1037 LOS ANGELES	<u>CAL</u>	IFORNIA L	ASR1 C ** 21	3/629-1561
December 1975 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00	09:00-17:00 1 121.0 .0 121 121	17:00-22:00	22:00-05:00
1043 ST LOUIS	MIS	<u>SOURI S</u>	<u>L1 C 31</u>	4/421-5110
June 1975 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00 12 800.4 211.1 431 1124	09:00-17:00 27 766.9 212.4 480 1347	17:00-22:00 2 309.0 39.0 270 348	22:00-05:00
July 1975 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00 16 649.3 152.9 435 971	09:00-17:00 83 679.9 238.7 243 1550	17:00-22:00 11 325.9 53.9 244 420	22:00-05:00
August 1975 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00 8 660.6 235.8 242 942	09:00-17:00 27 601.9 209.8 268 1079	17:00-22:00 1 302.0 .0 302 302 302	22:00-05:00
September 1975 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00 8 569.4 221.0 333 988	09:00-17:00 20 538.7 228.4 238 939	17:00-22:00 2 369.0 95.0 274 464	22:00-05:00
October 1975 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00 11 517.6 110.6 380 757	09:00-17:00 26 516.3 168.8 237 960	17:00-22:00 2 218.0 9.0 209 227	22:00-05:00
November 1975	05:00-09:00	09:00-17:00	17:00-22:00	22:00-05:00

Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	2 500.5 85.5 415 586	9 532.1 119.7 320 770	1 258.0 .0 258 258	1 225.0 .0 225 225
December 1975 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00 4 498.0 157.2 315 749	09:00-17:00 9 345.9 178.6 155 807	17:00-22:00 1 294.0 .0 294 294	22:00-05:00
January 1976 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00 1 374.0 .0 374 374 374	09:00-17:00 14 399.6 174.1 177 943	17:00-22:00	22:00 <b>-</b> 05:00
Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00	09:00-17:00 11 344.3 87.9 153 491	17:00-22:00 3 172.0 7.0 163 180	22:00-05:00
March 1976 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay April 1976	05:00-09:00 5 849.6 722.3 210 1779	09:00-17:00 12 432.7 265.5 238 1200	17:00-22:00 4 381.3 306.2 160 909	22:00-05:00 1 160.0 .0 160 160
Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00 4 300.0 36.0 251 347	09:00-17:00 10 279.5 82.0 201 431	17:00-22:00 1 175.0 .0 175 175	22:00-05:00
<u>1051</u> <u>PORTLAND</u> August 1975 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay	<u>ORE</u> 05:00-09:00	<u>GON</u> <u>P</u> 09:00-17:00 1 299.0 .0 299	<u>OR1 C 50</u> 17:00-22:00	<u>3/224-0750</u> 22:00-05:00

Maximum Delay		299		
December 1975 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00) 3 666.0 110.7 519 786	09:00-17:00	17:00-22:00	22:00-05:00 3 229.7 14.4 210 244
Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00	09:00-17:00	17:00-22:00 4 458.3 154.5 266 614	22:00-05:00
1054 SAN JOSE	CAL	IFORNIA <u>C</u>	<u>RP2 C ## 40</u>	8/446-4850
August 1975 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00	09:00-17:00 1 211.0 .0 211 211	17:00-22:00	22:00-05:00
<u>1060 MOUNTAIN V</u>	IEW <u>CAL</u>	IFORNIA A	<u>ME1 E ** 41</u>	5/965-8815
June 1975 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00	09:00-17:00	17:00-22:00 3 287.0 88.0 171 384	22:00-05:00
July 1975 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00	09:00-17:00 3 318.0 124.7 220 494	17:00 <b>-</b> 22:00	22:00-05:00

1063 PITTSBURGH	PEN	NSYLVANIA F	<u>, IT1 C 4.</u>	12/765-3511
June 1975 Number	05:00-09:00	09:00-17:00 2	17:00-22:00	22:00-05:00
Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay		471.5 45.5 426 517		
September 1975 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay	05:00-09:00	09:00-17:00 3 268.7 49.5 200	17:00-22:00	22:00-05:00
Maximum Delay November 1975		315		
Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00 1 283.0 .0 283 283 283	09:00-17:00	17:00-22:00	22:00-05:00
December 1975 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00 1 267.0 .0 267 267 267	09:00-17:00	17:00-22:00	22:00-05:00
February 1976 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00	09:00-17:00 1 668.0 .0 668 668	17:00-22:00	22:00-05:00
March 1976 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00 1 297.0 .0 297 297	09:00-17:00	17:00-22:00	22:00-05:00 1 266.0 .0 266 266

1072 PALO ALTO	CAL	IFORNIA F	<u>PCOSR1 E ## 41</u>	5/326-7015
August 1975 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00	09:00-17:00 1 169.0 .0 169 169	17:00-22:00	22:00-05:00 1 148.0 .0 148 148
<u>1073 UNION</u>	NEW	JERSEY L	<u>JNISR1 E ** 20</u>	<u>1/964-3801</u>
June 1975 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00	09:00-17:00	17:00-22:00 2 371.0 9.0 362 380	22:00 <b>-</b> 05:00
August 1975 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00 1 484.0 .0 484 484 484	09:00-17:00 1 692.0 .0 692 692	17:00-22:00	22:00-05:00
October 1975 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00 2 769.5 97.5 672 867	09:00-17:00 1 485.0 .0 485 485 485	17:00-22:00	22:00-05:00
November 1975 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00 7 641.6 204.4 419 1106	09:00-17:00 10 689.8 178.2 476 1055	17:00-22:00	22:00-05:00
January 1976 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay March 1976	05:00-09:00 1 281.0 .0 281 281 281	09:00-17:00	17:00-22:00	22:00-05:00

