Colai Rough Drugt Deros

On the Translation of the Genetic Code

MARSHALL . NIRENBERG

National Heart Institute - National

InstituteSof Health 1920 . 11.

d like to take this opportunity por relate something -of our knowledge of the genetic language.) For some two to four billion years some such language has probably provided the basis for a continuous dialogue between cells and their descend-Fossil records and bacteria about 3 billion years and and ants. have been reported (Baghoorn Schopf); the first vertebrate appeared approximately 500 million years ago; and amphibians and mammals about 350 and 180 million years ago, respectively. The presence of bacteria 3 billion years ago may indicate the presence of an operational code at that time, falmost surely the code has functioned for more than 500 million years. The remarkable similarity in code-words used in bacterial, amphibian and mammalian replicative processes suggests that most, if not all, forms of life on this planet use almost the same genetic language, and that this language has been used, possibly with few major changes, for at least 500 million years.

It is by virtue of this language that each generation is able to pass to the next generation a library of information which specifies in detail how to make the many kinds of protein catalyst that the cells will need for their development. And although it now seems clear that all, or almost all forms of life on this planet use virtually the same language, recently a number of "dialects" have been found. I shall describe this lazer.

The elucidation of the genetic code has been the subject of much intensive work, particularly in the past four or five years, and I would like to stress at the outset, that this work, and particularly the work with which I have been associated has been, in a very real sense a collaborative project. Think this will become evident as I proceed.

First new recall briefly - as must have been <u>done</u> frequently in this Symposium - the basic features of the Crick-Watson scheme of protein synthesis. (Fig. 1) Here is shown

schematically the double-stranded DNA, which together with an enzyme, - RNA-polymerase, - catalyses the synthesis of messenger-RNA, using the DNA as a template. Only <u>one</u> strand of **the** DNA is copied by **the** RNA-polymerase; **and** the copying process is sequential and there are signals, whose exact nature is unkown, which specify the beginning and the end of the messenger-RNA synthesis. The next diagram (Fig. 2), shows schematically the process of protein synthesis. In the DNA shown here, the different cross-hatchings represent various segments of DNA, each corresponding to a specific protein, or group of proteins. Ribosomes are shown, schematically, attached to the messenger-ANA

List of collaborators at Bethesda.

where reading, or translation, begins; and as soon as one ribosome moves down the messenger RNA, another becomes attached until the massinger RNA is virtually covered with ribosomes. The actual reading takes place by means of s ANA (solublewhich carries specific amino-acids and recognizes parti-(cideas)A cular mtRNA code words on the ribosomes. Thus the code-word, or codo is recognized not by the amino-acids, per-se, but by an adaptor molecules the BRNAL Fig. illustrates, again diagramatically but in more detail, the codon recognition process as exemplified by that most inten-E. coli sively studied organism, Ecoli. Alshon a parti The ribosome of Ecoli comprised two sub-units: the larger 30-5 505 and the smaller 309. The messenger-RNA lies on the smaller a conten lobre part of the ribosome, and presumably (three bases) in the massenger RNA molecule (a 'codon') are recognized by three, bases in the RNA (an 'anti-codon'), and this latter can then bind spacede tawine auf i de foi Nat one of two possible binding sites on the larger ribosom & subunit, a particular amino-acid, (aa). One of these binding sites is for the peptidal signa, so the signa which is attached to the growing (protein) poly peptide molecule; and the other for the incoming amino-acid sfRNA. Thus three enzymes (the two-s-RNA's sale a dilla sui st 6 vation energy are required for the transfer of the growing polypeptide chain to the next (incoming) amino-acid sfRNA complex.

When this is accomplished the spRNA required for the previous amino-acid is discarded, and a shift in some way occurs so that the next codon (triplet of bases) on the mpRNA can be recognized by a new spRNA. In this way the protein synthesis starts at a given place (on the mpRNA), reads groupings of three bases sequentially and with a given polarity.

