December 1, 1953

Recent studies of recombination in E. coli (17) have led to the discovery of a compatibility mechanism (15), a lysogenic system subject to genetic control (10), and a system of limited transduction by temperate phage (22) comparable to that of Salmonella (28). These three phenomena involve transfer of heritable factors by infection in contrast to bacterial mating which involves the entire genotype. The clarification, differentiation, ard interrelationships of these mechanisms were emphasized in this investigation.

I The LYSOGENIC SYSTEM IN E. COLI K-12

The relationship of a temperate phage, λ , to a specific locus, Lp₁ (latent phage) has already been reported (10). In summary, the principal reaction types of bacterial strains are: sensitive (Lp^S), lysogenic (Lp⁺), and the non-lysogenic resistant type, Immune-I (Lp^T). In crosses they behave as a system of multiple alleles, linked most closely with Gal₄. This linkage has been confirmed in a Gal⁺ Lp⁺ x Gal⁻ Lp^S cross in another laboratory (27). In addition, the two factors segregated out of heterozygous diploids in the parental coupling. This evidence points, therefore, to a genic determinant regulating the maintenance of λ provirus.

(1)

From a number of direct and indirect experiments it is known that all these types adsorb λ . A second locus, Lp₂, controls resistance or sensitivity to λ -2, a virulent λ mutant, and is situated in the Mal₁--S region of the chromosome. As Lp₂^{**r**} strains cannot adsorb λ , they are therefore not subject to any consequences whose initial reaction requires adsorption; Lp₂ does not interfere with the maintenance of λ previously established in Lp⁺ strains. The genotype Lp^SLp₂^{**r**} is consequently indistinguishable from Lp^rLp₂^S types with respect to lytic effect of λ . Cross-reactions of λ with λ -2 antiserum have been observed.

<u>New Data on Immune-1</u>: The status of the various isolates of immune-1 strains has been reported, and the interpretation of their constitution with respect to prophage had been reserved pending evidence of a "cryptolysogenic" phage that normally fails to mature to give rise to lytic virus. The segregation pattern of $\operatorname{Gal}^+\operatorname{Lp}^+/\operatorname{Gal}_{l_1}^-\operatorname{Lp}^r$ diploids, also heterozygous for Mtl and Mal₁ (table 7) is identical with similar $\operatorname{Lp}^+/\operatorname{Lp}^s$ results. The hypothesis that Lp^r types may carry a non-reproducing prophage is supported by experiments in which a low titer of λ was recovered by U-V induction of at least one (22). Lp^r types are also subject to transduction, and the results of these studies will be deferred to that section. Incidental Variant Types: No new evidence bearing on the problem on the "semilysogenic" strain (10) can be presented. Tests to determine whether host-modified λ was carried (section III) were negative.

An intermediate host reaction, semiresistant to both λ and λ -2, comparable to the one in <u>Shigella paradysenteriae</u> (26) and the V_1^p allele of K-12 (11) has been clarified. Standard λ suspensions have a reduced efficiency of plating (eop) on this mutant such that the plaques produced are reduced in size and number, and also show a reduced efficiency of transduction. The mutants have been successfully lysogenized, but are still semiresistant to λ -2. The protocols for crosses which establish a mutation at a new Lp₃ locus not linked to Lp₂-Mal or Lp₁ - Gal, and conferring partial resistance to λ , are presented in table L3.

<u>Machanism of infection; Mutation and Selection vs. Induction</u>: Breeding experiments and diploid segregations reveal only the chromosomal determinant of lysogenicity. The facility of the change Lp^{S} to Lp^{+} encourages the possibility that λ directly induces (rather than selects) Lp^{+} among the numerous survivors of exposure to phage. The following types of evidence would be useful in elucidating the primary infection process: (1) identification of a "prelysogenic" genotype in the absence of phage would encourage the mutation hypothesis. It would be characterized as an apparent immune-1 that would be converted to a stable lysogenic after treatment with λ . (2) a careful study of the dynamics of infection, including the isolation of clonal pedigrees of single cells exposed to λ which engender lysogenics. A pure lysogenic pedigree would favor the induction hypothesis.

Attempts to identify the prelysogenic genotype in K-12, and hybrids of K-12 and other crossable lines have been unsuccessful. Freliminary experiments of the infection process (10) have disclosed lysogenic colonies contaminated with sensitive cells and free phage long after initial contact with λ . These mixed clones have since been confirmed in K-12 (18) and Salmonella (14,21,238). The possibility that spontaneous alteration of the bacteria predisposing to a lysogenic decision plays some role in the recovery of lysogenics is thus not yet excluded. However, the simplest conception remains that the genetic elements of the phage are directly incorporated in, or attached to the bacterial chromosome as we have been able to find no indication of an extra-nuclear inheritance of lysogenicity.

The Effect of λ and F on Crossing Behavior: The presence of λ in one, both, or neither of the parents of a cross does not influence the yield of recombinants. As noted earlier (8) sensitives were not eliminated

(h)

as lethal phenotypes, but the progeny of lysogenic x sensitive included both parental types, and no others, in ratios dependent on the selected auxotroph markers. On the other hand, the compatibility factor (F) determines not only the yield but also the segregation pattern of many overtly unselected markers. Prototrophs are recovered only when at least one parent is F; F also seems to direct the elimination of certain chromosomal segments after the formation of the hybrid zygote (15,23). The important distinctions of F and λ are summarized in table 1. These are emphasized to mitigate any confusion that might arise from the suggestions that have been recorded elsewhere that λ may play a direct role in sexual recombination as well as to emphasize the distinction between the λ controlled transduction of restricted genetic factors and the F-controlled sexual recombination. The independent transmission of these factors was demonstrated by the recovery of (1) F⁺Lp^S cells on the one hand, and FLp⁺ on the other, from mixtures of genetically labelled F⁻Lp⁸ and F⁺Lp⁺, and similarly, (2) Lp⁺F⁻ (but no Lp⁸F⁺ or Lp⁺F⁺) as survivors from $F^{-}Lp^{5}$ exposed to λ -containing filtrates from $F^{+}Lp^{+}$ cultures.