05:00-09:00 09:00-17:00 17:00-22:00 22:00-05:00

Number 2 688.5 Average Delay Std Deviation 221.5 Minimum Delay 467 Maximum Delay 910 April 1976 05:00-09:00 09:00-17:00 17:00-22:00 22:00-05:00 Number 1 Average Delay 1125.0 Std Deviation .0 Minimum Delay 1125 1125 Maximum Delay 1112 NEW YORK NYCSR2 C \*\* 212/750-9433 NEW YORK NEW YORK NEW YORK NYCSR2 C ## 212/750-9445 June 1975 05:00-09:00 09:00-17:00 17:00-22:00 22:00-05:00 Number 4 13 668.5 Average Delay 308.1 Std Deviation 207.6 51.3 Minimum Delay 458 232 Maximum Delay 960 439 July 1975 05:00-09:00 09:00-17:00 17:00-22:00 22:00-05:00 Number 5 7 655.2 Average Delay 532.9 Std Deviation 176.9 104.2 Minimum Delay 401 356 Maximum Delay 891 679 August 1975 05:00-09:00 09:00-17:00 17:00-22:00 22:00-05:00 Number 1 Average Delav 600.0 Std Deviation .0 Minimum Delay 600 Maximum Delay 600 December 1975 05:00-09:00 09:00-17:00 17:00-22:00 22:00-05:00 Number 1 894.0 Average Delay Std Deviation .0 Minimum Delay 894 Maximum Delay 894

<u>1116 CHICAGO</u>	ILL	INOIS C	HISR1 C ** 31	2/368-4607
August 1975 Number Average Delay Std Deviation Minimum Delay Maximum Delay	05:00-09:00	09:00-17:00 1 166.0 .0 166 166	17:00-22:00	22:00 <b>-0</b> 5:00
1173 VALLEYFORG	<u>e pen</u>	<u>NSYLVANIA V</u>	<u>FOSR1 E 21</u>	5/666-9190
December 1975				
	05:00-09:00	09:00-17:00	17:00-22:00	22:00-05:00
Number	1	4		
Average Delay	311.0	392.8		
Std Deviation	.0	102.4		
Minimum Delay	311	266		
Maximum Delay	311	511		
January 1976	05:00-09:00	09.00-17.00	17.00-22.00	22.00-05.00
Number	09.00-09.00	су.00-17.00 Ц	11.00-22.00	22.00-09.00
Average Delav		457.5		
Std Deviation		28.2		
Minimum Delay		421		
Maximum Delay		496		

### APPENDIX E

### MAINSAIL DESIGN SUMMARY

# A MACHINE-INDEPENDENT PROGRAMMING SYSTEM

Clark R. Wilcox SUMEX Computer Project, Stanford University Stanford, California

### ABSTRACT

A general-purpose programming system is being developed for the support of portable software, and as a tool for research into machineindependent code generation. The issues involved in such a design project are discussed, and an overview is given of the approach taken for MAINSAIL.

#### INTRODUCTION

Much effort is now expended in the development of software whose conceptual framework, at least, is already well-understood and documented. A significant amount of time spent in such development is invariably attributable to the particular environment in which the program will execute, rather than the function of the program itself. An algorithm is easily overwhelmed by implementation details, and its intention obscured by the resulting program. The source language, the operating system, the size of the machine, the file system, the debugging facilities, the time schedule, the demands of efficiency: all seem to conspire against clarity and generality. The original purpose, and the means used to obtain a running program, can become inextricably enmeshed, the result having no application beyond its limited context. The program becomes tied to the machine, the operating system, a particular version of the operating system, and the various local enhancements, and certain terminals, with given keyboards and character sets; it continually becomes obsolete, never works quite right, and dies a certain death when the author departs. And yet essentially the same program is developed for other machines, and meets the same fate. There seems to be neither the time nor the tools to do it right once, and distribute it; indeed, everyone is busy writing his own version.

If a program is to find general use beyond the confines of a particular implementation, the multitude of machine-dependent traps must be defended against at every turn. Whether this necessarily entails a loss in efficiency (program size and execution time), and the inability to use local features which might otherwise enhance performance, is becoming less clear, and certainly less important as memory and processing rates increase. The programming task is being given increased scrutiny, with an eye to the elimination of duplication, obscurity and inflexibility solely for the purpose of execution-time efficiency. Software is viewed more as a product with general applicability than as a means to an end. The tremendous effort required for a quality software product is resulting in a less tolerant attitude towards programs which must be totally rewritten if "moved" to a new machine.

If programmers had access to programming systems which aided in the creation of portable software, then perhaps we would be surprised at the tasks now considered machine-dependent which could be cast in a more general mold, passed from one machine to another, with possibly minor changes isolated and well-documented. To gain acceptance, such a system must balance several conflicting requirements without adversely affecting its ease of use.

## PORTABILITY

The programming system itself must be transportable among a wide variety of machines. Its design must incorporate the means to insure compatible versions among machines, and to allow a new machine to be implemented with a minimum of effort. A language standard, presumably enforced in all implementations, is not sufficient. There is little chance that every version will be totally compatible. A standard retards the introduction of improvements and new ideas, since every implementation requires concurrent upgrading to preserve compatibility. The orchestration of such updates across a broad class of computers is prohibitive. Thus the parallel development of the programming system on many machines is not sufficient, and is an example of the very redundancy which a machineindependent programming system can alleviate. Such is the case with many languages which are now used for program portability, for example FORTRAN, COBOL, BASIC and SIMULA.

If a single version of the system could be written and distributed to all sites, then an elegant solution would be provided to the problem of maintaining compatibility, and hence portability. There would be no need for a language standard, since each site would use the same compiler. Every version would without question be compatible, since there would be only one version. Any changes to the system would be immediately transmitted to all sites by merely sending copies of the updated software. Errors found by one site result in fixes for every site.

