSTON:

Printed for D. Henceman over against the Harroots at the fign of the Dible and three



Doctor Zabdiel Boylston.

Dear Sir,

Town and Country, and fuch is your Care and Tho't of your Patients, that I believe you have hard-ly any time to Read D-s' Differtation of the Inoculation of the Small-Pox: You will therefore take it not amis, if I save you the labour of reading that injudicious Piece, by giving you this Evening some Strictures upon it.

Ir furprized me to find one, who is reputed a Scholar, and values himself upon a Degree which he little deserves, committing several manifest Grammatical Blunders in a Sheet or two: And yet if he had referved them all for the Differtation, I should have forgiven them; but I can by no means excuse any one in a Dedication: Methinks he might, in honour to his Degree and Character, have afforded good Mr. JEKYLL his Patron good sense for a Quarter of a Sheet without any Error at all; but it feems feems he has not; whether it proceeded from an unhappy Ignorance, or a noble Negligence one may easily conjecture: To speak in Medical Terms, says he, Integrity seems to be in the Constitution of your Family. He may call you a Quack, if he pleases; but I am sure he is a Blunderbus to make the Constitution of Mr. Jenny's Family Medical Terms. And by the way, here, he seems to me to be as much out in point of Decency, as in Grammar: For I cannot but think it a great, invidious and inexcusable Neglect in him, when he mentions Mr. Jenny's Family and its Constitution, and applauds his Uncle, to overlook his Reverend Father, who was an Eminent Clergyman, and as I have been inform'd from undoubted Authorities, was highly esteemed for his Probity, Integrity and

many more Virtues.

THERE are one or two confiderable Errors more of his in the Dedication, and diverse gross ones in the Differtation; but I shall not stay to expose them; lest You, and others, should think I regard his Words more than his Meaning and

his Sounds rather than his Sense.

Before I come to what immediately relates to the Controversy concerning Inoculation; I must inform You, that our Author says in his Dedication, With out Passion or Prejudice —— I shall impartially relate the History, &c. But, notwithstanding this good Promise, in his Book he shews his old Passion & Prejudice against You, & your excellent Friend the late Doctor Mather.

In pag. 10. he fays, he can seldome have recourse to your Accounts; I expected his Reason would

be, because they were scarce here when he wrote his Dissertation; but his Reason is, because (as he says) of their being so jejume, lame, suspected, and only in the nature of a Quack Bill. If this be a good Reason; many, and I in particular, ean seldom bave recourse to his insipid Pamplet: For many things are jejume and lame in it, I suspect several things, and I verily believe any Quack in Christendom would, with the Assistance he has had, produce as good a Performance. And as for your part, you need not regard his Character of your Account of Inoculation here, when it has been approved by Dr. lation here, when it has been approved by Dr. JURIN the late Secretary of the Royal Society, and many other Persons of Learning and Fi-gure; and when (notwithstanding he calls your Dedication of it to the Princess of WALES assuming) it met with a kinder Reception from her Royal Highness, and a more favourable Entertainment from all the wife and impartial, than his Differtation ever ought to or will have a-mong Men of Understanding, or his Dedication from

his Patron. And so much concerning your Book.

As to you your self he tells us, that when your Business ran low here, you went for London, and expected under the Character of an experienced Inoculator, a profitable Encouragement there, but was entirely disappointed, pag. 7. This is an idle furmise, and great Untruth of his; for you went not to London under that Character he tells of; nor, as you have informed me, would you inoculate there; tho you were often pressed and encouraged to it.

But he is not content with a rude Usage of

you in his Dissertation; He must also tell his Patron, that you are a Man of no Literature and habitual Rashness. I must confess, that I look upon you to be as well acquainted with your Business as the Graduated Doctor: You seem, from what I can learn, as well as he, to understand the Theory of Diseases; and as to the Curing of them, I believe every Practitioner in Physick at Boston will give you the Preserence. Nor do I think any of them will blame you for the habitual Rashness, which he charges you with, so much as they do and will him for his actual Rashness in many Instances, but especially with Regard to his Excellency our late Governour .-- Nec te, improbe, Saltem -- Terruit Exemplo Phaeton. I have now done with you, Doctor, but not with D--s; nor shall I, on his Account, leave off my Letter this good while.

