RC RO

JUL 1 0 1970

Dear Arthur:

Having first seen only a passing news paragraph on it, I was just about to write you for a copy of your testimony when this came across my desk. I wish some of your critics found as much emphasis on the cautionary remarks I have bracketed (which define the zone of our mutual agreement) as on the hypotheses that you offer here with great tact and perception. Perhaps the one warment thing about your testimony is that it was sponsored by a notorious racist, and I hope this will not obscure the real merit of your proposal for unbiased research on individual differences, with which of contents.

TO:

I hope you will forgive me for the remark that more aggressive statements of the hypothesis of a large genetic factor in interracial difference will interfere with the detachment we all badly need.

In that same spirit, I am sorry for the tone with which my remarks were colored, in the context of the recent Life article. I was not misquoted (as best I can recall) but I did not have an opportunity to read the overall text, and my critical remarks came out with a far more personal edge than I would have condoned. I thank you may have some sympathy for that kind of problem in communications vis journalistic channels.

(Nor would I assign any malice to the writer by the way; he was careful to read the literal quotes, and I believe he caught my main corrections.)

John