Dear Bruce, a Here is a haty reply to information requested in your (argent) letter was in your New Year's letter. to Josh, received today, and also to some questions in your New Year's letter. 1)W-677(&relatifes, 1177, 1817, 1876) carry a complex, superficially called Gal 5 (lysogenicity paper, table 1) History: for pur oses I needn't describe, a Gal- stock was allowed to papillate; later the Gal+ derivative was irradiated and a Gal - isolated, which actually was a slow-fermenter. Our estimate: so-called reversion probably a suppressor: W-677 therefore quite likely carries original and secondary Gal- mutamtions and differs further from wild stock by carrying a suppressor to the original mutation. rate if the progeny are adequately tested, 677 crossed to either Gal + or Gal- gives rise to at least 3 distinguishable phenotypes among the progeny,

I explicity did not use this stock in my Gal-Lo data (indidentally based on F+ x F+ crosses) and among others wrote to Appleyard at Caltech, and to Dawes, Sept. 1953 (at least, that's how I deciphered the signature of Rowley's colleague Clowes). You may have notided that Wollman uses 1177 (677?) as the Gal + parent!

In our opinion, no reliance can be placed on Gal +/- segregations if W-677 is one parent in the cross under consideration.

2) In the same letter last Sept. I also told Clowes that W-945 and W-677 are independently derived after issuing from a common stock, W-1; thus they are identical only for: T-LpTh-bacy all-.

W-945 is now known to carry Gala. It is unrelated to 946 which is a prototrophic derivative of the type Cale and shown to be allelic with the Gal- derived from 902/from which 945 was ultimately built up.

3) We are working with a series of closely linked but genetically separable cluster of Gal genes, showing linkage to the Lp1 (sic) locus. Gal 1, Gal 2, and Gal 4 have been studied most intensively. When some aspects of this investigation are complete (soon, we hope optimistically) the symbol designations of the Yoci will be presented. Gal 1...4 were described in my thesis (see alko al/lelic relationships paper) .

Wisconsin. "ollman's mutant is not necessarily Gal 4.

4) Arab is a poor character, bass not very useful. No definitize information here on its linkage or genetic behavior.

5) We were interested in norleuc. R until discovering that the Gal involved was 677. Manten and Rowley claims re Val-TL linkage unconvincing; a proper test on threonine-supplemented and methionine-supplemented minimal T+M- V" xT-M+VS and the reverse, then a study of distribution of Thetvelp character job T- and T+ recombinants etc etc constitutes a proper test.

6) Who said dead K-12? Micromanipulion of mating, distinguishable cell pairs yielding suspected zygotes now very successful. We're quietly accumulating details on post-zygotic elimination, mating process etd. Even Tom's kinetic

experiments proving very ussful.

7) diploid lambda from lwoffates only. that from Gal2 Lps/Gal2 Lp+ (cis), Gal₂+Lp^S/Gal₂-Lp⁺ (trans) and Gal₂-Lp⁺/Gal₂-Lp^S indistinguishable on Gal₄-, Gal₄-Gal₁- in effectiveness; latter 2 ineffective on Gal₂. An phage from 2nd diploid gives rare transductions: (originate from +p+ GaI+ haploid crossovers, perhps, because a.a. requirements and cultural conditions for best induction allow selection for some auxotrophic types. Work in progress don't qubte me yet too strictly on it.

8) Clive Spicer can recall to you the full flavor of our visit to Carbonda last Jan., and experiments there described. I think best appreach is quie Coloringiste and adaptationists never convince each other.