

24.xi.52

Dear Bill,

The proofs reached me only on Saturday, after 12, shortly before I left the Institute. They had been sent by the CUP to an insufficient address (the same given in the paper) and were delayed in the mails; there was obviously nothing more I could do about the proofs myself, but I trust that the letter I sent you, containing a list of corrections, has reached you in time. Just before the proofs reached me I had a letter from Joshua, who had already received them, and mentioned the serious misprint in title: Ineffective instead of infective. He said he had sent back to editor the proofs corrected of this and some minor typographical misprints, ~~many of them~~ and had added that the corrections of the proofs had to go through me. I hope there has been no confusion with all this; could you let me know something at your convenience!

I wanted to wire to the editor about the misprint in title to make sure that at least this would be corrected, but not knowing whether I should write to Dr. Standfast or to the CUP I have done nothing of it.

I have nothing interesting to report from the laboratory, for the time being. *

Yours

* I hope to have got a new F agent but am not sure of it