In an actual living cell, even the smallest bacterial cell, mun E accuss. innumerable biochemical processes are simultaneously in process. all part of the cell metabolism. The synthesis of even a single protein is quite an elaborate process involving, vinter alia, the crassfer of a long DNA message to an miRNA molecule which Vias typically sufficient nucleotides (about 1,500) to code some which a consistent dit for a to particul amino-acids for the protein polypeptide chains, Moreover, in an actual cell these 1,500 nucleotides will not be arranged in many a life way that can here, in any simple sequence, reflecting the fact that there is a the a many vicence into particles partypeptick and in sometime, mendenter Stamme great number of different sequences of amino-acids (of which 20 acid, different varieties are made) which constitute different proteins.

Nonetheless, by a great variety of biochemical and genetic investigations, especially with bacteria and viruses, a great many features of the protein synthesis, fincluding in particularly inch information about the code has been obtained. The work I shall the describing is, however, characterized by the use of much simpler, in vitro systems, where the essentially <u>chemical</u> features of some of the basic steps in the whole process are studied. The success of these methods, and the concurrence of results from them with those from in vivo experiments, where both are available will, I hope demonstrate how a physio-chemical or molecular basis can be found for the broic processes governing such fundamentally biological phenomena as cell metabolism and replication.

The basis for our earlier work on the DNA-RNA code was the use of synthetic messages, (in place, that is, of actual m+RNA) which were randomly oriented sequences of the four code letters, $U(\pi a col)$, C(ydtosine), A(denine) G(uanine), the four bases of m+RNA. In this way some characteristic of the code could be determined in particular the base compositions of the code-words, but not the sequence of the bases in the bases in the words. Thus the problem up to two or three years ago was like that of an anagram: we knew the letters comprising the code-words but not the letters within each word.

Alte for

It has been world established in several laboratories that if one added a synthetic messenger RNA, in particular polyuridylic acid a synthetic RNA with entirely U bases) to a suitable mixture of ribosomes, s+RNA's, enzymes, ATP, GTP and aminoacids, the poly-U would selectively bind phenylalanine s+RNA (i.e., the particular s+RNA associated with the incorporation of the amino-acid phenylalanine in protein), to the ribosomes. My colleague, Philip Leder, and I then speculated how small a message (c.1 the RNA type) would direct the binding of s+RNA to the ribosome. Experiment showed that only three bases were needed, that is very small molecules comprising only the triplet itself would direct the binding of \mathfrak{R} the appropriate amino-acid sfRNA to the ribosomes. This provided a rather simple route towards the determination of the sequence of letters in the RNA codewords.

Our main problem was to devise suitable techniques for synthesizing triplets. At the time we started our work with such triplets, methods had been reported for making some 20 or 25 of the 64 (=4³) triplets which can be constructed from the four nucleotides U, C, A_Y^{avd} . These had been prepared by enzymatic breakdown of RNA, or by chemical synthesis, in the latter case using some of the very elegant techniques devised by Khorana and his associates.

Two general techniques were developed in our laboratory, the first by Leder, Singer and Brimacombe, and the second by Merton Bernfield. The first employed polynucleotide phosphorylase fine enzyme which calibyts Mynification of Mullistration is about the first enzyme incleotides to di+nucleotides to make trimers, tetramers, pentamers, etc. The second method employed the enzyme pancreatic RNA-ase, which, although normally a breakdown or degradative enzyme, will also catalyze an exchange reaction between polynucleotides and can be used to make triplets with well-defined sequences. Using the methods of

Khorana and these two enzymatic techniques, it was possible to synthesize amost all of the 64 triplets.

In connection with the use of this small polynucleotide or "oligonucleotide" molecules such as the trinucleotides, it is important to point out here that any given sequence of nucleotides can exist, when incorporated in actual m#RNA in three chemically distinct forms, depending on the location of the sequence in the whole messenger molecule. The chemical forms relate to the three positions (a) as an internal codon (trinucleotide) or as one of the other of the terminal groups - so called 3'-terminal codon and 5'-terminal codon." This is illustrated in Fig. .