This type of distribution can take place if the programming system is written in its own language. All software comprising the MAINSAIL programming system is itself written in the MAINSAIL language. The compiler can compile itself, and its own runtime system. It is easily bootstrapped since it is written in a subset of MAINSAIL which can be compiled by an existing compiler for the language SAIL, from which MAINSAIL is derived. Furthermore, the creation of a MAINSAIL system for a new computer is largely automated by a compiler-generator program. The programming system itself is one example of the portability of programs written for the system. As a corollary, user programs can be written which will execute correctly on any implementation. The consequences of being able to move programs freely among several computers and operating systems are far-reaching. Programs may be shared among all sites, regardless of what computers are involved. At a single site, the same language can be used on all computers, thus promoting program interchange, and removing the problems involved with using different languages on each computer. If one computer system becomes unavailable, programs may be moved to another. The introduction of new computers may take place without fear that existing programs will become obsolete: it is only necessary that the programming system be implemented on the new system.

### EFFICIENCY

In order to compete successfully with existing programming systems, a machine-independent system must offer advantages greater than the penalties derived from its lack of intimacy with the host machine. While this statement is nearly tautological, it nevertheless suggests the tradeoffs between efficiency and portability which must be dealt with in the design of such a system. Machine-independence is more a question of degree than possibility, since, in theory at least, even an extremely limited machine can be made to simulate the operations of the most powerful.

In order to obtain an acceptable level of efficiency, few assumptions concerning the target machines should be embodied in the programming system. It would be unacceptable to model all target machines as stack machines, if this model must be carried to the point of code generation. Similarly, register usage, linkage conventions, addressability, and storage allocation must not be given rigid characteristics if the system is to be truly portable. Interpreted code cannot be emitted in every case. Such considerations seem to rule out the effectiveness of a well-defined "abstract machine" for which code is generated. Instead, the code should be made to fit each target machine as well as most compilers now fit the machines for which they were designed. In many cases MAINSAIL is able to generate better code than existing compilers. For example MAINSAIL produces about 10 percent less code than the SAIL compiler, which was designed for a particular machine (PDP-10).

### MACHINE-DEPENDENCIES

Somewhat paradoxically, a machine-independent programming system can benefit from features which support its use in machine-dependent applications. If the language attempts to ban any constructs which it considers machine-dependent, then programs which by their nature are heavily dependent on a particular machine configuration cannot be written. Programmers who would prefer use of the language must turn to another for such purposes; their preferences may be similarly turned.

At the very least, linkage should be allowed to external procedures

written in other languages, so that a library of procedures of local interest can be constructed. If such a procedure is very short, say merely a call to the operating system, then the overhead for a procedure call may be unacceptable. In this case, the ability to insert assembly language directly into the program is most useful.

By its very design, MAINSAIL can benefit from machine-dependencies. Though most of the runtime system is written for portability in MAINSAIL, some system procedures are too machine-dependent to be written once for all computers. When writing these procedures for a particular implementation, it is desirable to use MAINSAIL if possible, because of the ease with which the machine-dependent portion can be interfaced with the machine-independent parts. Thus the entire runtime system may be written in MAINSAIL, which seems almost magical considering that everything else is also written in MAINSAIL.

There is of course a danger in explicitly allowing the introduction of machine-dependencies into the language. Programmers may begin using such constructs when not really necessary, so that the advantages of using a portable language are lost.

## LANGUAGE DESIGN

In designing a general-purpose language for portability, one is immediately faced with the problem of data representation, for this is most closely dictated by the underlying machines. The selection of primitive data types must not be too narrow to prevent the full use of more powerful machines, nor too broad to require extensive simulation on smaller machines. Two basic approaches for data definition suggest themselves: offer standard definitions from which the programmer must choose; or give the programmer control over data characteristics such as range and precision. These approaches can be contrasted for the primitive data type integer.

The first would offer one or more standard ranges, for example INTEGER and LONG INTEGER, with ranges corresponding to, say, 16 bits, and greater than 16 bits (an upper bound would be of dubious value). These ranges would correspond to the minimal ranges expected for all computers to be implemented, and the programmer would understand that in a program written for portability, LONG INTEGER would preclude its use on computers with a small word size, unless this type were simulated. On larger computers, INTEGER might be represented with, say, 32 bits, and programs written specifically for such machines could make use of the full range.

The second approach would include, with each declaration, range information, for example the smallest and largest values. The compiler would use this information to allocate the integer, presumably choosing different representations for different ranges. The programmer need consider only the characteristics of his data, rather than the various machines which are to support his program. The inclusion of a range specification is also a useful form of program documentation, and aids the compiler in checking that the variable is properly used. Of course, the programmer must realize the consequences if his integer range is beyond that of a 16-bit word. MAINSAIL presently offers the first approach with data types INTEGER, LONG (integer), REAL, and DOUBLE (real). LONG and DOUBLE are useful if the hardware provides these extended data types, or they are necessary for the intended applications, but must be supported by software. In the latter case they are expensive to use, and the single precision types should be employed where possible. In either case, machine-dependent considerations are involved in deciding to use these types, and thus they cannot appear in "portable" programs. This approach simplifies the compiler design, and perhaps results in more efficient code for smaller machines, where this is most crucial. The type BITS, for logical operations on bit vectors, is also offered, and defined as providing at least 16 bits. Thus the data types are optimized for ease of implementation, rather than optimal use of storage on machines with larger words. The compiler is never concerned with an attempt to "pack" a data type into the available words.

MAINSAIL says nothing about the bit patterns used to represent data. For example, integers can be represented as ones complement, twos complement, or even decimal. Bit operations are allowed only on the type BITS, with standard conversions among BITS and INTEGER. An INTEGER is converted to BITS by forming the binary representation of the integer (undefined if the integer is negative). Similarly, a BITS is converted to INTEGER by forming the non-negative integer whose binary representation is given by the bits. Thus it can be determined whether a positive integer is odd by converting to BITS and testing the low-order bit, no matter what representation is being used.

