

167

(DOCUMENTATION)

Doc  
W2  
A2  
Q12P

**PROJECT REPORT  
COMMITTEE ON FOOD RESEARCH**

U.S. QUARTERMASTER FOOD AND CONTAINER INSTITUTE  
FOR THE ARMED FORCES  
CHICAGO ILLINOIS

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT BRANCH  
MILITARY PLANNING DIVISION  
OFFICE OF THE  
QUARTERMASTER GENERAL


COOPERATING INSTITUTION University of Texas	LOCALITY Austin, Texas
DIVISION Arts & Sciences	DEPARTMENT Bacteriology
OFFICE Orville W. Wyse	COLLABORATORS - - -
REPORT NO. 10	FILE NO. M-900 ✓
PERIOD COVERED Aug. 1 - Oct. 1, 1947	INITIATION DATE July 1, 1946
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PROGRESS REPORT <input type="checkbox"/> PHASE REPORT <input type="checkbox"/> ANNUAL REPORT <input type="checkbox"/> TERMINATION REPORT	

CONTRACT NO. W11-009-70178

Mode of Action of Certain Antibacterial Agents.

**SUMMARY**

The attack on the problem of the inhibition of bacteria by chemical agents has generally involved a search for more active compounds suitable for this purpose. We have shown that it is possible under some conditions to modify the resistance of the organism to the chemical agent.



continued

Many antibacterial agents are unsuitable inhibitors because of the resistant individuals which occur as spontaneous mutations in the microbial population. These individuals grow in the presence of the inhibitor and establish a resistant culture against which the inhibitor is ineffectual. We have devoted considerable study to the occurrence of such individuals. The recent work on directed mutations suggested that it may be possible to modify the resistance of a bacterial population by use of such techniques. The work at the Rockefeller Institute on the transformation of the pneumococcus and the studies of Bowin and collaborators (compte. rend. soc. biol. 139, 1047, 1945) have shown that certain characteristics of a bacterial population can be modified by the use of a thymonucleic acid extract of another strain. If this method of inducing mutations is a general one it might be put to practical use in the field of bacterial resistance to inhibitory agents.

These studies were made with our laboratory strain of E. coli (designated as the sensitive strain) and a sulfonamide resistant strain developed from it. A quantity of cells of both strains was harvested and extracts from each were prepared. The final procedure adopted is reported in table 4, but the early studies were made with the crude extracts and with the nucleoprotein rather than with the purified nucleic acids. These materials were sterilized by permitting them to stand under 70% ethanol overnight. They were then removed from the alcohol, dissolved in sterile buffer, and added to a series of test tubes which contained a synthetic E. coli medium and several concentrations of sulfanilamide. The experiment was set up in triplicate with one series containing no nucleoprotein extract, a second containing extract from the resistant strain and a third with extract from the sensitive strain. Sterility controls for the extract were included and all the other tubes were inoculated with a small inoculum from a young culture of the sulfonamide resistant strain.

The results in table 1 show that the resistant culture grew slightly in the

presence of 30 mg % sulfanilamide and that the addition to the medium of an extract of the resistant culture had no effect on this resistance. The addition of an extract from a sensitive culture, however, prevented the growth by 30 mg% and even by 20 mg% of sulfanilamide.

The experiment reported in table 2 was set up in an identical manner but was inoculated with the sensitive strain of E. coli. It is observed that this organism is completely inhibited by 5 mg% of sulfanilamide both in the control series and where an extract of the sensitive strain was added to the medium. The addition of extract from the resistant strain permitted slight growth even in 10 mg% of sulfanilamide.

A study of the quantitative changes in the population is reported in table 3. Here the organisms were grown in the presence or absence of the bacterial extracts as indicated but without any sulfanilamide added. After 24 hours the mature cultures were plated in agar containing varying amounts of sulfanilamide to determine the distribution of resistance in the resulting population. As can be seen from the plate counts the presence of an extract from a resistant culture increases the average resistance of a sensitive population. And more surprising the presence of extract from sensitive cells decreased the average resistance in the resistant population.

An experiment utilizing the purified nucleic acid extracts prepared as indicated in table 4 showed that these contained the "transforming principle".

The most obvious explanation of this conception assumes that mutations result from inexact replications of the genetic mechanism of the microbe and that these "errors" are found in the nucleic acid. Under some conditions organisms may assimilate those preformed fragments of their genetic control mechanism if they are added to the medium. If the fragments are slightly different from those ordinarily built by the organisms the result is a mutation.

From the data presented here it must be assumed that the highly resistant organisms of the resistant population prefer to absorb the nucleic acid from the normal culture rather than duplicate their own genetic mechanism which is abnormal as compared with the main population.

Table 1.

TRANSFUSION OF SULFANILAMIDE RESISTANT

E. COLI

Nucleoprotein extract

Sulfanilamide (mg %)	none	resistant	sensitive
0	++++	++++	++++
2	++++	++++	++++
5	++++	++++	++++
10	++++	++++	++
20	+++	+++	-
30	+	+	-

Table 2.

## TRANSMUTATION OF SULFANILAMIDE SENSITIVE

E. COLI

Sulfanilamide	Nucleoprotein Extract		
	None	Resistant	Sensitive
0	++++	++++	++++
1	++++	++++	++++
2	++	+++	++
3	+	+++	+
5	-	++	-
7	-	+	-
10	-	±	-

Table 3.

PLATE COUNTS OF E. COLI ON SYNTHETIC AGAR CONTAINING VARYING SULFANILAMIDE CONCENTRATIONS

Strain	Extract	Mg % Sulfanilamide				
		0	2	5	10	30
Sensitive	none	130 M	2 M	900	0	0
Sensitive	resistant	120 M	7 M	20 T	100	0
Resistant	none	140 M	112 M	8 M	800 T	900
Resistant	sensitive	140 M	100 M	420 T	2 T	0

Table 4.

PREPARATION OF NUCLEIC ACID

1 gram wet cells - 0.1 M Na citrate in .2% Na desoxycholate.  
Heat to 50° C. for 10 minutes. Centrifuge.

---

cell debris  
discard

crude extract  
+ 4 volumes of EtOH

---

other precipitate  
and dissolved substance

fibrous precipitate  
(crude nucleoprotein)

dissolve in M NaCl  
shake with 1/10 vol  
amyl alcohol and 1/3 vol  
chloroform

---

protein

nucleic acid