NOV 29 1971

NAS Letter 1(8) p.6 6-71

I was remiss in having overlooked this accomodation to the membership.

You were even more audacious than I was in thinking of advance distributions. In any case I do look forward to the constructive impact, as time goes on, of the many improvements that have been initiated during the last year.

The technical problem of distributing an unwieldy volume of material surely has some technical solutions. May I be permitted the thought that our academicians might even point the way to the wider actual use of microforms for such purposes. This would be an important experiment-demonstration from both a technical and administrative point of view.

The one further facility that I have to stress (apart from the logistically entangled problems of when and how to distribute drafts or reports) is a routinized procedure for recording comment. Members may wish to influence the text of a report prior to its issuance. Somewhat independently of that, they may also wish to record further comments, perhaps even dissents, without hoping to modify the report. The comment may be too technical to be appropriate; it may be evident that any consensual statement would require more compromises that would blunt the force of a comment. The opportunity to do this for any reason would more faithfully reflect the pluralism and tentativeness of the scientific process. I appreciate your responsiveness; Sincerely,

PROFESSOR JOSHUA LEDERBERG Department of Genetics School of Medicine Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

#. CE: Res 25 1982

The Crang. NAS 7.28.82

HANDLER, DELR