Another issue of data representation is the character codes. MAINSAIL offers the type STRING, which is a variable-length sequence of characters (the number of characters is automatically kept track of). There are two operations which are concerned with character codes: the first character of a string may be converted to its integer code; and an integer may be converted to a string of one character. The codes used to store characters within strings are of no consequence; there is only a need for a standard code during the two operations. MAINSAIL decrees that the ASCII codes are in effect whenever an integer is deemed to be a character code. Each implementation is responsible for any necessary conversions to and from the internal codes used in string storage.

In order to allow the runtime system to be largely written in MAINSAIL, some assumptions concerning memory and addressability are necessary. The amount of memory required by each data type is measured in "storage units." The physical interpretation of a storage unit is machinedependent; for example, a storage unit may be a "byte" or a "word." The number of storage units required by n consecutive values of the same type, for example elements of an array, is n times the size of a single value. However, sizes of consecutive values of differing types cannot be added to obtain a total size, since machine-dependent "padding" may occur between the allocations for alignment purposes.

The type ADDRESS is introduced for manipulating memory addresses. A memory model is adopted which specifies only those addressing characteristics necessary for the simplest memory accesses. For example, an address is not used to indicate a particular character of a string, since this is not possible on some machines without additional information concerning the location of the character within a word. Associated with each STRING is a "string descriptor" which contains the current length, and the location of the first character. A string descriptor is a primitive data type, since an integer-address pair may not be sufficient.

Addressability, and the associated issue of program linkage, is an area which requires special attention. MAINSAIL allows programs to be written as separate texts, called "segments." These segments are separately compiled, and linked together to form a program in some machine-dependent manner. Inter-segment communication is provided by global data and procedures. Each segment is given a name and characteristics such as MAIN and OVERLAY. A variable or procedure is declared "external" by preceding its declaration with the name of the segment which contains its "internal" occurrence. If a procedure is internal to an OVERLAY segment, then that segment must be brought into memory before the procedure can begin execution. MAINSAIL does not provide the facilities for such overlay handling, but does include the syntax for specifying which segments are overlays.

A machine must provide for an address composed of a static or dynamic base (possibly external), with a static or dynamic offset. Static means that the value does not change during program execution, i.e. it is known at compile-time (within relocation). Thus a computer which does not provide indexing will produce inefficient code. A single level of indirect addressing can also improve the code quality. For example, if an address variable is in memory, it is useful to be able to access, say, an integer pointed to by the address, without first loading the address into an index register.

The syntax of expressions and statements is more distant from the underlying machine, so that there are few difficulties in removing machine-dependencies. Perhaps the overall result is a clear and straightforward syntax, since the prejudices and peculiarities exhibited by more machine-dependent languages are missing. There are no exotic data operations, since every machine would have to support such operations. Probably no machine will have instructions corresponding to every operation, though some come rather close. For example, BITS can be shifted left or right by any amount. Some machines have instructions which do just this; others require several instructions, or even a procedure call. STRING operations are generally too complicated to be carried out in-line, and thus there is no requirement for byte addressability or compact bytemanipulation instructions.

#### COMPILER DESIGN

The primary consideration in the design of a machine-independent compiler is the interface between what is known about the language and assumed about all target machines, and what is left to be supplied for each implementation. If too much is assumed, then the class of machines is unduly restricted, and clumsy devices may be necessary to resolve a distorted model to reality, resulting in needless inefficiencies. If too little, then the generation of a new system could be a major undertaking, retarding the spread of the system to new machines. In contrast to a compiler-compiler which has no knowledge of the source language, the MAINSAIL language and compiler evolved by an iterative process. Features which were felt necessary for an efficient compiler were simply put into the language. Similarly, the language was modified in those areas requiring an inordinate amount of time or space for compilation. With regard to optimizations, this intertwining of design may result in additional statements in the compiler, yet a smaller compiler when the optimized version compiles itself.

The compiler consists of two passes in order to cleanly separate the machine-independent and dependent phases. The first pass converts the source program to an intermediate language, and the second translates this intermediate language to the target assembly language (which must be assembled by some machine-dependent assembler not provided by MAINSAIL). The intermediate language consists of operators with a variable number of operands. The operators reflect either MAINSAIL operations, such as addition; program structure, such as procedure entry; or internal information, such as the handling of temporaries. In most cases an operand is a pointer into the symbol table.

This is quite different from an attempt to generate intermediate code for an abstract machine. For example, the intermediate code for "a := a + b" might be <push a>, <add b>, <pop a> if the abstract machine were stack-oriented, whereas MAINSAIL generates <add b a>. In the former case, a register-oriented machine could certainly simulate the pushes and pops, but the generated code would be of dubious quality. A machine with a memory-to-memory add would suffer even more. MAINSAIL, however, generates intermediate code which captures only what is in the source program, with no assumptions concerning the target machine. The <add b a> can involve registers, a stack, memory-to-memory, or even a procedure call.

The second pass consists of a machine-independent part, and a machine-dependent part which is translated from a code-generation language. The machine-independent part is responsible for creating a convenient interface to the machine-dependent part, consistent with the separation between the two. It fetches the intermediate instructions, and sets up the operator and operands for easy accessibility. It supplies answers to questions concerning the operands, or the current code generation environment which it is responsible for maintaining.

MAINSAIL employs a general notion of register which is useful in a number of contexts. An operand is always associated with a memory location, and may be temporarily marked as loaded in a register. The compiler provides several services related to registers, such as: mark an operand in a register, clear a register, or find the "best" free register. It will automatically load and store registers when necessary. A register may also be marked as containing the address of an operand.

The services provided for registers are never invoked unless the code generators either directly request a service, or indicate that registers are to be used in certain situations (for example, to pass procedure parameters). Thus code can be generated for machines with no registers, for example a stack machine (actually, the top of the stack can be modeled as a register). A code-generation environment is created and maintained which is flexible enough to be of use for a wide variety of computer architectures. Many checks insure the internal consistency of the environment, for example a register cannot be marked with two operands at the same time. By knowing the rules of this environment, code generators can be written for a new computer with minimal effort.

