## THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY BALTIMORE, MARYLAND

DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGY

Dr. Joshua Lederberg 50 Havenave Lew York 32, h.y.

Dear Dr. Rederberg: you are most generous to will concerning your interesting work on heurosporce and consider the possibile overlap with our interests. as som as possible I plan to get on with the rulfa "work, but fear this will not be until next spring, though I'm bending every effort in that direction. I see no reason, however, and I'm sure Dr. Emerson will agree, why your work should be handicapped by this consideration. That is, it is my belief that you should have our fullest cooperation: I have just written Dr. Emeison and sent dim your letter. He has all the stocks and data at present so I'm afraid you will have to wait for me to contact him before I can give you either stocks or detailed information. as soon as I hear film him I'll write you again, the point of this letter being to thank you for writing and to assure you that I am ansious to coopperate fully with assure you that I am ansious to coopperate fully with the further progress of your research. also, of course, Dr. Emelson should be consulted as the work was done with him and I should get his latest opinion on the status of the problem, and I should get his latest opinion on the status of the problem, for he has been investigating further points since I left Pasadena.

Our great interest is in the direction of proof that the mutation found for sulfar resistance was induced, rather than merely selected for, by the drug. This point

how get to be answered but it is this that motivates the research. We were also interested, of course in learning all we could about the mulation eg mechanism of resilance, mode of action in the hethoconyons etc. I gather you have a good lead on how the resistance auses as well as as some of the other points. It seems to me that in this field (ie adaptation phenomena) even an exact paralleling of explriments by two investigators is an advantage rather than disadvantage to further work and I hope we can proceed with this point - of view in mind. I get the impression that while our work is similar in many respects we should have no reasons to wony about overlapping results other than an exchange of observations from the froint of view of smutual benefit. as som as Thear from Dr. Emerson Oll write again, but please feel ple to proceed along what see lines you thouk desirable to elucidate further your work on the p. a.b. mutations. as I very, we should be able to send you stocks reasonably som. Thank you again for your tetter, I look forward to learning more of your work at first hand.

Sincerely yours,

John Cushing