TX

RC RO

MAR 30 1970

Dear Senator Allott:

I really enjoyed and admired your treatment of this subject as it appeared in the Congressional Record . I might admit, however, that the sentence marked (!) was in part addressed to the policies you so successfully cultivated with respect to the rollback of NSF funding in the 89th Congress -- believe me they have been very slim encouragement to the kind of "post-industrial" technological development m" of the power industry and masswe both view as absolutely indispensable to the further progress of the nation.

These are, of course, complicated questions that have many sides. I earnestly hope we may have the means to facilitate the essential "cross-cultural" communications far less power than a steam shovel; and that can help lead to a more productive assignment of priorities.

Yours sincerely,

of Suince 10/11/68

importante mas no note wise citough to use our economic gains to meet our real needs and to anticipate those of a new generation. The condition of our cities and the relic of poverty tell us otherwise.

The GNP, as economists have always pointed out, is itself a technical artifact that tells very little of the real standard of living. By the conventional accounting, it costs, and is somehow therefore worth, ten times as much to maintain a garden in Chicago as in Cuernavaca. And if we hire a hundred policemen or soldiers, instead of ten, we must, I suppose, be producing ten times as much.

cologists have begun to persuade America much of the economic growth we had res net income is actually the exploitation of resource, the common environment. The imply that growth is nothing but exploitat industrial capitalism would be inviable if o nourish itself on real growth instead of the earth; they say it will never voluntarinder its power and prerogative to pollute fit. Despite the untimely survival of the tion tax allowance, these ancient charges answered by progress such as the income regulated stock exchange, powerful labor and antitrust laws. Environmental law, and re within our reach too.

ly of our fears are fed by the unjustified is of low-technology products who see an multiplication of present patterns of con-1. In fact, the cost of power has begun to the first time in decades. This, together re sophisticated lines of products promises er our total energy needs - a computer onic or microfilmed newspaper could help the forests.

Senator Gordon Allott (Rep-Colo.) has out, we will not pass through the industrial on twice. The post-industrial, "technology onomy will have to give greater concern to n than its natural resources.

echnology can also find an ex-(and profitable) challenge in the is and remedy of pollution itself. each and tame that high technology res heavy, continued investment of capital ition as well as in hardware. Wasteful misns in other spheres are then all the more 'or example, we must indeed defend ourout every dollar spent in a futile war or in strategic systems is a mortgage on the of our life and even our military security

The Rockefeller Commission could do an important service by re-analyzing the growth or decline of our real national product, taking account of the depreciation of our environment and the cost of restoring it. We could then calculate the rate of population growth our economy can support, on the same terms as we press such calculations on others.

Washington Post

This communication relates to a column "Science & Man" distributed weekly by the Washington Post.

Joshua Lederberg

Prof. Joshua Lederberg Department of Genetics School of Medicine Stanford University Stanford, California 94305