Cell-free filtrates derived from suitable Salmonella strains were capable of transferring unit genetic factors to a competent recipient (28). A wide range of independent markers has been equally subject to transduction. Additional analysis has shown that the temperate phage of the donor strain is the vector of the genetic material (16,25). Attempts to detect transduction in K-12 among the survivors in the turbid centers of λ plaques were negative (10); but by using high-titer lysates obtained by U-V induction (20), a successful transduction was achieved (22). Two striking contrasts with the Salmonella system were demonstrated: (1) the restriction to a single genetic character, galactose fermentation, and (2) a striking instability manifested by mosaic Gal*/Gal* colonies after transduction despite repeated single colony purification on EMB galactose agar. The incidence of persistent instability, rarely if ever encountered in Salmonella (14), varies with the recipient strain.

<u>Confounding of Transduction with Recombination</u> ?: The conditions required for transduction are generally precluded in crossing experiments. Moreover, the unstable mosaic Gal⁺/Gal⁻ colony characteristic of transduction has not been so far recovered among recombinant progeny. A more careful inquiry into the effect of λ and Gal segregation was necessary, however, in view of the transduction phenomenon, since it may provide an alternative interpretation of the Gal-Lp cosegregation ratios currently satisfied by a linkage explanation. Crosses of genetically related parents differing only in the presence or absence of λ were therefore studied. Table 2 demonstrates no significant deviation in the yield of Gal⁺ recombinants where parents vary only for the Lp marker.

Is Transduction a Selection Artefact?: Interaction of genetic factors on reverse mutation of entirely independent loci have been reported before (15). An analysis of the Gal- segregation from the unstable transduction, the allelic transduction, reported below, as well as many other types of evidence (22) rule out the interpretation that the transduction is a selection artefact. The most convincing evidence, however, has been the development of specific Gal⁻⁻ transductions in Gal⁺ recipient strains by means of λ with extraordinary high frequency of transduction (22), when the λ donor was Gal⁻⁻.

<u>Transduction and F-transfer</u>: Just as lysogenization is independent of the conversion of F^- into F^+ strains, the transduction mediated by λ is unrelated to the F status of either the recipient or the donor cells. (7)

Crosses of $F^* \ge F^*$ by standard techniques are completely sterile. However, recombination of two nonallelic Gal^{*} mutants can be indirectly demonstrated by transduction. Lysates from $Lp^+Gal^+F^-$ were completely functional in introducing the Gal⁺ factor to Gal⁻F⁻ cells. Similarly, nonallelism of two Gal⁻F⁻ strains can be established by the formation of Gal⁺ in transduction experiments whereas the sexual sterility of the cross would block cell recombination in toto.

Crosses of a strain characterized by its enhanced fertility, Hfr, (15) displayed a linkage of the Hfr trait to <u>Gal</u> (12). These data were verified (table 3) for <u>Gal</u>⁻₂. Despite this linkage, efforts to transport the Hfr and <u>Gal</u>⁺ factors simultaneously into <u>Gal</u>⁻F⁻Lp⁵ recipient cells via λ prepared from Hfr bacteria were unsuccessful. The conversion of F⁻ to F⁺ by λ filtrates from F⁺ strains was examined by crossing the Gal⁺ transduction with F⁻ tester strains and was likewise unsuccessful. The competence of λ in transduction therefore continues to be confined to the Gal cluster.

The Concurrence of Transduction and Lysogenization: Observations on the E. coli system, as in Salmonella, are consistent with the hypothesis that the vector of transduction consists of temperate phage. As a rule,

the transductions isolated from Gal Lp⁸ bacteric exposed to are consistently pure, stable lysogenics, despite the persistent instability of the Gal' trait: the ensuing Gal- segragants are also lysogenic. Lysogenization occurs very much more frequently than transduction, but the correlation of the two remained to be explored as evidence bearing on the hypothesis. In the first experiment (table 4, part A) transductions were picked as Cal⁺ papillae and streaked out on EMB galactose agar. A single Gal" (representing non-transinduced cells) and a single Gal⁺ (the successful transduction) were each tested for lysogenicity on an appropriate Lp³ indicator. In experiment B, marked Gal⁺Lp³ cells in the approximate proportions expected from transduction were introduced with the Gal and the mixed culture on EMB galactose plates. With the assumption that both Lp⁸ strains would adsorb and be equally affected by , a disparity in lysogenizations of the two ensuing Gal+ classes was looked for. Whereas all of the transduction Gal* were lysogenized. only up to 70% of the artifically inserted Gal* or of the original Gal" had been infected. Both parts of the experiment show a distinct correlation of lysogenization with transduction; the incidence of lysogenization is almost higher in these than in the control bacteria on the same plates.

Segregation of lysogenic sensitive has not so far been observed (up to 500 tests) from these simultaneously transduced and lysogenized recipients. This evidence argues that Lis the passive vector of genetic material from its source strain. This material is injected to the bacterium by the phage. In Salmonella the transduced genetic factors seem to undergo an immediate substitution for the homologues in the recipient bacterium, if they are successful at all. In E. coli K-12, however, an intermediate stage is perceived where one can detect simultaneously the presence of the original recipient and the new transduced genetic factors in the same cells by virtue of their subsequent segregation. The relationship between this replacement of genetic material and the conversion of virulent Linto its prophage stage ("reduction" 6) has not yet been completely worked out. As will be described below, however, these processes have been separated and are therefore not mutually dependent.