The code-generation language provides a powerful and convenient setting in which to specify code sequences. Declarations give semantic information concerning register usage, storage units, additional symbol table entries, and various parameters used within the compiler and runtime system. A code generator must be written for each intermediate instruction. A generator has available to it services such as those discussed above, and the operands of the intermediate instruction. In general a code-generator looks like the assembly language which it is to produce, except it contains keywords which are replaced during code generation with operand names, registers, or constants. The codegeneration language is translated to MAINSAIL, and hence the full power of MAINSAIL is available. In practice, the constructs provided are sufficient for almost all situations which arise during code generation. A code generator usually takes the form of a series of conditions, each followed by pseudo assembly language which is to be processed if the condition is satisfied. The complexity of the conditions is determined by the degree to which the target machine conforms to the general framework provided for code generation, and the amount of optimization desired. Procedures can be used for commonly occurring code sequences.

Since code generators are associated with intermediate instructions, they provide only for local optimization. Because of the extreme ease with which the code generators can be altered, a compiler can be created from the current generators, and its output examined for errors and inefficiencies. Based on this, the generators can be altered, a new compiler created, and so forth. This process continues until the code appears correct, and is sufficiently efficient. Construction of a new compiler from a few changes in the generators can be done in a matter of minutes. Thus a single session spent tuning the generators can produce significant results.

The formal separation of target-machine semantics from the more general aspects of code generation has an exciting potential for research into the design of instruction sets. Since a wide variety of computers can be described with the code generators, experiments can be conducted to test features such as the number of registers, the utility of indirection, or various procedure linkages. Existing machines can be compared to determine which is best suited for a high-level language implementation. For example, an instruction set which allows complete addresses can be compared with one which offers a base with small displacement, to determine which requires the fewest memory accesses. A micro-coded instruction set based on the MAINSAIL intermediate instructions would produce optimized code sequences.

The facility with which code generators can be written makes MAINSAIL accessible to one-of-a-kind machines. For example, there is now under construction a three-address parallel processor with no registers which will use MAINSAIL as its high-level language. Programs can be written, and the code examined, before the machine is complete (even the assembler for the new machine can be written in MAINSAIL!). Providing such a machine with a high-level language would be a major undertaking if the compiler, runtime system and assembler had to be written in assembly language.

## RUNTIME DESIGN

The runtime system provides support during program execution: program initialization, file manipulation, i/o, conversions among string and numeric-bits, string handling, mathematical routines, string and record collection, and dynamic memory allocation. If MAINSAIL is to be used as an implementation language, then it may be desired to limit the size of the runtime package. Since the system procedures are used only in response to implicit or explicit requests, programs may be written which require little, if any, support. For example, programs which involve only arithmetic, logical and address operations, with no i/o, string handling or dynamic storage allocation, may be compiled into assembly language programs which call only the system initialization procedure. By removing this call, a self-sufficient program is obtained which can be combined with hand-coded assembly-language modules. In this sense, MAINSAIL can be regarded as a convenient means of generating assembly language programs.

Mathematical routines for trigonometric functions, exponentiation, logarithm, square root, and random numbers have been written in MAINSAIL, accurate to at least 17 decimal digits in most cases. Since they are written in MAINSAIL, there are of course no assumptions regarding word size or representation. The obscurity of their assembly language counterparts is in stark contrast to the clarity with which the algorithms are expressed in a high-level language, and has probably contributed to the astounding number of times they have been written, over and over again, for different machines. The same can be said of the MAINSAIL routines for conversion between string and floating point numbers.

MAINSAIL has a well-developed i/o capability, including any number of sequential and random files, and terminal interaction. File names are represented as strings, and the format of these strings is transparent to MAINSAIL, since they are handled only by machine-dependent routines. There are two types of sequential files: text and data. Text files are meant for legible text, for example a program or document. Whenever numeric or bits data is written to a text file, an automatic conversion is made to a string representation; similarly, such reads from a text file automatically scan for the proper string representation.

A data file contains machine-readable data in some machine-dependent format. Any mixture of numeric and bits can reside on a data file, presumably stored in a compact form identical to the internal representation within the computer. Since no conversion is necessary, input and output is efficient.

A random file is composed of fixed-length blocks of data, called file-blocks. Reads and writes supply a file-block number, and the entire file-block is involved in the transfer. A file-block is read into, or written from, a memory area whose address is supplied to the read or write routine.

Files can be opened, closed, and deleted. Additional filemanipulation routines can be added for each site. Much of the i/o activity is handled in a machine-independent manner, so that only a few welldefined elementary procedures need be written for each machine.

# CURRENT STATUS

MAINSAIL now runs on a PDP-10 with TENEX, and a PDP-11 with RT11. Development is under way for a PDP-10 with TOPS10, a PDP-11 with UNIX, and the IBM-370. Code has also been generated for an INTERDATA 7/16, VARIAN and NOVA. Many more machines were examined while developing MAINSAIL, and will be considered for implementation as sufficient resources are made available.

A number of projects across the country are interested in using MAINSAIL for the development of portable software. Among these are a robotics project, a mass spectrometry system, a program for chemical structure elucidation (now written in LISP), a computer-aided-instruction system for the teaching of logic, an automated cell classification laboratory, a machine-independent version of INTERLISP, and a displayoriented text editor.

