aus

TX RC RO

## NOV 20 1969

I do indeed repent the way that article came out. I should have been more careful; and my inextions were further blurred by the accident of paragraphing.

TO:

If you run into any adverse use of a quotation from my text, please let me know. It will give me another chance to get a proper blow in.

I am noncerned about finding rationales for the translation of high-dose responses to human hazards; but I agree the burden of proof must be placed on claims for safety, not the other way 'round.

It would, I think, help if the law were revised (if it has to be, and there seems to be a lot of pressure for it) along the lines of the attached comment.

Sincerelt

Johnson