Lysogenization of Immune-1 in Transduction Experiments: When immune-1 strains such as W-1027 and W-192h are exposed to λ , no evidence of their lysogenization is ordinarily perceived. However, under conditions where transductions can be selectively isolated about 5% of these altered bacteria

are also found to have been lysogenized. Repeated serial segregation of the resulting transductions showed that in some cases, lysogenicity failed to segregate. In others, lysogenicity and Gal segregate together, while in a single instance a lysogenic Gal" segregant was found which continued to segregate Lpr colonies. Sometimes a very weak lysogenicity is observed ("one-plaque types" in cross-brush tests), which is completely lost after a few transfers. Some of these atypical cases are presented in table 5, and suggest the following alternative interpretations: (1) Lp^r cells are genetically lysogenic but carry a modified prophage. These cells are generally resistant to infection with λ . However, λ may be exceptionally introduced simultaneously with the Gal+ fragment and there may displace the avirulent form of the prophage, or when Lp segregation is observed, both prophages persist together for the time being. (2) The Lp is a "null" allele. In transduction, Lp^+ and Gal⁺ factors are introduced, but the lysogenic immune segregation occurs when Gal segregates. This hypothesis can not account easily for the Gal Lp*/~ types except by devising a complicated scheme involving crossingover. (3) Immunes may or may not be genetically lysogenic. The production of Lp⁺ signifies the occurrence of a double transduction at two loci, Gal and Lp. (a) ordinarily these linked factors would tend

to be lost as a block in the ensuing segregation, or (b) a linked transduction does not operate. By a two-step process, two effective particles have penetrated; one fragment carries Gal⁺, the other Lp⁺. Independent segregation is permitted and a mechanism requiring the breakage of a 2factor linked fragment as in (2) is not called for.

In any event, special assumptions must be made on the avidity of the Lp^{S} locus for pro- λ to account for the failure of transductions to Lp^{S} to segregate Lp^{+}/Lp^{S} along with Gal⁺/Gal⁻⁻. However, the Lp^{r} may only block the propagation of λ or its reduction to pro- λ .

Hypothesis (1) accounts for the occurrence of immunes which can be induced by U-V (22). The recovery of unstable Lp^+ transductions in non-transinduced Gal- would tend to support hypothesis 3. The most decisive elucidation of whether transduction displaces a mutant phage particle with a wild type λ or whether a normal Lp^+ allele is substituted for a mutant or null host Lp^r gene would be provided by experiments with genetically distinguishable λ preparations. Lp^r/Lp^5 transductions were prominent with irradiated λ_s tending to support hypothesis 2.

Irradiation effects: Quantitative assays of transducing potentiality of phage preparation are necessarily based on plaque counts. The survival

after various treatments of plaque-producing particles and transducing particles are not identical either in Salmonella (28) or K-12 (22). In fact, it is known from both studies that transducing power may be increased at some intermediate dosages. A comparison of the effects of U-V and X-radiation is given in table 6. A U-V dose reducing plaque assay from $1/2 \times 10^{10}$ to 16.9 x 10^5 per mL yielded 170 transductions from an initial titer of 10^3 / ml. A comparable X-ray dose was found to be between 150,000 and 200,000 r. No recognizable transductions were recovered at the latter exposure. Two viewpoints are indicated: (1) the lytic and transducing principles in λ are separable by their independent survival, and (2) avirulent λ particles are produced but they are damaged only to the extent of virulence for the host cell. Conclusive evidence favoring one or the other views of Lp", however, is not yet at hand. A decisive chemical and genetic separation of the transducing material from the virus particle has not yet been experimentally achieved, whether or not it is at all theoretically possible.

GENETIC DEFINITION OF THE GAL LOCI

Recombination: Attention was focused on galactose nonfermenting mutants because of the coincidence of the first recognized λ -sensitive (13)

nutant in $Gal_{l_1}^{*}$ (W-518), and the subsequent observation of linked segregation of Lp and Gal_{l_1} (10). Gal mutants have been isolated directly by inspection of surviving colonies after U-V treatment on EMB galactose agar and also as non-papillating variants of Lac <u>mutabile</u> recovered on EMB lactose agar plates. Interaction of Gal and Gal⁺ on the phenotypic expression and reverse mutation of Lac₁ and Lac₇ alleles have been described (9). Recombination analysis provided the evidence for a cluster of four linked Gal loci (7). Gal₁ and Gal₄ show a very low order of crossovers. Preliminary data could only differentiate them on the basis of behavior in Het crosses; Lp and Gal₁ are both hemizygous, while Gal₄⁺/Gal₆ heterozygous diploids are readily obtained (table 7).

<u>Transduction</u>: Transduction tests reinforce standard allelism tests (table 8), and in fact have tentatively identified several new loci, now awaiting confirmation by recombination analysis. Whether the relative yield of Gal⁺ transductions is proportional to the map distance between Lp and the Gal locus is in question. The results of large-scale allelism tests made available to date by new techniques to facilitate crossing are summarized in table 9.

....

The instability characteristic of the Gal transduction results in the mosaic colony already noted and deserves further comment. Despite passage through a large number of serial single colonies, Galsegregants are almost always thrown off. In transductions from Gal⁺, i.e. Gal --- x Gal, these Gal segregants have been identified as alleles of the locus of the original recipient strain, both by crossing and further transduction tests. No other kinds of Gal have been recovered. On the other hand, if the donor is a non-allelic Gal-, both donor and recipient Gal appear among the segregants from the Gal* transduction (22). For example, Gal2 -- x Galu gives galactose-fermenting intermediates, presumably of the constitution $\operatorname{Gal}_2^{\operatorname{Gal}_h^+}/\operatorname{Gal}_2^{\operatorname{Gal}_h^-}$. The segregants in all these tests are identified by (1) crossing experiments with Gal, and Gal_{1} , testers, (2) deriving λ and subjecting the testers to its action, and (3) applying A from Gal^{*}, Gal₂⁻, Gal₁⁻, etc. The Gal₂⁻ Gall,", a crossover type, has not been conclusively and consistently established. This double mutant would be identified as one which is subject to transduction by A from Gal⁺ and from any Gal⁻ other than Gal2 or Gal1, and would yield no Gal4 recombinants in crosses with Gal2 and Gal, testers.

