

ATTALLAH KAPPAS, M.D.

June 17, 1986

Dear Dr. L,

I write to urge that this unfortunate medical episode of mine not result in any delay in contacting Dr. Crytal and determining, in your own interview, his suitability for appointment to the Rockefeller Hospital staff.

Your last meeting with him was brief because 1) the prolonged Council session which overlapped the time scheduled for Crytal.

D. Crytal is products of an intense, broad & well-thought and search, extending over 3 years. This 3 year period reflects

2

and does & shows his qualifications
but only the time it took to
work certainly about the field we
feel would be the best new
scientific addition & moderate to
the University.

The Council vote in his favor
was 7-1. The 1 deserter has his
own serious conflict of interest. More-
over even he admits that Crystal is
pre-eminent in his field - he simply
thinks that we ought to have a
"different kind of science" represented
at the Hospital. That is an
extraordinarily presumptuous statement
for someone to make who has not
made any significant contribution
to securing the financial support

3

vital to maintaining the Hospital & who does not participate in its activities whatsoever - save for using periodically the facilities. (60 bed days in 5 years!) provided thru the efforts and leadership of his colleagues.

Crytal will be nationally acknowledged as a major researcher & Rockefeller & the University will be glad to have perceived the impending opportunities in research & in clinical application of the new work in pulmonary biochemistry to be initiated.

The comments of an odyssey nature alone & "turbulent", memory

4.

of difficulties in getting along with him
etc - all conveyed by people lacking
courage to put their views in writing /
must be discounted in the face of
the report letters in law received about
him & his work from leading figures
in the field & the various recomme-
ndations of a Hospital Board Committee
& while both clerical & basic principles
was well represented .

Speech is commercialism is
worse & - Candidates are sensitive
to the masses surrounding their
consideration . If Dr. Brock had not
decided on the spot to appoint me to
a laboratory head position at Rockfeller
& would have certainly retained it longer
if Howard had not taken a year to
make up their mind about the
Filarial Chair in Pavia - probably I
would not have turned down offer

5

It will be well if you do not communicate with him quickly and at least have a long & interval conversation with him & let him at least know your views.

To delay while review see research out & travel down will be fatal - this would be an affront & the candidate & lose him & would insert a committee which has worked & to obtain his book & bring before you a major figure & crucial investigator.

Once the interview is held - it should be long enough & be of such a breadth that it leaves no one untouched in time -

For our own peace & think about
the commitments needed & about
the man - qua - man.

At present he is an influential
figure at an early age in a
field immensely pointed to Rockefellers
& most fairly versatile in the
extreme. He is in the process of
finding what Tom Brokaw is in
his field - and we must wonder the
Hospital is its desire of the kind
of man if it is to play a leading
institution in the future.

How many people are there
with Crystal's spiritual strength
and the ability to relate these
strengths so directly to welfare?

Our 3 year search gives you
the answer to how few such people
there are - KSP