D--s has but few words and spiteful of Dr. MATHER; but it had been better for him to have faid nothing at all than to traduce and lessen the Character of that great Man: He might well think every good Man would contemn every difgraceful word given out concerning that Pious & Learned Gentleman, & at the same time abhor the Person who speaks or writes it.

I shall not dwell on his faying the Doctor had Timonius' and Pylaninus's Accounts furreptiously, which is a paltry word, and has an ill found: What does the Blade mean by it? Would he make us believe the Doctor Stole the Book from some-body he lent it to, that so he might have the Honour of the new fangled Notition, p 2. Yes, so his words plainly import:

But such an Infinuation, besides the weakness he betrays in it; inalmuch as he (imagining the aforelaid Accounts, published to the World to be his private Property) supposes no one, but be, had any Right to know the Purport of them) it conveys also in it a notorious Falsity; and therefore tends to the Disgrace of the Accuser, and is no Disparagement to the Deceased .-- -- When the Doctor was alive, he tho't it a Praise and an Honour to be Dishonoured and insulted by such Miscreants as D---s: and if he be maletreated now by such, it is still

a Glory to him.

Bur I can by no means put up his 8th page: In that he fays, The best of men have some Foible, and that of Dr. MATHER's was Credulity. How natural is it to retort here, that the worst of men have many Foibles; and those of Dr. D---s seem to be Incredulity, Malice and Ill-nature. But suppose one should deny Credulity to be the Doctor's Foible; the Instances he has bro't to prove it, in the aforesaid Page, will never do it: For several of the Matters of Fact therein are indisputably true, as there are many in Boston who can testify. D--s indeed says, that allowing fuch bold Affertions without disproving them, would be leading Mankind into a Snare. Why then did not he, first of all, disprove 'em, and then advise Mankind, as thoughtless Birds, to avoid the Snare? Surely he was unwife that he did not at large demonstrate, that when Dr. Mather said he never knew Blistering miss of faving Life in the Small Pox, &c. He did know that it had miffed, He mistook or He lied. If he had done so in this particular, and so in the rest, he would have disproved all the Doctor's Assertions in his Letters to the Royal Society. But after all, (if those Stories or Accounts were Mistakes,) they were undoubtedly the real Sentiments of the Doctor; (as well as many others;) and for D--s to treat his Communications (which men of the greatest Characters abroad receiv'd with Deference and Respect) with such Insolence and Rudeness, it proves him unworthy the Nation from which he boasts Original, and undeserving of any Esteem or

Practice in the American Regions.

I shall not run into any long Animadversions on Dr. Brady of Portsmouth, for saying (as D--s quotes him, p. 7.) What wretched work the Gentleman (h. e. Dr. Mather) makes in his Accounts? It was ridiculous in him to say so, unless he knew and could prove (which he could not) his Accounts to be wretched; and it was wretched Folly in D--s to produce his Scrible for nothing; unless indeed it might sooth him in his Missortune, of being the Doctors Enemy and Accuser, to find one (as there now and then has happen'd such an one) who has detracted from the Doctor as well as he. But I shall not insist here.

Nor shall I add any thing further in Favour of the Doctor, but that I would have D--s know, if his Credelity was ever so great in other things; he was not so credulous as to believe him endued with Learning or Wit, with Vertue or Honour, with Civility or Manners; and I find a considerable part of the Town in this of the time Opinion with the Doctor.

(7)

I said, I would add nothing further; but I cannot help subjoining, that if D--s, or any on ther of the same rash and illjudging Constitution (he will forgive the Medical Terms) with him, shall dare to attack the Name and Reputation of the Doctor, he and they shall know, there are some who will always rise in his Desence to their Consusion.

AND now for Inoculation! Without any for reign and useless Remarks, which would swell my Letter to a Volumn, let us come to the

Merits of the Cause.

And here, at the same Time that I am flush'd with Joy to find how frankly D--s owns that Dr. Mead, Sir Hans Sloane & Pitcairn his Countryman, all celebrated Physicians, countenanced and favoured the Practice; (tho' by the way this looks as if they had Credulity as well as Dr. Mather) I am fill'd with forrow that I have not one of those Gentlemen's Books by me, nor any one of the other Treatises, out of which D--s has selected Passages; so that I cannot tell whether he has quoted them exactly or no: For my part my Credulity shall run so far as to allow it.

GRANTING then that all his Quotations are just and fair; let us Examine the several Heads he has offer'd, under which he has collected the Histories of Fasts, as he calls them, from Dr.