Diploid studies: The preceding evidence points to a chromosomal localization of the Lp lysogenicity determinant closely linked to a series of Gal loci. Evidence for the segregation of a prophage linked to the Gal₄ locus ruled out the possibility of a random distribution of cytoplasmic particles in cells carrying λ (10). These observations have since been extended to Gal₂ and Gal₄ hybrids (all heterozygous Lp^{*}/s), and also Gal₄ ⁺Lp⁺/Gal₄ ⁻Lp^r diploids (table 10). A study of such diploids segregating out distinguishable λ types is in preparation. Preliminary evidence also has been obtained elsewhere from crosses with lysogenic parents, one carrying a mutant λ (or one "doubly lysogenic") the other doubly sensitive, which yielded Gal/Lp progeny in parental couplings (1).

The mutational independence of Gal and Lp was also examined in the doubly homozygous diploid. Comparable experiments with the closely-Lac₁ and V₆ loci have already been reported. Lac⁺ reversions were selected in Lac^{-V}6^r/Lac^{-V}6^S diploids. The resulting doubly heterozygous diploids were of two types: Lac⁺V6^r/Lac^{-V6^S} and Lac^{-V6^r}/Lac^{+V6^S}, and with equal frequency (11).

A double homozygote $Gal_2^{-}Lp^{8}/Gal_2^{-}Lp^{8}$, also segregating a few other markers, (and unfortunately also Lp_2) was prepared by stepwise exposure of

the double heterozygote to U-V (14) and the isolation of suitable "reorganized" diploids. The resulting diploid, H-331 was infected with A. Several Gal, Lp'/Gal, Lps isolations, A to G, were then allowed to papillate on EMS galactose agar. Independently occurring Gal⁺ were selected, and the segregation pattern of Lp and Gal2 of the resulting double heterozygotes was tested. The incidence of mutation to Gal* on the Lp* chromosome (coupling phase, or cis configuration) was compared with that on the LpS chromosome (repulsion phase, or transconfiguration). The analysis included a single Gal and a single Gal segregant from a large number of diploids, (pair analysis) and the examination of many segregants from a single mass diploid culture (random analysis). From diploid B, 5 cis configurations and 6 trans configurations (table 11) were scored. The conclusion from this evidence/is that the condition of the Lp locus, whether lysogenic or sensitive, has no significant bearing on which one of the 2 Gal- alleles will mutate to Gal+. (These preliminary data will be expanded, and also extended to a corresponding study of diploids first made heterozygous Gal, Lp⁸/Gal, Lp⁸, and then infected with λ_{\bullet})

The above studies provide two kinds of Lp"/Lp"; Gal"/Gal" diploids: λ coupled on the one hand with Gal^{*} (cis) and on the other, with Gal^{*} (trans) If the activity of from "trans" bacteria is confined to non Gal2 recipient cells, a chromosonal but not muclear limitation to Aspecificity is indicated. All Gal including Gal, is expected to respond to cis X. A difference in X from these diploids which are phenotypically identical, and genetically identical except for the arrangement of component parts established a "position effect." So far, only λ from the trans-type diploid has been prepared. Table shows that while Gal, (Gal, Gal,) cells are subject to transduction, only rare Cal2 transductions were recovered. The development of an adequate diploid culture to satisfy the mutritional prerequisites for U-V induction in K-12 (3,5) and an intermediate growth period necessarily permits some selection for haploid segregants. The yield of λ obtained very probably includes a limited portion derived from Gal, Lp+ and Gal2 Lpt haploids. The latter crossover types may account for those transductions which were found. The data so far allow the tentative conclusion of a position effect hypothesis and strengthen the concept of an intimate relationship of) and Gal at a specific ection site on the chromosome, Transductions of the double homozygote N-331 and Lysogonie

derivatives has apparently been obtained. The analysis is complicated by the fact that diploid-haploid instability can be confounded with transduction instability.

COMPARATIVE GENETICS OF Lp AND Gal IN OTHER LINES

Among the independently isolated crossable strains of <u>E</u>. <u>coli</u> (12) the wild type of three lines (28,47, and 51) were sensitive to λ carried by line 1. A fourth, line 31, three off rough variants which were all λ sensitive. These strains occurred in nature as F⁻ but could be altered to F⁺ by growth with K-12 or suitable derivatives. So far, at least one Gal⁻ mutant is subject to transduction. Preliminary intraline-47 crosses established an Lp locus like that of K-12, and a Gal-Lp linkage. Very little mapping work has been completed among these strain, and the caphasis so far in these studies has been the genetic behavior of λ in outcrosses with K-12.

Sensitives of each line are readily lysogenized by K-12 λ but these lysogenics show a reduction of eop on K-12 sensitive indicators. This system is entirely analagous to host modification demonstrated for T2 (19) and λ produced by strain C (2). The terminology established for these systems will be used to describe the properties of our strains. Thus lines 28,31, and b? can be designated as $\lambda \neq 1$ ysogenic or $\lambda \neq 1$ sensitive. Line 1 sensitives are more resistant to $\lambda \neq 1$ than to type λ . $\lambda \neq 1$ can be introduced at low rates into λ sensitive hosts, but normal rather than $\lambda \neq 1$ is recovered. Similarly, normal λ is converted to $\lambda \neq 1$ after a single passage in $\lambda \neq 1$ sensitive hosts. The four phenotypes are readily distinguishable in cross-brush tests as follows:

				Reaction	with: \sens.			
[Exam	ple	Туре	C bacteria	B bacteria	У	<u>ل</u> *	
line	1	lysogenic	A	eş.	÷.	R	R	_
line	47	sensitive	B		-14	S	S	
line	Ţ	sensi.tive	C	t 	هن	S	R	
line	47	lysogenic	D	1	*	R	R	

*/- = lysogenic or not; R/S = resistant or sensitive

Two major hypotheses can be tested by intercrossing these types:

I Lp controls all reactions: the types A-D are determined at a single locus.