Fig.

po fas

All of the evidence to date suggests that the biological characteristics of codon recognition may in some, perhaps in many, cases be influenced by the particular position of the codon in the mFRNA (or equivalently in the DNA). Thus each of the 64 triplets referred to above may exist in three effectively different structural forms.

The significance of these "secondary" chemical features is indicated by experiments, in vitro, with the oligonucleotides,

and the man from the

[&]quot;The helical RNA (or DNA) has a definite sense or direction with a definite "beginning" and a definite "ending". 3' and 5' refer to features of the chemical structure at these respective terminals.

and specifically by studying the influence of various (phosphor lating) substitutions on either the 3' or 5' terminal hydroxyl groups of the sugar in the trinucleotides. Thus Fig.

Fig.

shows the binding of phenylalanine stRNA to ribosomes as a function of the concentration of the trinucleotide. A simple triplet, UUU, has an activity shown by (a). If one adds a phosphate to the 5' hydroxyl group te the sugar the activity is greatly increased, i.e., the binding or template effectiveness of the trinucleotide is greatly enhanced; (b). A phosphate attached to the 3' terminal lowers the template effectiveness, 3 (c). Recently, Fritz Rotman prepared some analogues of UUU with a methyl group attached to the 5' phosphate, and also with a methyl group attached at both terminals, i.e., both 5' and 3' phosphate. The methyl group at the 3' phosphate terminal greatly reduced the template effectiveness. A triplet with 2'; 3' cyclic phosphate shows very little template activity.

It seems possible that 'significant terminal variations of this sort may occur in different biological circumstances, and that val ister a these may possibly regulate the template activity of the codons. For example, the terminal hydroxyls of the sugars (ribose) may

The the second of the

^{*} The binding of the signa to the ribosome is determined by techniques in which a radioactive tracer is incorporated in the sfRNA, so that the radioactivity associated finally with the ribosome complex is a measure of this binding. It is in that the term activity in figure denotes the effectiveness of the binding. r.t.L.

be modified in such a manner. Certainly a substitution at the 5'-terminus may be important because this could furnish a signal which specifies the attachment and/or the detachment of the ribosome from the message, (m-RNA or substitute). Recently Mitra and Hurwitz, and also stent, have shown that, <u>in vitro</u> at least, messenger-RNA contains a triphosphate attached to the terminal hydroxyl; and although it is not clear what physiological function this triphosphate serves, it is highly plausible that it may in some way specify the initiation of reading the message. It could also determine the first (three letter) word to be read, phase the reading, and, perhaps affect the susceptibility to enzymes that could attack the termini of the m\$ssenger-RNA.

Internal codons may also be modified by these secondary chemical changes; the 2' hydroxyl or the base could be modified and such changes may be relevant to the punctuation of the message. The production message. The production be excluded that the codon recognition process is in some instances affected by the particular neighbors of that codon on the message.

It should also be pointed out that there could possibly be a difference between <u>internal</u> initiation and termination (i.e., initiation or termination of polypeptide sequence (protein) by a codon internally located in the message) and <u>terminal</u> initiation and termination (the same process effected by terminal codons). Consider the situation where the message-NUA appears

asse

to contain the information for the assembly of more than one protein, (or more than one polypeptide chain of a protein). If one starts to read (from the left in Fig.) the codon for

Fig.

the terminal initiation, one then reads in the message until one reaches the word that says "Stop", and then there will be an unknown mechanism for starting the second message at an interval position. It seems quite plausible, although not known, that these terminal and internal initiation and termination mechanisms could be different --possibly different codons.