### APPENDIX F

SUBSYSTEMS AND DOCUMENTATION DIRECTORIES

Nancy Smith December 1974 (updated April 1975) (updated Sept. 1975) (updated Oct. 1975)

The sources of available documentation for these programs will be abbreviated as follows:

TUG Tenex User's Guide (1975 edition) DUH DEC Users Handbook DEC Assembly Language Handbook DAL DML DEC Mathematical Languages Handbook HC a hard-copy manual for the language OL on-line documentation which can be found by @DIR <DOC>programname.\* . The following extensions are used on the <DOC> directory: .MANUAL complete usually fairly long manual .HELP or .HLP shorter summary, list of commands, etc. .SUPPLEMENT on-line supplement to hard-copy doc .UPDATE list of updates by date .SAMPLE sample program or output

See <DOC>A-LIST-OF-ALL-AVAILABLE-DOCUMENTS.INFO for complete details on these documents including where and how to order them.

Many of the major programs also have a <BULLETINS>programname.BBD file where messages about new developments, bugs, hints for using the program etc. are sent. These <BULLETINS> files can be read by any of the mail reading programs (READMAIL, RD, MSG, or BANANARD).

New programs or new versions of old programs will be put on <NEWSYS> for a trial period. The file <NEWSYS>NEW-SYSTEMS.INFO which is a message file will have a message about each program available. These new programs will not be included in the list of programs given here.

The HELP program obtained by typing @HELP gives assistance in finding the appropriate on-line documents for the various programs.

			-
2SIDES	makes files for multi-columns and/or 2-sided list:	ing OL	
ACCESS	gives a list of subsys's currently available to G	JESTs	
ADDMSG	appends a msg to a specified file		
AID	algebraic interpretive dialog conversational lang	. HC	
AIFAIL	assembly lang early version of FAIL from SU-AI	OL,HC	
ALIAS	allows a dummy name to be set up for a program		
BAIL	SAIL debugger (on <sail>)</sail>	OL	
BACKUP	short term file loss protection	OL	
BANANARD	msg reading program (many extra features)	OL	
BASIC	conversational programming lang. (DEC version) O	.,DML,TUC	3
BCPL	compiler writing and systems programming lang.	HC	
BINCOM	binary comparison of files (now replaced by FILCO	M) DAL	
BLIS10	compiler for system implementation (DEC version)	JL,HC,TUG	3
BLIS11	BLISS for the PDP11		
BLISS	compiler for system implementation (TENEXized) Of	L,HC(DEC)	)
BOOTGT	loader for the PDP11 (GT40)		
BUDGET	budget management program (especially proposals)	OL	
BYE	@BYE same as @BREAK (LINKS)		
CALENDAR	calendar management and reminder system	OL,TUG	
CAM	the compare and merge program of SOUP see <doc>SO</doc>	JP.MANUAL	L
CCL	concise command language	OL,DUH	
CLEAN	a file by file directory clean-up program	OL	
COPYM	reading/writing DECtapes	OL,TUG	
CREF	cross-reference assembly listing	OL,DAL	
CRSREF	TENEX cross-referencing program (outfile_infile(s	))	
CRYPT5	En/Decrypts textfiles to provide security	OL	
DCHANGE	character set conversion for "foreign" tapes		OL
	see <doc>DCHANGE.MANUAL and <doc>DCHANG.HLP</doc></doc>		
DCHECK	reads blocks of file into core & calls DDT to example	nine OL	
DDT	debugger (single-stepping added at IMSSS) OL	,TUG,DAL	
DED	text-editor (designed for TENEX)	OL	
DELOLD	deletes files by cutoff date of last access	OL	
DELVER	deletes excess versions of files	TUG	
DFTP	file transfers to and from the Datacomputer	OL	
	(for certain special file storage needs)		
DIABLU	prints final copy of PUB-produced documents on DIA	ABLO OL	
DIREXI	prints directory information for files sorted by	OL	
DO	Ille extension rather than Ille name	01	
DOM	creates or appends a line to a reminder lile	OL	
DOM	effects the assembly and loading of a single		
DONE	deletes a line from a reminder file	10	
DROP	similar to DFLVFR deletes oldest and 2nd newest (	00 * * *	
DSKACC	gives dsk allocation for all members of accounting		
DTACOP	DECtape to DECtape conv	s Brouha	
DUMPER	reads/writes magnetic tanes		
EOFIX	deletes any pages past end of file mark	OL.	
EXTR	"EXTRactor" processes MACRO/FAIL source files to	<b>~</b> 1	
	produce .FAI listing of labels defined		
F40	FORTRAN IV (see also <doc>FORTRAN_HELP and OI</doc>	TUG DMI	
	<pre><doc>LISP-FORTRAN-INTERFACE.HELP )</doc></pre>	- , ,	-
	······································		

assembly language (BBN version of FAIL) OL,HC (see also JSYS manual & <DOC>SUMEX-JSYS'S.INFO) FAIL