II Lp controls lysogenicity/ sensitivity; another locus, Mp, controls resistance or sensitivity to λ^* .

(a) Both \$\lambda\$ and \$\lambda\$* are fixed at Lp in phenotypes A and D.
(b) \$\lambda\$ is fixed at Lp in type A; \$\lambda\$* is fixed at Mp in type D.

The consequences of these hypotheses are shown in table 12. The critical crosses for I and II are $A \ge B$ and $C \ge D$. The only decisive cross for II a vs. II b is $A \ge D$. II b would be favored by the recovery of sensitive recombinants as well as a novel genotype whose phenotypic effects are unpredictable. Since there is a possibility that Lp and Mp are closely linked a large sample of progeny many be required. One must bear in mind, in reviewing these intercross data that the prototrophs represent recombination of as yet unmapped mutritional factors. In addition, chromosome and other irregularities correlated with interstrain hybrids have not been analyzed.

Effective transductions have been achieved in these strains. Galin lines h7 and 31 have been used as recipients, for λ produced by line 1, 28, 31, and h7. A reduction in the effectiveness of transduction to line 1 recipients is parallel with the reduced effectiveness of lysogenization. In general no important differences with the K-12 mechanism have been demonstrated. Hypothesis II b is doubtful.so far. The differentiation of the λ^{ii} of different lines is still to be tested. A

single intercross shows no genetic difference so far.

In preparing this report, it has been necessary to make numerous references to the unpublished work carried on in this laboratory by Professor J. Lederberg, Mr. M. L. Morse, and others, under other auspices. These are cited by number to the bibliography.

Table]

Characteristics of F (compatibility factor) and λ (virus)

.

* 500000 all/108.200	Criterion	F status	入 (effects)
(1)	Xield of recombinants	Decisive	None
(2)	Type of recombinants	Decisive	None
(3)	Transmission to recombinants	100%	Segregated according to linkage with selected mutritional markers; behaves as a genetic locus.
(4)	Transmission by infection	Rapid and fixed	Results in mixed clones (3).
(5)	Cell-free preparations	Not yet accomplished	Easily filtered.
(6)	Effect of antiserum	Slight if any	Blocks adsorption
(7)	Role in Gal ⁺ transduction	None	Decisive

Table	2

•

	The	Effect of λ on \cancel{z}	Gal Progeny		T-I-Fatilit
M ⁻ Gal ⁻	parent	T-L-Th ¥ lysogenic	Galt parent F-	M-Jul -	
F+	lysogenic	8.0	7.1		
	immune	6.3	6.3		
•	sensitive	6.7	10.1	4	

Table 3

Linkage of Gal, Lp, and Hfr

W-1895 x W-2308

Part	A:
------	----

Genotypes	rec	overed ¹	Total
Gal	Lp	F	
÷	*	÷	14 *
	8		29 ×
+	8	+	5
***	- }-	•	Ō
4-	8	for	4
-	· ! ·	+	Ó

Part B: 2 x 2 contingencies

	Gal*	Gal	Total	F	F	Total.
F'' F''	20* 9	0 31*	20 40		n in an	
Ip [↑]	15*	0	15	13*	5	18
Ip ⁵	11	29*	40	6	33*	39
Lac ⁺	26**	5	31.	22*	9	31
Lac ⁻	4	26*	30	7	27*	34
Vl ^r	1*	9	10	1*	9	10
Vl ^s	28	21*	49	23	20*	43
Xyl2 [*]	9%	1	10	7*	2	9
Xyl2	20	30#	50	16	7*	23

* Parental combination

1 Selected as Gal* and Gal* prototrophs.

Table	4
-------	---

Lysogenization in Transduced and Nontransduced Lp⁸

Part A: Gal ⁺ and G	al" from single papil	llae			
	Gal ⁺ /Gal ⁻ Pair type	Number		Gal~ Lp ⁸	Gal" Lp*
	Lp ⁺ /Lp ⁺	13	Gal [*] Lp ⁸	2	3
	Lp^{+}/Lp^{8}	15			
	Lp ⁸ /Lp ⁺	3	Gal ⁺ Lp ⁺	17	13
	Lp ^s /Lp ^s	2			
	Lpr/Lp ^S	2			
,	% Gal* sensiti % Gal- sensiti	lve 15.2 Lve 47.2		, ,	

Part B: Lysogenization of transduced and inserted Gal*

Lp ⁸ strains	Av. No. Gal Control	<u>1[*] recovered</u> Treated*	Types in mixture	No. tested	% lysogenic
Gal [*] Lac [*]	109	92	Gal'Lac' (inserts)	46	68.5
Gal-Lac-]] #*	432	Gal Lac (original)	40	72.5
Mixture***	106.5	1,19	Gal'Lac~ (transductions)	103	100.

* 10⁹ ** Spontaneous reversions per 10⁸ incculum *** 10⁸ Gal-Lac- and 109 Gal*Lac*

Table 5

Transductions to Call Immune-I: Segregation Patterns

Exp. 385: Strain 1924: 27 Gal*



Exp. 131: Strain 2110: 38 Gal*: 28 non, 1 semi (#23), and 9 lys

all Gal^{*} lys, all Gal⁻ non: 2 all Gal^{*} lys, all Gal⁻ lys: 5 all Gal^{*} lys, Gal⁻ lys and non 2 both Gal^{*} and Gal⁻ non: #23 Segregation patterns of lys

