

AUG 11 1959

Thank you very much.

I suppose you've heard this before — my own feeling is that Science would do well to drop the "reports" altogether in favor of the lead articles, news of science and other departments. I can't understand why, but the overall quality of the reports tends to be conspicuously lower than that of the other sections and of ~~its~~ <sup>their</sup> opposite number in, say, Nature. Probably the PNAS competes too well.

I have asked a few of my colleagues about writing additional articles: if there is any harvest it may be delayed. If it were humanly possible (perhaps it is not) to speed up the publication schedule to 3-4 months the opportunity might be that much more attractive.

Sincerely,  
John Lederberg