NETTLETON, Mr. MAITLAND and others.

1. FROM NETTLETON and MAITLAND he fays and shows, that some receive not the Distemper at all. And what then? Some receive not the Distemper at all in the natural Way, when they are surrounded on every side with it? Perhaps

there may be no proper Pabulum in their Bodies for it to prey on. Why then should this be accounted an Objection against Inoculation? For how is it likely or possible that it should take Effect, when the Fluids are not in a Capacity to receive it? But granting they were, and allowing that the Operation had no such Effect as to procure the Distemper; still those who are Inoculated are not a whit the worse for it: Nay, I have Reason to think some have fared the better for it. The Reverend Mr. W-- now living at Roxbury, on whom it did not take place, (as D--s beautifully translates a Passage in Pylarinus) has enjoyed his Health since he was inoculated much better than before; and he as-

cribes it, in part, to his Incisions.

2. He remarks, from his Author Mr. MAIT-LAND that fome had only Eruptive Fevers: I fuppose, he means resulting from Inoculation, or he has no meaning at all. But who will be dissipated from Inoculation if there really were two or three such Instances? Pray, Doctor Boylston, when you see D--s ask him, Whether these Eruptive Fevers are the natural and genuine Effect of Inoculation, or whether (to use a word or two of his) they arise only from some accidental Contagition? If he says the former; you can easily prove the contrary by numerous witnesses and incontestible: For who among your inoculated Patients has had this eruptive Fever? Be so kind as to tell him or me, if any one has. But if he says the latter, it had been better for him to have laid aside his diabetical Pen, than permit its Dropping to fo little Purpose.

(9)
3. He takes notice from Dr. Nerrleron, that others from Inoculation have the Small-Pox to fo small a Degree as to admit of Doubt. Dr. NETTLETON may fay, that in three of his Patients the Eruptions were so imperfect as to leave him in Doubt: But then, as I remember, he somewhere observes, that his Doubts were dissipated at their Recovery; for then they went any where, regardless of the Contagion and invulnerable: And if others fare in the same Manner, where will be the Disadvantage to Inoculation or those who receive it? Sure I am this looks rather as a Proof and Confirmation of Inoculation

than any Objection against it.

4. OTHERS have the worst Sort. For Peace sake, I am ready to allow D--s and his Friends this; but he will allow me to fay modestly with PYLARINUS, they most frequently have the distinct Sort. Here D--s may learnedly comment on most frequently, (as in pag. 11.) h. e. not always fo. And I must confess, it is not always so, that those who are inoculated have the distinct and best Sort. It would be censur'd as unfair here, if I should say that such may have received the Small-Pox before Inoculation; but is it not equally unjust to lay either the coheerent or confluent Kind to Inoculation? It is certainly as absurd, at least, to fay, that even in Times of General Infection none may have taken the Destemper before they have been inoculated; as it is unfair to pronounce such infected before Inoculation who have it very bad: So that under this Head the contending Parties may shake hands. But for Argument fake, I should be willing to allow that some who who have receiv'd the Small-Pox by Incisions, have yet been very full of Pocks, and even of the confluent Kind; but then they are very few in Comparison with those who have a benign Sort and favourable Symptoms, as all who are not blind, or perversely wilful and illnatur'd, may Sec. Even the dull, the heavy-ey'd, the fleepy D--s himself can perceive it, and dares acknow-

lege it in pag. 25. and 26.

5. SOME have died of it. I am free to grant it, to oblige him, (who never obliged any body nor favoured any Thing, unless for his own Prcfit, viz.) our Graduated Friend. But if some have died, their Number is very Small. Dr. JURIN, as D--s confesses, has shewed that, of those who have been inoculated in Great Britain, nine only have died in 448, which is one in about 49, or 50. And the same ingenious Doctor has proved from the Bills of Mortality at London, that one in about 6, or 7, or 8. at farthest, have died of the natural Small-Pox. For my part I am ready to acknowlege, (what he defires and contends for pag. 22.) that but one in ten here have died of the natural Small-Pox; or, if it will fatify him and his Chronies, that but one in Twenty have given up the Ghost with it: And yet, when I have allowed this, the Practice of Inoculation appears by far the most successful and advantageous; and therefore every wife Man, in Danger of the

Small-Pox, will prefer the taking of it by Incisions.

