March 5, 1953

Dear Dr. Edwards:

follow

Under separate cover, I am sending the indicated cultures. I will save more detailed comment for the completion of some experiments in progress.

SW-973 = 1,2:-- [#157] --- x a:1,5 [S, miami, 6500-51] to give
1,2:1,5... Included are three similar occurrences, from
separate experiments, in each phase. [SW-973, 973B, 973C]

SW-974,(977)^{*}978 These are the results of S. zega --- x ;1,2 phases,

your #91, "Hines VAH" and 5594-51, respectively. I type 974 and 978 as d:1,2. This makes it likely that the :1,2 phases are, after all not like 157 but phase-2 homologues. This result may be analogous to another one (SW926) where abony ---x #157 gave a diphasic 1,2:enx. It leaves for further study the possibility that z_6 and other second phases can be transduced to these monophasic stocks.

- SW-976 = a phase selected from "Hines VAH" after considerable delay in 1,2 serum. Not yet typed: can you?
- SW-975 S. sendai --- x SW 993, i:enx to give group B, a:enx. Just another combination, incidental to some other experiments. ("bispebje:

The remaining cultures, masked M, are the "motilized" transductions using PLT-22. The correspondence with your numbers follows:

V	Your	Typing (partial)	Remarks
62	1568-51	i	Swarmed through SS agar without FA, in control.
63	4936-50	1,2	
64	4937-50	1	How are these related?
64 965	??-50, "Z	lly "	/
66 67	3010-49	ъ	How related to 3012-49?
67	1521-)	Could you confirm single factors? These, of course, are
88 69	1522-) " gm^{ii} +	the rest of the Guatemala dublins. I've written to
69	1525- 51) -	Lavila.
70	3821-52	21-52	
1	5465-	" gm 11+	If also dublin, could it have an epidemiological connection with the above?

The two remaining non-motile D in your collection, 3821-52 and 1553-52 (K.'a aberrant gallinarum) have not yet moved. Nor have any of 12 pullorums or 13 gallinarums. FA has just been grown on these to see af their potential H content can be determined from the converse experiment.

The d phase of S. zega has been agglutinating very poorly in my (typhi) serum. I don't know whether this is another case of poor motility of one phase, or just a matter of "partial antigens".

Another example of serums' having different efficacy showed up with S. javiana 732-49, initially in 1,5 phase. In berlin 1,5 and in 1,2,3 (mertexpired) ("binns") swarms initiated, but never moved very fast or far. In 1,5 (morehead), they went right through to give the lz_{28} (i.e., lw+) phase. In view of the known cross-reactions, these are assumed to be responsible.

Sincerely,

Joshua Lederberg