DOC

DESCRIPTION

SUBSYS

FED the final edit program of SOUP see <DOC>SOUP.MANUAL FILCHK checks SAIL programs for loader incompatibilities OL FILCOM complete file comparison package OL, DAL, TUG FILDMP dumps files in variety of formats OL FILES multiple to multiple copies, renames, protections FILEX for file transfers converts between DEC machine OL.DUH formats for dsk and DEC-tape. makes table of contents & index for SAIL sourcefiles OL FORMAT FORTRA FORTRAN10(version 4) (see also <DOC>FORTRAN.HELP) OL.HC ranks words in text file according to frequency FREQ FRKCOM compares an address space with address space of file TUG FTP ARPANET file transfers TUG FUDGE2 updates/manipulates files containing rel programs DAL, TUG GETDMP loads into core .dmp file from SU-AI (SAV only to 677777) type filename to # prompt GRIPE sends comments or complaints about system to staff TUG HELP helps locate on-line documentation HOSTAT prints network site status information TUG IDDT DDT for inferior forks TUG,OL IFAIL assembly language (IMSSS version of FAIL) OL,HC ILISP UC Irvine LISP (extension of LISP 1.6) OL IMSSS direct link to IMSSS INSPEX checks files for wasted space and pages past eof 0L KILL closes all jfns--useful when RESET can't get a file closed LAST Gives date, time of last full dump, archive or daily dump LD prints SYSTAT-like info LINK10 DEC loader OL, DAL, TUG LINK11 linker for PDP11 DOS operating system LINKSTAT prints status of IMSSS link LISP INTERLISP-see also <DOC>LISP-FORTRAN-INTERFACE.INFO OL.HC (from IMSSS)-see <DOC>LINK10-LOADER-DIFFERENCES.HELP LOADER TUG LOADGT GT40 standard format loader LOADVT loader for PDP11 (GT40) LOWCASE converts a text file to lowercase LPTSTS gives the files on the lineprinter queue & their size OL MAC11 MACRO cross-compiler for the PDP11 MACRO assembly lang-JSYS manual & <DOC>SUMEX-JSYS'S.INFO TUG.DAL MAILBOX to reroute mail (not fully implemented yet) 0L MAILSTAT info on queued mail TUG MANTIS Fortran debugger MATHLAB interactive symbolic algebraic system 0L MLAB mathematical modeling and graphics package 0L MSGFIX TECO routine to help fix the format of messages MTACPY magtape program TUG MTCOPY DEC magtape program OL multiple-fork supervisor--switches between forks MULTI MY-ACCOUNTS prints user's valid accountnames gives compact list of files on connected directory NDIR NETSTAT prints info on ARPANET status TUG NEWFILES directory information for files written in last 24 hrs OL NEWINFO gives all new files on public directories or for any OL file group (includes number of reads for each file) NODE gives the geographical location of a TYMNET node NON zero-compresses file, options to remove linenumbers, pagemarks, convert eol's, etc.

221

PCSAMP measures the operation of other user programs TUG PDP6DT DEC-tape program PIP DEC utilities program OL, DUH PIP11 transfers PDP11 DOS DECtapes to/from TENEX files 0L PNTMAK converts underlines to suitable format for LPT: OL POET text editor designed for TENEX use OL PPL an interactive extensible programming lang. TUG PROFIL. gives freq of execution of SAIL statements OL,HC PUB document preparation lang. 0L PUB2 2nd pass of PUB -- used separately to change underlines RD mail reading program (MSG is better) TUG READMAIL mail reading program (MSG is better) TUG RECOG when ordinary recognition is ambiguous RECOG gives OL the possible filename matches RECORD for pseudo-ttys, typescript of job, detaching OL from running job REDUCE symbolic algebraic language OL RPURGE requires confirmation before purging (delete & expunge) OL & puts info on purged files in a file by date RSEXEC restricted access only TUG RTTY types out a file starting at the end (reverse) OL RUNFIL uses file instead of tty for input commands TUG RUNOFF document-preparation language (DEC not BBN version) OL. SAIL ALGOL-like lang.-see also <DOC>LEAP.MANUAL OL,HC SCAN scans multi-directories for a variety of file info OL SEARCH searches multi-text files for English words or SAIL OL identifiers, can be used with TV editor SEARCHDIR substring search of directory information on files OL SEARCHP substring search also allows random reading of file OL SEGSAV reads .shr & .low files to produce TENEX .sav OL SITBOL compiler version of SNOBOL 0L SNDMSG message sender OL,TUG SNOBOL string-processing programming lang. OL,HC SORT stand alone COBOL column-oriented text file sorter OL, TUG SOS text editor OL SPELL spelling checker/corrector for text files (not TENEX) OL SPSS Statistical Package for the Social Sciences OL SRCCOM compares text files TUG STP Western Michigan University StaTistical Package OL SUBMIT submission to batch (see <DOC>BATCH.HELP) SWITCH switches the format of a reminder file OL. SYSDPY gives SYSTAT-like info constantly updated on display OL (CRT) terminal SYSIN executes LISP SYSOUT's OL TABLE creates conversion tables for DCHANGE TALK used with LINK command to eliminate need for ; 's TAPCNV reads card image file processed by MTACPY TUG TBASIC TENEXized version of DARTMOUTH BASIC OL TCTALK teleconferencing over ARPANET OL TECO text editor (see TENEX TECO manual) OL.TUG TELNET restricted access only TUG TIPCOPY sends text files to a TIP port TUG,OL TMERGE merges specified text pages from files into new file OL TODAY lists the contents of today's reminder file OL TRITAP processes magtapes from XEROX, IMSSS, BBN OL

TTYTRB	used to report terminal line problems	TUG
TTYTST	prints test patterns for diagnosing terminal	TUG
TV	text editor for TEC and DATAMEDIA displays	OL
TVFIX	restores bad TV files (see <doc>TV.MANUAL)</doc>	
TYMSTAT	(for TYMNET lines only) gives measure of current	
	efficiency of TYMNET transmission	
TYPBIN	does an octal dump of a packed file	TUG
TYPEIN	appends type-in to file with some editing allowed	OL
TYPREL	analyzes contents of .REL files	TUG
UPCASE	converts an entire file to uppercase	
WATCH	continuous on-line monitoring of system activity	TUG
WATCH.IMS	IMSSS version of WATCH	
WHAT	lists the contents of a reminder file	OL
WHO	prints SYSTAT-like information	
WHOIS	looks up username & prints name/address info on us	ser OL
VIEW	examines a file word by word, several typeout mode	es OL
XED	text-editor (used with BANANARD)	OL
XT	reformats and prints text file	OL
Z	logs jobs off including from inferior (lower) fork prints a witty saying	cs &

<DOC> DIRECTORY LISTING

The following is a listing of the <DOC> directory which contains most of the on-line formal documentation about the system and subsystems.

