April 20, 1955

## Memorandum

Tracy:

1. Kimura is working on generalizing the formulae. Preliminary report on macronuklear sampling (MR)- for f fragments, each instance is  $2f_{x} (f-1)$  as effective as fission. That is, for f=40, 6 MR's would be equivalent to 368 fissions. The discrepancy, if any, may be due to non-random choice of less unbalanced fragments. I was momentarily concerned by the case of f=2, which I took to be fission. Kimura points out his calculation for fission is based on a redoubling of chromosomes from mn to 2mn prior to fission, while the MR is **interme** taken from an mn set. If this is not right, you can probably just take out the factor 2.

2. He is also going ahead on monosomic. If n is large, the effect is small (probably some kind of weighted mean [my intuition sees geometric] of the risks from the monosome and the rest of the complement), of the order of **intuition** a reduction of viability by about 1/2n. I am somewhat dubious of this.

3. As you can see from expression you have, p = .01 and p = .001 do not give very different t's. On the one hand, this fits the steep declane seen exptly, but the p/t function would not be handy to test.

4. References: bud scars in yeast -- Barton, J. Gen. Micr. 1950,4:84 and Bartholomew, 1953 J Bact 65:272

agiling in Neurospora mutant TC Sheng, Genetics 51 36:199

stem cells in spermatogenesis Clermont Am J Anat 92:475 '53

see also Roosen-Runge in refs. cit., and in a recent N.Y. Acad Sci, symposium on male germ cells.

5. Refs. wanted? Fission reorganization in protozoa; other cases of templates like Difflugia.

6. In his 1929 review, Jennings cites a few examples of protozoan "individuality"--shattering reaction on refusion of distinct individuals. Bo you be lieve this?

Sincerely,

John