4										
		Tim man a trad		X-	ray ² (x 10 ³ 1	•)			
	No phage	phage	U-V1	50	100	150	200			
Av. plaques/ml x 1	05 0	127,000	16.9	边,667	3,975	377	100			
% survival	inst	100	0.013	32.8	3.13	0.297	0.008			
Ip ^S bacteria	uzia di pohenizio interneta	2000) Ball Carlos Carlos Carlos Carlos Carlos Carlos (1999) Bala	artein yöyöttini tahlahan tahl	ni ang		andres Parallente optigeteert				
No. Gal papillae	20	1,000	170	250	85	30	30			
A 11 11	0.5	100	34	25	17	6	6			
Lp ^r bactoria	SALTA-JAHANAN FANTERIA MANA KANTANAN KANTANAN KANTANAN KANTANAN	szzők adaszteri zalakozatásztő dő 75 metrodók adakozatok	alledige ganaalik, kust tedi selara daga te	nia anti danchara farikati na kark	with a start the start of the	a Aguna da Indonesia (Antonio)	Read of Friday, Branding (Baselin			
No. Gal [*] papillae	39	60	₩±≠	135	115	31	20			
% 13 H	65	100	NB, Mar Joseffert Service	225	191.7	5.2	3.3			

Table 6 Survival and Transduction with Irradiated λ

1 20 minutes, sterilemp

² 10³ r/min. at 250 K.V., courtesy A. Novick, Radiobiology Inst., U. of Chicago.

A. H-324 Segregation of Lp2, B ₁ , not tabulated.						Segregation	B. H- of V6, tabula	325 Mtl, Lp2, B _l ated.	not
Galer Calet	Lp	Mal.	Xyl	M	Tgli	Galeffin fine d'a royadar buin, adhagdyn fa athaday	Gal _l -	Gall+	
1 47	÷	- <u>†</u> -	•]•	•	1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 -		4944444 647541261/80/80/80/20/20/ 495 496	49	
0 1	4	÷	48	-	40%.		0	0	
0 1	- î -	*	*		-ŀ-		2	0	
0 0	-}-	-1-	-1-	÷	64		0	1	
1. 0	÷ŀ	- <u>1</u> +	+	- }-	÷		0	0	
2 0	-[-	*	•••	-1-	+		0	0	
25 0	3	ila di Universi di Canada (Sandh de Talana) 15 de ja	13 - 763 - 764 - 764 - 764 - 764 - 764 - 764 - 764 - 764 - 764 - 764 - 764 - 764 - 764 - 764 - 764 - 764 - 764		**************************************	n Barriel nammanlanar um Bang e dar genögen star alle samge sige	1.3	0	
9 1	8	-1-	4	6%	۲ ۵		13	l	
3 0	S	•••	4775	1+64	14 1 9		0	0	
6 0	S	4	*	**	÷		7	0	
1. 0	9	an a	+	4.	۰۴		0	0	
2 0	8	- † -	*	÷	-\$-		3	Ô	
0 0	8	610	inger	***	÷		12	0	
50 50	************	Total	tes	ted	albertelet schift vie Anne ellen eine	n a chuid fha la fhar d'an a gu gu an ann ann an Gal a tha ann ann ann ann	51	51.	

Segregation of Gal, Lp, ... diploids

Table 7

Table 8

Allelic Specificity of the Gal -) Transduction at the

λ-	donor bacte	ria	R	ecipient cell	8
Gal].	Gal 2	Gal 4	1-2+4+	1+2-4+	1+2+4-
+	*	+	-1-	+	+
	-}-	.	فنبة	÷	÷
**	Page	+	+	u	÷
*	-fr	(論	*	4	~
diploid	8 :	ante el XII a la Martin a de Alfred II el la versa de La versa de La de	arta alfa altika ilika ika kao mpolitya kata at	میں سینے وہ علیہ نام اور میں اور ایک اور	
÷		+ Lp ⁺	No	+ (07)	. (
and a since of a second se		⁸ ول + نث)	data rans)	i (21)*	+ (300)*
÷	4	+ Lp ⁺			
+	and a set of the set of	+ Lp ^s	(cis)	No data	

·

Gal 1, Gal 2, and Gal 1 loci.

Exp. No.	Gal" type	F parent	F* parent	Total** progeny	No. Gal	Maxim, % Gal+
535* 56 3 *	1 x 4	W-750 Lp*	W-2234 Lp ⁸	5000 2000	17 15	0.3 0.75
534* 563* 580*	2 x 4	₩ -121 0 Lp ⁺	W-2234 Lp ⁸	6000 1600 2400	25 11 8	0.4 0.68 0.3
535	4 x 3	W-518 Lp ⁸	W-2315 Lp ⁺	807	6	0.74
582	4 x ?	W-518 Lp ^s	W-2315 Lp ⁸	5000 6700	0 5	0 0.06
583	lx?	W-2291 Lp ⁵	W-583 Lp*	7603	2	0.026

Summary of Curren	t Allelism Tests
-------------------	------------------

* All Gal^{*} recombinants in these experiments are Lp⁵.

**Estimated total.

Table 9

Table 10

Behavior of Gal and Lp in Lac +/- Diploids

						Parents					Diploid progeny		
	Type of cross		F	(TLTh)	M	Lacl	Lacl	Gall	Gall	$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{p}$	Gal	Lp	
1.	Het diploids	(a)(Het)	+ +	- +	+	+	* *	+ +	+ 	+ 8	+/-	+/• or -/• 1/5/	
		(b)(Het)	+ +		+	+	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+/° or -/°	not segregating	
2.	Lacl- x Lac ₄ -	(a)	** +	⇔ +	+	*	- +	+ +	+	+ 8	Mostly +/•	Mostly +/. 2/	
		(Ъ)	+ 	⇔ +	+	* -	• +	+ +	+	+ 8	Mostly -/•	Mostly s/. 2/	
3.	Haploid x auxo- trophic diploid	(a)	_ <u>4</u> +	/ -/. +	+/-	+/	-/+ +	* +	+/• -	+/。 8	Gal+ Lp+ / Gal-Lps	(linked) 3/	
		(ъ)	same	, except	t M~ p	arent	is Lp ^r				Gal+ Lp ⁺ / Gal-Lp ^r	(linked)	

1/ In Het crosses, Lp does not segregate. Gal 1 and Gal 4, two closely linked loci also differ: Gal 4 segregates, but Gal 1 does not.

