

**NEW
FILE
BEGINS**

Doc # 180

**B&B INFORMATION & IMAGE MANAGEMENT
300 PRINCE GEORGE'S BOULEVARD
UPPER MARLBORO, MARYLAND 20772 • USA • (301) 249-0110**

JULY/AUGUST 1974 REVIEW

Reference is made in this application to the modest amount (\$361,437) requested and awarded in the May 1 application.

This application requests support of 42 projects in the amount of \$1,319,724. The 42 projects are divided into three funding levels and when added to the \$361,437 awarded, would produce the following effects.

First level funding would approximate present annualized amount.
Second level funding would approximate 140% of present annualized amount.
Third level funding would exceed 140% of present annualized amount.

Proposals were submitted to and responses received from six CHP agencies. Review responses ran the gamut from approval, thru approval with advice and/or stipulations, to disapproval. In general, they seemed to reflect meaningful exchange between the respective agencies.

A note of particular interest is that the city of Rochester has recently adopted city review of all incoming grants and has recognized RRMP as its review agent for RMP grants.

EO/7/15/74

JULY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONCritique:

MAY 15, 1974

REQUESTED FUNDS LISTING
COMPONENTS BY REGION
06/74 COUNCIL

OSH-PW8010

CHESTER PROG YR 06

COMP NO	COMPONENT TITLE	DIRECT REQUEST	INDIRECT REQUEST	TOTAL REQUEST	FUNDING ALLOCATION
C000	PROGRAM STAFF	224,324	48,461	272,785	303,210
C001	RURAL PRIM CARE EVAL STUDY	25,000	5,425	30,425	
043C	EMS PLAN AND DEVELOP	47,845	10,382	58,227	658,227
COMPONENTS 3	REGION TOTALS	297,169	64,268	361,437	361,437

MAY/JUNE 1974 REVIEWRequest: \$361,437Committee Recommendation: \$361,437Overall assessment by individual reviewers: Superior

Critique: The reviewers were very impressed with this application which requests continued support for staff and two on-going projects. (Two year funding is requested for one project.) The display of goals, activities, priorities and funding was especially noted as reflecting a well-ordered program based on identified areas of need. The staff, Regional Advisory Group and review process appear outstanding.

The CHP(b) is supportive.

In recommending approval as requested of this application, the Committee expressed anticipation at seeing the new proposals in the July application.

JULY/AUGUST REVIEWEstimated request as of May 1974: \$1,080,000

EO/5/27/74

NATIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL - June 13-14, 1974

Council concurred with Committee recommendation

DRMP FUNDING DECISION - \$361,437

EO/7/2/74