As for those who have died under, or after, or (if he pleases) of Inoculation in New-England; I cannot but think, and I have the Pleasure to find that many are with me in the Opinion, that, if the sordid D--s had any Thing of the Generous in his abject Mind, he would have relied upon it, that you have fairly account.

knowleged

knowleged all and every one you knew to have died under or of it : Bue it feems he won't believe you. And why ? Because, for footh, Mrs. G. whifpers that there were many more who died of it. And will Mr. Goofe believe her Whifper fooner than your open Declaration? And not the alone fays this; but (continues he p. 14.) some Porcers say that it was whisper'd in sundry Houses, where the Dead had been carried from, that the Perfon had been inoculated. But if he places fuch Confidence in what fome Porters heard whifper'd jure I am he deferves to be treated like a Porter. And I cannot but think it no small Reflection on our Ultrajectine Doctor; that he knows what the Porters fay ; it looks as if he were acquainted with them. But this is not all. To these Reports he adds p. 15. I am certain of one more who died after Inocul mion, as they express it, having with her Inoculator attended her in her Illness, this was Housekeeper to &c. Here I could make You and myself merry with that admirable Paragraph, having with her Inoculator attended her in her Illness; But I will not, as long as I know his Meaning. In Answer to which, I protest, first of all, that I question whether the was Inoculated ? But if the was, I maintain her Death is no Difgrace to Inoculation : For it is whifper'd, (And may not our Whispers be as good as D--s'?) that the was a Strumpet, who (if the had not at the time of her Inoculation some foul Distemper) had some Remains of the Veneral Tains in her grofs & filthy Body ; But if the were as chaft, vertuous & pure as Diana herself, her small Pox Feaver, he confesses began after two Days, I suppose he means on the third Day: It is therefore at least probable that the Wench had receiv'd the Infection before; and by consequence it was hardly worth his while to make any noise about it.

As to what he has remark'd of Capt. Osbonn's Account in the Royal Transactions; it is enough to say, that those Words, I knew of so many who were inoculated, were expres'd in a loose and careless Manner by him; and that all he seems to mean, is, he believ'd there had been that Number or thereabouts inoculated, which indeed was all he could pretend to say: For it is questionable whether he did or could absolutely know, being at London, how many you had inoculated, unless you had seen him an Account, which I suppose yo did not. From his Account therefore, for D-s to gather and affert that 20 or 30 Inoculated Persons are conceased, many of which (he says, p. 15.) without Doubt are in their stent Graves; it shows he has a great deal of ill natur'd Partiality, unreasonable Prejudice and faulty Credulity against Inoculations

Upon what he further relates (p. 15.&16.) of the two Questions, which either he or some other Noddy askt; I shall only observe that I conceive the latter did not answer his Question so well as the former: For Silence is the best Answer to an impertinent Question, whether it be askt by a knowing Physician or an illiterate Mechanick.

6. D--s goes on & fays, that Inoculation fometimes leaves Impostumations and other Ails. For this he quotes a Passage of PYLARINUS, which I wish he had been so kind as to translate, but he would not; because that Author writes, however such Things have happened, rarissime tamen, they are most rare and unusual. So it has proved in New-England, and perhaps the same would have appeared more fully in Brittain, if due Care had been taken to purge the Restored.

7. D--s plumply affirms pag. 17. those who have had a Genuine Small-Pox by Inoculation never can have it again in the natural way by Reason and Experience. A bold Affertion this! But, as bold as it is, he says, We may confidently pronounce it; so that now he seems to be one of us. I believe, that he, and some other Doctors in Town, have held the contrary; and I hope they will shew themselves, now at least as ingenuous as he, by

making a publick Retractation.

But what if from their Incisions, the Patients have not the genuine Small-Pox, but imperfect Eruptions? Will these excuse them from the Small-Pox? To be plain and open, Doctor BOYLSTONE, I would roundly fay No. And I may well fay fo: For Dr. Jurin imagines it possible for those who have fairly had the Small-Pox in the natural way to have it a Second Time, and He brings an example for the Proof of it : This I could not but take Notice of in his Treatise of Inoculation, dedicated to the Princess of WALES; but not having his Book, which I obtain'd of a Friend, now by me, I cannot refer to the Page where this is reported. But upon it I think it proper to remark, that as the Instances of this Second Infection are uncommon in the natural Way; So they are unusual in the way of Incisions: They are scarcer, a far greater Rarity than Pious and Religious Physicians: For ubi tres Medici, ibi duo Athei; but among three hundred Persons who have had the Small-Pox from Incisions, there have hardly been two, who have had it again.