<DOC> 13-MAY-76 08:19:25 FILE NAME SIZE (COMPUTER PGS) 1 .HELP;2 3 2SIDES.HELP;3 A-GENERAL.HELP;12 2 A-GUIDE-TO-TENEX-USER'S-GUIDE.INFO;2 5 14 A-LIST-OF-AVAILABLE-DOCUMENTATION.INFO;8 5 A-SURVEY-OF-THE-DEC-HANDBOOKS. INFO; 10 ACCOUNT-NAME-USAGE.INFO;2 3 2 AID.HELP;4 .INFO;3 1 ALL-SUBSYS'S-AVAILABLE-AT-SUMEX.INFO;8 7 BACKUP.HELP;2 2 BAIL.HELP;5 1 17 .MANUAL;3 3 .UPDATE;1 1 BANANARD.HELP;1 46 BANK.MANUAL;2 BASIC.HLP;2 2 12 .UPDATE;2 3 BATCH.HELP;3 4 .UPDATE;2 BLIS10.HLP;4 2 10 .UPDATE;2 2 BLISS.HELP;2 BSYS.MANUAL;3 25 9 BUDGET.MANUAL;7 1 .UPDATE;2 1 .SMP;2 CALENDAR.MANUAL;2 6 2 CCL.HELP;2 4 CHECKDSK.HELP;3 3 CHESS.HELP;1 2 CLEAN.HELP;1 5 COPYM.HELP;2 1 CREF.HLP;1 2 .UPDATE;2 CRYPT5.HELP;1 2 2 DCHANG.HLP;2 DCHANGE.MANUAL; 1 12 DCHECK.HELP;1 1 DDT.SUPPLEMENT; 1 2 .HELP;1 1 4 .BRIEF;2 9 .SUMMARY; 1

DEC-HANDBOOK-GLOSSARY-UPDATE, INFO: 1 DEC/TENEX-COMMAND-EQUIVALENTS.INFO:4 DED.MANUAL;1 15 DELOLD.HELP:1 1 DESCRIPTION-OF-SUMEX-AIM-PROJECTS.INFO;3 DFTP.HELP;3 5 7 DIABLO.HELP;9 DIREXT.HELP;1 2 DOM.HELP:1 1 DUMP.INFO;1 1 EDIR.MANUAL:2 9 .HELP;1 1 .UPDATE;1 1 EDIT.INFO;1 2 EDITOR-PROGRAM-INTERFACE.INFO;2 EOFIX.HLP:2 1 FAIL.MANUAL;3 70 .HELP;5 3 FILCHK.HELP;1 1 FILCOM.HLP;4 1 FILDMP.HELP:2 2 FILEX.HLP;1 2 .UPDATE:1 4 FLECS.HLP;1 2 FORDDT.HLP;1 1 .UPDATE;1 2 FORMAT.HELP:1 3 FORTRA.HLP;1 1 FORTRAN.HELP;2 11 FTP.UPDATE:1 3 .ANONYMOUS-ACCESS:1 3 GLOB.HLP:1 1 .UPDATE;1 2 GRUMP.HELP;1 1 GT40-LIGHTPEN.HELP;1 3 GT40-LIGHTPEN-IMPL.DOC; 1 8 GT40-OMNI-MONITOR-DIRECTIONS.HELP;1 GT40-OMNIGRAPH.INFO;1 2 GT40/OMNI-MONITOR.DOC;2 2 GUEST-ACCESS-SUMEX.INFO:1 1 GUEST-LOGIN.HELP;1 1 HOW-TO-UPDATE-DOC.INF0;3 3 IDDT.HELP;1 8 ILISP.MANUAL:1 116 .TENEX-MANUAL:1 49 .HELP;2 2 INSPEX.HLP;1 1 INTERROGATE.HELP;4 2 INTRO-TO-SUMEX-AIM-TENEX.INFO:5 ISAIL.HELP;1 1 JSYS-INDEX.INFO;1 5 LEAP.MANUAL;3 15 LINK10.HLP;1 2 .UPDATE; 3 8 LINK10-LOADER-DIFFERENCES.HELP;1

2

1

6

6

3

11

LISP.HELP;3 2 4 .UPDATE;5 LISP-FORTRAN-INTERFACE.HELP;2 6 LIST.HELP;3 LOADER.UPDATE;1 2 2 LPTSTS.HELP;1 1 MACRO.HLP;1 .UPDATE; 3 11 MAILBOX.HELP;1 2 MAKLIB.HLP;1 1 2 MARK-MSGS.HELP:1 4 MATHLAB.HELP;3 14 MLAB.HELP;1 MSG.MANUAL;4 17 5 .UPDATE; 3 1 MTCOPY.HLP;2 MULTI.HELP;1 1 NEW-SOS-TO-SUMEX-SOS-COMPARISON.HELP; 3 NEW-VERSION-SOS.INTRO; 143 .MANUAL; 1 31 20 .SUPPLEMENT; 144 2 NEWFILES.HELP;2 NEWINFO.HELP:1 2 2 NOTE.HELP;1 OLDFILES.HELP;1 1 OMNIGRAPH-USER S-GUIDE.INFO; 1 OVERVIEW-OF-COMPUTER-SYSTEM.INFO:1 PAGESCAN, HELP; 1 1 2 PCAL.HELP;3 PIP.HLP;3 1 .UPDATE;2 10 PIP11.HELP;1 2 2 PLOTTER.INFO;1 PNTMAK.HELP;1 1 3 POET.HELP;1 .MANUAL:1 13 PROFIL.UPDATE;2 2 PROJECTS-AND-ASSOCIATED-USERS.INF0;69 PSEARCH.HELP;1 2 PUB.MANUAL;3 62 47 .HELP;5 .UPDATE; 10 8 RADIX.HELP;1 1 RECOG.HELP;1 2 RECORD.MANUAL;3 19 44 REDUCE.MANUAL; 1 RPURGE.HELP;1 2 RTTY.HELP;1 1 2 RUNOFF.HLP;1 .UPDATE;1 24 .COMMANDS;1 3 1 .HELP;1 SAIL.HELP;2 1 .SUPPLEMENT;4 34 .TENEX-SUPPLEMENT; 2 7

2

2 4

94

2