Another feature of codon recognition concerns the degeneracy of the code, or the existence of synonyms, i.e., different codons which code the same amino-acid in the polypeptide sequence. With the appropriate oligonucleotides one can examine, in vitro, the effectiveness of different synonym messages in binding the particular amino-acid $s_{\rm fRNA}$'s to the ribosomes. The results of such are illustrated in Fig. . For example,

Fìg.

phenylalanine signA responded to both the oligonucleotides UUU and UUC, but UUC was slightly more active than UUU. Simlarly lysine signA responded to both AAA and AAG but here there is the quite a market difference in the template activity between the two synonyms. The first of these degeneracies, that between the (smaller) pyrimidine bases C and U when they occurs as third let-

ter of the codon, is universal throughout the code. The second type of ceuse, the degeneracy of the (large) purine bases A and G in third place occurs in all but two or three words (c.f. Fig.)

We turn now from these refinements and detailed features of the triplet-binding method to the actual results obtained by this procedure. Since the triplets have a well-defined sequence of nucleotides there are 64 possible and triplets; and we have synthesized 63 of these and determined the amino-acids which they code. The results are summarised

Creckete pla inter

Fig.

The asterisks indicate base compositions of codons which were determined by directing protein synthesis in Ecoli extracts with synthetic randomly-ordered polynucleotides. It is clear that there is a very close correspondence with the results of earlier work. It is interesting to notice the types of synonyms which occur (some of which have already been mentioned). boit signify Thus glutamic acid correspondents [the codons GAA and GAG - an example of A=G degeneracy in the third place. Likewise Aspartic ito codono, weth. acid and GAU, GAC; corresponding to U=C degeneracy in the third Another type of degeneracy is illustrated by Threonine place. which is coded by AC and any of the four U, C, A, G in third place. Methicaine, on the other hand, is one of the rare cases (tryptopher may be another) in which there is no taira place de-

generacy AUG govies for but AUA codes for Isoleucine.

This degeneracy of the code can have many consequences. One of the more obvious is the possibility of a great deal of The back on the shipposition (codors "silent" mutation, that is on one of the code-words, or groups code - 3 of synonymous code-words, there may be convertised of a base-inthe third position to another base without resulting in an aminoacid replacement. Another obvious conclusion is that aminoacids which are very similar chemically, such as the dicarboxylic acids (aspartic acid and glutamic acid, have closely related co-This may reflect the evolution of the code, but whether dons. or not this is so, one consequence would certainly be that when an error in replication does occur, usually the first two bases are read correctly and the third one incorrectly. And very often the result of an error in reading will be the substitution in a protein of a chemically related amino-acid. Thus the general picture of the code is that it is quite conservative -- in the sense that it usually minimizes error or the consequences of er-The various patterns of synonym codons are summarized in ror. • (N-formylmethionine $s \neq RNA$ shown here is the initiator). Fig.

Fig.

In addition to the codons for the specific amino acids, there as as has been mentioned earlier, some code-words which appear to serve special functions ("punctuation" etc.). For example, the recent work of Brenner, Garen and Zinder, and of others,

indicates that UAA and UAG may indicate the end of a message although the precise mechanism for punctuation is unknown. UUG, CUG, AUG and in some cases GUG may specify the initiation of a message. Our recent studies, and also those of Clark and Marker in England, have indicated that these codons - at least when in terminal positions - are recognized by formylmethionine and this may serve as an initiator of protein synthesis. Some possible special function codons are listed in Fig.

Sanger first observed in Ercoli that one of the two stand Facies associated with methionine could accept a formyl group; that is the amino group of the methionine, after the methioninewas linked to the stRNA could be formylated. The work of Capecchi and colleagues, and of Zinder, have suggested that this may specify initiation of message translation. And as I mentioned already, UUG, AUG, CUG and to some extent GUG are recognized by N-, formylmethionine RNA; also that UAA and UAG may serve as terminators. It also appears likely that the words AG - with ending no U, C, A or G may also serve as special function words; but if go these functions have not so far been found. The present situation in this field is a most interesting one, in that the necessary tools for deciphering the special function words are to ... hand, and it should soon be possible to understand more about the mechanism of these special words and the role they play in protein synthesis.