2/ Diploids resulting from delayed disjunction revealed by heterozygotes of two Lac pseudoalleles show no segregation of Gal or Lp. Reversal of F status reverses the polarity of the Gal, Lp segregation.

- 3/ The only successful demonstration of heterozygosity of Gal and Lp.
- b/ Aeration phenocopy.
- 5/ +/. indicates purity for +, whether hemizygous or homozygous.

Diploid number	Total	Ga	1+	Ga	1"	Ga	J.+	Ga	1-	Ge	1+	Gal		Inferred
charter the Bacherbart	gants	Lp ⁺	Lp ^S	Lp^{+}	Lp ^s	Lp2r	Lp2 ⁸	Lp2r	Lp2	Mal ⁺	Mal."	Mal+	Mal-	diploid
A 1	161	76	6	3	76	<u>4</u> 5	0	39	0	1	53	17	36	cis
B 1 B 2 B 3	121 73 76	2 0 61	58 40 4	60 141 1	1 0 10	52 32 65	8 7 0	60 31 57	1 0 5	38 33 65	22 7 0	61 33 14	0 0 18	trans trans cis
Cl	48	1	23	24	0	23	1	24	0	9	15	24	0	trans
E 1 E 2 E 3	60 24 23	30 0 12	0 12 0	3 12 0	27 0 11	26 12 12	4 0 0	24 12 11	6 0 0	30 6 12	0 6 0	16 12 3	14 0 8	cis trans cis
F 1 F 2 F 3 F	66 110 23 18	32 20 12 11	1 0 0	2 1 0 1	31 19 11 6	31 20 12 10	2 0 1	30 20 10 0	3 0 1 7	32 20 12 11	1 0 0 0	21 7 3 7	12 13 8 0	cis cis cis cis

Segregation Patterns of Cal⁺ Reversions in Cal₂ Lp⁸/Gal₂ Lp⁺ Diploids

Table	12
-------	----

Genetic Determination of Host Modification:

.

line 1 lines 28, 31, 47

,

wageningsdergeningen die gehier gehieren die stellen die gehieren die stellen die stellen die stellen die stell In die stellen d	an an an tha an	Genotypes Under											
		Hypothesis I Hypothesis IIa Hypothesis IIb											
		Lp locus wit	th	fixed	lat	Lp,		fixed at Lp in line 1,					
ar de 1994 terre desert ar e dels dit och attac findet i sejden	rii .usarmiigarSeanii 1764 eefist siinii	alleles	_	modifie	d b	y np		at Mp in other lines					
Phenotypes	Symbol.	$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{p}$		$^{ m Lp}$	1	Mp		Lp	Mp				
lysogenic	Α	4		+		r		+	r				
sensitive*	B	Sif		8		8		8	8				
sensitive	C D	S		. S 		r		8	r +				
Theorem of the theorem of the theorem of the theorem of the test of test o	<u>u</u>	• **		•		0			•				
АХВ		None		C	, D				C, D				
вхс		None		N	lone				None				
CXD		None		A	, B				А, В				
AXD		None		N	lone			Ва	and Lp ⁺ Mp ⁺				
EXPTL. RESULTS	: Lina	s crossed		Туре	A	В	C	D	Gal char.				
Expt. No.	-	L x 28	A	Gal x B	0	h6	1	0	*				
LAD 0	•				18	Ő	ō	õ					
			C	Gal" x D	0	0	0	31.	+				
			•		2	8	18	3	-				
418		l x 31	A	Ga]." x B	3	43	26	1	No record				
420			A	Gal- x B	4	22	28	12	Gal ⁺ only				
h23			A	Gal- x B	8	2	1	37	+				
					0	1	0	0	-				
423			C	x D Gal	28	1	3	0	(and 28 Lp2 ^r) B or C				
444			С	Gal x D	2	2	19	0	mostly Gal"				
502	ر میں مشاہد <u>اور میں میں اور اور میں</u> اور	n de artike die staat die staat die die die die die staat die staat die staat die staat die staat die staat die	B	Gal" x C	0	15	13	0	4				
<i>,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					0	13	68	0	-				
443	31	L x 31		BxA	0	26	0	1					
468]	L x 47	A	x <u>B</u> Gal-	51	0	0	6	+				
<i>**</i> ***			▲	Gal" x B	h	27	2	2 9	- +				
527			-	- water of the set	цī	ò	ō	2	•				
528			B	x <u>C</u> Gal~	0	13	17	0	+				
-					0	8	24	0					
529			<u>c</u>	Gal" x D	3	2	2	21	+				
			_		2	2	28	0	-				
523			A	Gal x D	8	0	0	52	+				
			_		37	0	0	19	e .				

F parent underlined.

Table 13

Genetic Control of the Semiresistant Phenotypes:

Nonlysogenic (W-2147) and Lysogenic (W-2172)