8. He fays p. 20. If it is not us'd with Circumspection, it is of pernicious Consequence to populous and Trading Towns. Here I frankly acknowledge, that, if only two or three Persons have the Small Pox in a City or Town, and there were no

likelihood

likelihood of its Spreading, it is not fo proper; nay, to gratify him, it is pernicious to inoculate and spread the Infection : But where many already have it, and several are daily visited with it, unbounded Inoculation (in his Style) feems to me fo far from an Hardship and a damping of Trade, that I am verily perswaded, if all those in Boston who have not had the Small Pox would be inoculated in one week, it would be vaftly better for the Town : For thereby we should be speedily rid of the Distemper. But now, if the Small Pox goes on in the usual Method, the Town must linger under it many Months, and its Trade and Commerce will not only be damp'd; but have a Stop in a great Measure put to it for a considerable Time.

9. I have nothing to write against what he remarks (p.21.) of the Communication of constitutional Distempers as a Difficulty: It will perhaps be found one, if the Pus be taken from those of bad Constitutions and ill Habits : But where will be the Difficulty, if the Pus be taken from one, who before the Small Pox, was a found & vigorous Youth, whose Juices were in a due State of Fluidity, and who therefore was in Perfect Health. In this Case, I should think the Patient safe, and (to borrow from D--s) I should take all Fear to be chimerical and conjectural.

Thus I have gone through his feveral Heads or Propositions, which he has confirm'd by Authorities: I could eafily animadvert on some more Particulars under those general Heads;

but it is Time almost to conclude my Letter.

Indeed I can't help thinking, that D--s himfelf, in pag 25. has in a few Lines overthrown all the foregoing Part of his Differtation, wherein the Difficulties and Discouragements of Inoculation are laid down by him : For there he allows, that the Small Pox receiv'd by Incilions is found not so mortal, and that the Symptoms are generally more favourable, than when it is receiv'd by accidental Contagition. Now, you, nor I, nor yet any other reasonable Man, can desire any more: For if the Small Pox is less mortal and the symptoms are more favourable by Incifions than by the natural way; then he is a Simpleton, not to say worse, who when he is in Danger, will not be inoculated. And then, if, as he further writes in the same Page, one may take the advantage of benign Small-Pex Conftitution and a favourable Season of the Year; if one may prepare his Body for it, and remove the Anxiety which some are posses'd with thro' Fear of the Small Pox : I say, if all these Benefits and Advantages flow from Inoculation, he, who is near the small Por, and does not get inoculated, either knows not

his Interest or difregards his Welfare. D-s himself must have drawn this Conclusion, if he had made any Inference from

what he fays of the Advantages of Inoculation.

It pleases me much, that the Physicians in Town don't think Inoculation criminal now, whatever might be their Conceptions formerly: But D--s's Reason (I say his; for it can never be theirs) is poor for obviating their suspicion that it was fo; viz. The Example of the Royal Family. I must confess their Example is of great Force; and the fuccess the Practice of Inoculation has mer with on the Royal Offfpring, the Hopes and Joys of Britain and its Dependencies is a strong Inducement and sufficient Encouragement to the Practice, were it in its own nature indifferent : But if the Practice be a Crime in it felf, no Examples, however successful, in favour of it, would make it cease to be criminal.

What he fays, (in page 26, viz. that the Practitioners in Town do generally resolve to perform the Operation when required) is very greatful to you, I believe, as well as the other Friends of Inoculation: It shows they have a just value for the Lives of good People: their Rejolution is a credit, and their Second Thoughts will bring Honours to them: It is frequent for fallible Men to persewere in Mistakes thro' Pride or Obstinacy; and yet it is monstrous, absurd and disreputable

But it is now high time to break off: I shall do so, by wishing that no one will be fo unadvised as to trust himself in D--s hands under Inoculation ; (for I believe he ftill retains fome of his old Spleen against the Practice); by letting you know that, let D -- s write what he will about Inoculation or any Thing else, I will (if no one else will expose him) take licture Op-portunity to show his Blunders and Errors; and by assuring you, that I am, with great Efteem and Affection,

from the Dear Sir, who who will be Dear Sir, the bear sin the first the state of th

March 3. Tour very faithful humble Servant.

the tend ten, and does not get the wheth, et hat 'es 177