I-would like to turn now to a variation of the tripletbinding method; which throws further light of the coding mechanism. D. Hatfield has recently prepared some radioactive triplets, (in the earlier experiments it was the sfRNA which contained the radioactive tracer), and has studied the binding of these triplets to the ribosomes in the presence of the aminoacid sfRNA. Fig. shows both the binding of the triplet and of the sfRNA (here phenylalanine sfRNA) to the ribosome.

Fig.

As can be seen, in the presence of the appropriate triplet polynucleotide phenylalanine \mathfrak{FRNA} binds to the ribosome; in the absence of the \mathfrak{sFRNA} very little triplet binds to the ribosome. Because of this, in the presence of the \mathfrak{sFRNA} both the triplet polynucleotide and the phenylalanine \mathfrak{sFRNA} bind to the ribosome at approximately the same rate. Thus the complex on the ribosome may well be a one-to-one association of triplet and $\mathfrak{s-RNA}$.

This technique provide's a very simple and quite sensitive method for detecting codon recognition by siRNA which is not acylated with amino-acids. Thus some special function words may not be recongized by activating enzymes, siRNA's, which are

Porta porte non specificio topo ni han

×

not acylated, and this method would provide a relatively simple route towards detecting such recognition.

We have also made investigations (in collaboration with B. P. Docter and Walter Reed) with purified $s \neq RNA$ fractions, i.e., media containing essentially only a single type of $s \neq RNA$, derived from E-coli fractions. We find that Tyrosine-'s $\neq RNA$ recognizes both UAC and UAU, which again exemplifies the C=U degeneracy in the third place. (There are two types of Tyrosine-'s $s \neq RNA$, differing in

; both types recognize UAC and UAU.) Similarly, Valine's RNA recognizes both GUA and GUG (G=A degeneracy) but the GUG to a much lesser extent than GUA. The Ercoli fraction leucine-1-s-RNA and leucine-2-s RNA both recognize the leucine codons (UUA, UUG, CUU, CUC, CUA, CUG). Recently, however, J. A. Carbon has reported that in mammalian liver one species of leucine-s-RNA preferentially recognizes AAG, and the other preferentially recognizes AAA. There are also types of leucine-s+RNA which recognize CUG, and others which recognize UUG.

The major variant of methionine sof RNA which, as mentioned previously, will accept a formyl group recognizes UUG and CUG, but a less prominent methionine sfRNA recognizes AUG preferentially. Likewise there is a fryptophan sfRNA which recognizes UGG, CGG and to a smaller extent AGG. The pattern here is clear: a close relationship between U, C and A in the first place of

the coding triplet. R. Holley, working with purified fractions of yeast s?RNA, found alanine-s?RNA recognized 500,GCC and GCA -- again the group U, C, or A but now in the third place of the coding triplet. It should also be pointed out prominent leucine-s+RNA binds to ribosomes very weakly in response to the nucleotide triplets; it is possible that this type of weak recognition involves only two of the three nucleotide basis in the triplet.

This work with pure fractions, - such as the alanine-s+RNA prepared from yeast, can afford some further insight into the mechanism of codon recognition. This is especially so in this case since Holley and his collaborators have recently reported the sequence of bases in the alanine-s+RNA. If Fig. is shown the variation of binding of alanine+s+RNA to ribosomes with concentration of the s+RNA.

Fig.

The dotted line represents 100% binding, i.e., all the available sFRNA is bound to ribosome.' This fraction of sFRNA which Holley supplied to us, was estimated to be greater than 95% pure; and yet this sFRNA recognized quite well at least three of the alanine codons -- GCC, GCC, and GCA. It did not respond - or only very slightly, to GCG. (On the other hand, with unfractionated E-coli sFRNA, alanine-sFENA responded quite well to GCC -- indeed this was the best alanine-sFRNA codon, and the response to GUU, GCC

and GCA was relatively weak.) Since the yeast extracted stracted stracted stracted straction was of high purity, the results strongly suggest that a single molecule of stracted can recognize alternatively at least three of the four alanine synonyms.