Part I Hunothesi	s I	an an an tha	وألاف القيوان والارد از ويطعيه اوني الواد وم	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			Hype	thesis	II	_
A new allele	at Lp ₂	2				A 3rd]	locus	, Lp3,	is inv	olved:
Phenotype symbol	Lpl	Lp2	Examp	le		Lpl]	P2	Lp3	
A	energenetetetetetetetetetetetetetetetetetete	g	Type lys	ogenic		4		S	8	
R	4	r	Immune-2	lysoge	mic	+		r	8	
č	+	P	W-2172 m	utant		+		8	p	
D	3	S	Type sen	sitive		8		8	8	
E	S	r	Immune-2			9		r	0 17	
F	8	p	W-2147 m	ntant		5		8	P	
BxF Y CxE	ields:	: B, F,	E, C prog	jeny]	(ields B	, F, 1	E, C, J	4, D	
Results:	E	3 x F	No.	of Pro	geny		Сx	E		_
A	в	D D	E	F	A	В	C	D	E	F
Mal ⁺ 55	1 7	L 1	0	1	22	2	1	26	0	1
Mal 0 5	\$8 (0 0	1	0	0	0	0	0	59	0
Part II Linkag	ge of]	Lp3 to I	p1Gal a	and Lp ₂ - No. of	-Mal Proge	? ny				
Parents	Ma	al ⁺ Lp1	5 Mal	⁺ Lp1 ⁺	Ma	1 Lpl	M	lal" Lp	ı	
F Mal* x B Mal~		4	1	56		1		58		
C Mal ⁺ x E Mal ⁻		27	:	25		59		0		
	M	al ⁺ Lp ₂	5 Mal	+ Lp2r	Ma	1 Lp28	ŀ	fal" Lp	2	
F Mal* x B Mal*		59		1		0		59		
C Mal* x E Mal		51		2		0		59		
	М	al ⁺ Lpa	e Mal	+ Lp3 ^p	Ma	l Lp3	1	ial" Lp	р 3	
F Malt y B Mal		57		3		59 -		0	-	
C Mal ⁺ x E Mal ⁻		50		2		50		0		
C C-1+ - D C-1=	 0	ລ] * ໂກາ	* Gal	+ Lpis	Ga	l- Lpl*	(Jal- Ly	3	
O DAT Y D DAT	<u> </u>	60		0		0		28		
	G	al* Lp	8 Gal	+ Lp ₂ P	Ga	al- Lp3	(Gal- Lj	⁹ 3 ^p	
		37	r	23 É		37		26	-	

The above data are consistent with the hypothesis that an Lp3 locus separable from Lp1 and Lp2 modifies the reaction to λ -1 and λ -2. This locus is not linked to Lp1--Gal or Lp2--Mal.

REFERENCES

- Appleyard, R. K. 1951: Segregation of Lambda Lysogenicity during bacterial rocombination in E. coli K12. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 19. In Press.
- 2. Bertani, G. and J. J. Weigle. 1953 Host controlled variations in bacterial viruses. J. Bact. 65: 113-121.
- 3. Borek, E. 1952 Factors controlling aptitude and phage development in a lysogenic Escherichia coli K-12. Bichim. et Biophys. Acta 8: 211-215.
- 4. Cavalli-Sforza, L. L. and J. L. Jinks. 1953 Observations on the genetic and mating system of <u>E. coli</u> K-12. Abstr. 9th International Congress of Genetics, Bellagio.
- 5. Gots, J. S. and Q. R. Hunt, Jr. 1953 Amino acid requirements for the maturation of bacteriophage in lysogenic Escherichia coli. J. Bact. 66: 353-361.
- 6. Jacob, F., A. Lwoff, A. Siminovitch and E. Wollman. 1953 Definitions de quelques termes relatifs a la lysogenie. Ann. Inst. Pasteur 84: 222-224.
- 7. Lederberg, E. M. 1950 Genetic control of mutability in the bacterium Escherichia coli. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wisconsin.
- 8. Lederberg, E. M. 1951 Lysogenicity in E. coli K-12. Genetics 36: 560 (abstract).
- 9. Lederberg, E. M. 1952 Allelic relationships and reverse mutation in <u>Escherichia coli</u>. Genetics 37: 469-483.
- 10. Lederberg, E. M. and J. Lederberg. 1953 Genetic studies of lysogenicity in Escherichia coli. Genetics 38: 51-64.
- 11. Lederberg, J. 1951 Genetic studies with bacteria. In: Genetics in the 20th Century. MacMillan: New York. pp. 263-289.
- 12. Lederberg, J. 1952 Cell genetics and hereditary symbiosis, Physiol. Rev. 32: 402-430.
- 14 Lederberg, J. Unpublished.
- 15 Lederberg, J., L. L. Cavalli, and E. M. Lederberg, 1952. Sex compatibility in Escherichia coli. Genetics 37: 720-730.

REFERENCES continued

- 16. Lederberg, J. and P. R. Edwards. 1953 Serotypic recombination in Salmonella. J. Lumminol. 71: 232-240.
- 17. Lederberg, J., E. M. Lederberg, N. D. Zinder, and E. R. Lively. 1951 Recombination analysis of bacterial heredity. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 16: 413-433.
- 18. Lieb, M. 1953 The establishment of lysogenicity in <u>Escherichia coli</u>.
 J. Bact. 65:642-651.
- 19. Luria, S. E. and M. L. Human. 1952 A non-hereditary, host-induced variation of bacterial viruses. J. Bact. 64: 557-569.
- 20. Lwoff, A., L. Siminovitch, and N. Kjeldganrd. 1950 Induction de production de phage dans une bacterie lysogene. Ann. Inst. Pasteur 79: 815-858.
- 21. Kaplan, A. S. and A. Lwoff. 1953 Factors affecting the lysogenization of Salmonella typhimurium. VI Int'l Congress of Microbiology II:203. Abstract No. 545.
- 22. Morse, M. L. Unpublished.
- 23A. Nelson, T. C. and J. Lederberg. Unpublished.
- 23B. Parry, W. R. and J. Edwards. 1953 The induction of lysogenesis in Salmonella typhimurium. J. Gen. Microbiol. 9: 342-349.
- 24. Skaar, P. D. Unpublished.
- 25. Stocker, B. A. D., N. D. Zinder, and J. Lederberg. 1953 Transduction of flagellar characters in Salmonella. J. Gen. Microbiol. (In press).
- 26. Wahl, R. and L. Blum-Emerique. 1952 Les bacte ies semi-resistantes au bacteriophage. Ann. Inst. Pacteur 82: 29-43.
- 27. Wollman, E. 1953 Sur le determinisme genetique de la lysogenie. Ann. Inst. Pasteur 84: 281-293.
- 28. Zinder, N. D. and J. Lederberg. 1952 Genetic exchange in Salmonella. J. Bact. 64: 679-699.