The whole sequence of the nucleotides in this alanine s_{f} RNA are shown in Fig.

Fig.

The alanine amino-acid in linked to the terminal adenosine, and this is shown in the diagram in only of the suggested possible conformations. There are several single-stranded regions of the s-RNA of possible interest. There is a sequence: G, T, \forall U, C (\forall U is an isomer of U) / which sequence has been found in virtually every sJRNA that has been examined. Another interesting sequence is the C, G, G / surrounded by two dihydrouridylic acids. A third is the IGC region (I=inosine) right in the middle of the sFRNA molecule. These latter two regions of interest are shown in more detail in Fig.

Fig.

If these two triplets CGG and IGC were really the sfRNA anticodons, that is the nucleotide groups which recognized the nucleotide triplet code for alanine, recognition would be by parallel pairing between C and U; and the G would then have to recognize

"S for the for non-electrical reading have

occurat U, C and A. If, however, base pairing were according to the Watson-Crick hydrogen-bonding, or antipparallel scheme, C would pair with G, G with C and the inosine I in this position would base-pair with one of U, C or A, but not G. This latter is the pattern observed for the alanine code; and Crick has recently proposed a detailed mechanism which would permit hydrogenbonding between I and U or C or A.

This mechanism, by which I recognizes U, C or A in the antifcodon - codon pairing, termed the "wobble"/by Crick, involves a movement, at the end position of the triplet, of either the sTRNA or the messenger-RNA on the ribosome. All the experimental results are, I believe, in accord with this type of recognition mechanism. The table shows the base-sequences in the

¢.,

Table

signa antijcodon and the corresponding base-sequences in the messenger-RNA codon. Thus Inosine in an end position in sFRNA alumativi can recognize by alternate base pairing U, C or A; a G in the end position of sfRNA could'similarly recognize alternately C or U, and A could recognize U, C or GA and # U could recognize ' by alternate pairing A or G. We would also predict on this model that a ribothymidylic acid-s FRNA would pair also A and G, (perhaps the interaction with A would be stronger than for a uridylic acid in signa); that a \forall U in signa might recognize alternately A, G or U - a pattern that has been noticed rather

often with sFRNA.

Another possibility is **a** dihydrouridylic acid would not base pair (with the expected complementary A), so that the interaction with the messenger would be a weak interaction; but it is also quite possible that a U or C in a terminal position would not greatly inhibit the interaction. A metal group on a 2'-hydroxyl deoxyribose (sugar) might also result in a weaker interaction, and furthermore, by permitting a greater freedom of motion on the ribosome, such a modification might result in greater ambiguity, i.e., lower specificity of the coding.

These results with infrequently occurring (or "trace") bases, and particularly those with Inosine, **rather**-strongly suggest that sPRNA may be modified enzymatically, after it is released from the DNA template (where it is assembled in the cell). Since the level of "trace" bases is quite high in an actual cell, it seems likely that there exists a whole spectrum of intermediates, sPRNA's in various stages of successive modification. The consequences of this are <u>rather</u> easy to visualize. For example, if an adenine(A) in sPRNA is de-aminalid and so converted into an inosine(I), the A which would normally recognize the Uridylic acid base in the message, would now be replaced by something (the I) which can recognize U, C or A. Similar interconversions would result from the detamination of a C or the conversion of G to T. It is possible, although perhaps rather premature to speculate, that this type of interconversion plays an important biological role.

There has certainly been a great deal of work recent way to modify the specificity of codon recognition, and this is certainly something which could have profound biological consequences. An example of this is the effect of the antibiotic streptomycin. It has been shown, by Davis, Gilbert and Gorinivthat streptomycin will bind on to the 3045 part of the ribosome (the small subfunit), and all the available evidence suggests this binding of the streptomycin to the ribosome may in some way distort the topography of the codon recognition site so that greater ambiguity in codon recognition results. This (may be one mechanism) greater degree of error in procein synthesis although, of course, this may not be the only reason - to account for the action of streptomycin on bacterial cells.

There are other examples, In addition to streptomycin, of the modification of the specificity of codon recognition. Area secont, comparative study has been made; by R. Marshall and T. Kaske made of the specificity of codon recognition with signa from amphibian, Xenopus laevus, liver, from guinea pig liver and from E. coli. E-coli arginine signa does not recognize AGG and recognizes CGG only very slightly, whereas for both amphibian and mammalian

AGG and CGG (see Fig.)

Fig.

The contrast between alanine SFRNA's from yeast, mentioned earlier, and Escoli is also shown in this diagram.

7

(In both amphibian liver and guinea-pig liver GCG is a very active codon, whereas in the amphibian liver GCG has no activity for alanine-sflux. This contrasts with the activity for E. coli alanine-sflux. In all species tested, AAA is recognized (by Lysine-sflux), whereas AAG has only slight activity in E-coli although it is a very active codon in higher organisms. Sinnesflux recognition of UCG and of AGU and AGC is also variable, as indicated. Threonene recognition of ACG is likewise variable. We have, however, found no differences in the codon recogniton of sflux sources of a spartic acid, cytene, glutamic acid, histadine phenylamine, proline, thybzine and valine.

I might mention a somewhat different type of sfRNA modification, in vivo, which we have studied in collaboration with N. Sueoka, It is observed in infection of bacterial E-coli cells with the virus, T2-phage, that within one minute after infection an enzyme (protein) is synthesized by the bacteria, which modifies a pre-existing Leucine sfRNA component. (This sfRNA is necessary for the biochemical machinery of the host bacterium but not by the virus.) The modification was such that it was technically possible to purify the modified sfRNA and test it for codon recognicion. We found that it recognizes only polyaid UG but it does not recognize any triplet. We have tested all the UG-triplets. One also finds that together with the modification of the s-RNA, there is a cessation of protein synthesis by the bacterial host. We do not understand the mechanism of this "turning-off" but we think it likely that de mechanism of this "turning-off" but we think it likely that de mechanism duced by T-2 infection so modifies the leucine's RNA component as to interfere with the host protein synthesis, and it does this without preventing the protein synthesis by the phage. This is a very subtle way of subverting the metabolism of a cell so that viral proteins can be synthesized in a large amount. This is a problem we are now investigating the set down

I trust I have shown, by the examples I have briefly sketched, how some features of the complex machinery for protein synthesis in cells can be studied by means of <u>relatively</u> much simpler systems, <u>in vitro</u>. Thus it has been established that the same sequences of three nucleotide bases cdde the same amino-27acids throughout the whole range of organisms, from bacteria to mammalian livers. And this universal code has been explored by molecular biochemistry in vitro.

However, we have seen that there are secondary features, such as the relative responses to different synonym codons, and the subtle modifications of the s $\frac{1}{2}$ RNA's which can be of great importance in actual, complex living organisms. Features such as

may play important biological roles; by selectively controlling the rate of protein synthesis they may be an important factor in the general process of cell differentiation. These are certainly problems for the future.

Finally, I would draw attention to the fact that even, in vitro, at its simplest, the whole detailed process of coding in protein synthesis - involving DNA-m+RNA-s+RNA-ribosomes, activation enzymes, ATP, etc. is far from fully understood. Even the basic underlying questions - why, for example, does a triplet code of this sort exist, why should not phenylalanine instead of alanine correspond to GCU and GCC? Is there a basic chemical reason for this, or is it to some degree a matter of (historical) chance? My personal belief is that there is an underlying meaning for this and that it will be found.