

**NEW
FILE
BEGINS**

Doc # 172

**B&B INFORMATION & IMAGE MANAGEMENT
300 PRINCE GEORGE'S BOULEVARD
UPPER MARLBORO, MARYLAND 20772 • USA • (301) 249-0110**

JULY/AUGUST 1974 REVIEW

The New Jersey May 1 application included a total request of \$3,970,024. The NAC approved the application in the amount of \$3,190,000 and the funding level was set at \$3,031,042, to support program staff and 7 projects (two of the latter had two parts each).

The NAC considered the program superior with an experienced and capable staff, directed by a strong and effective RAG with broad community involvement.

July 1 Application - The July application requests \$1,710,548 to support 5 program thrusts. The request is broad and comprehensive in several areas, particularly the Health Resources Planning proposal with its 7 subcomponents.

Elements of two programmatic areas in the May application are proposed to be advanced by this application's requests: the cost containment activity is proposed to be extended to a preventive program for the elderly in conjunction with the Admin. of Aging program; and hypertension is proposed to be extended to additional screening centers.

Three "new" areas of emphasis in the application are: (1) Cultural Awareness Program, an educational effort for groups of providers, to improve health care as a result of better understanding (ultimately to be extended to other Regions); (2) the Health Resources Planning proposal which comprises 7 sub-components: RMP-CHP consortium to improve health data collection and reporting systems, neighborhood health center management to improve efficiency and assure continuity of care, hospital plan development (guidelines for improving applications for Certificate of Need), ambulatory care (outpatient clinics) cost analysis study, student health services consortium and clinical education facilities coordination for health manpower education; (3) Consumers Health Education-training for coordinators at pilot centers in community hospitals, to be developed in coordination with the Rutgers Medical School.

In the main the proposals are directed at attaining the major program goals for improving health care in the state.

EO/7/15/74

JULY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONCritique:

MAY 15, 1974

REQUESTED FUNDS LISTING
COMPONENTS BY REGION
06/74 COUNC

OSH-PWB010-23

NEW JERSEY PROG YR 05

ERN	COMP NO	COMPONENT TITLE	DIRECT REQUEST	INDIRECT REQUEST	TOTAL REQUEST	Allocation Decision
	C000	PROGRAM STAFF	825,000	0	825,000	825,000
	018	COMMUNITY HLTH IMPR	1,048,400	0	1,048,400	840,298
	025	PRIM RHEUMATIC HT DIS	254,680	0	254,680	100,000
	028	EMS	72,920	0	72,920	72,920
	033	REHAL DIAL AND TRANSPLANT	226,080	0	226,080	59,000
	034A	QUAL CARE ASSUR - PSRO	225,000	0	225,000	- 0 -
	034B	QUAL CARE ASSUB - SPECIALIZED SERV	184,944	0	184,944	184,944
	035A	CCST COHTAINMENT - HOSP SERV	482,200	0	482,200	298,080
	035B	COST CONTAINMENT - HOME CARE	140,000	0	140,000	140,000
	036	COMMUNITY BASED HYPT CONTROL	510,800	0	510,800	510,800

COMPONENTS 10 REGION TOTALS 3,970,024 0 3,970,024 3,031,042

MAY/JUNE 1974 REVIEWRequest: \$3,970,024Committee Recommendation: \$3,190,000Overall assessment by individual reviewers: Superior in all aspects

Critique: Panel B considered NJRMP to be a superior region in all respects: strong, effective leadership; an excellent, experienced staff in terms of numbers, skills and competencies; and a RAG, not only representative of the Region, but one whose members have remained interested and active in reviewing the accumulated data to determine needs of the health care delivery system and then charting a programmatic course to meet those needs.

Programmatic efforts have been tailored to span the entire set of Goals relating to access, quality assurance, categorical and cost containment as well as a beginning exploration as to how CHP and RMP may prepare for new legislative developments. The Region was commended by Committee for some of the fascinating areas in which the program has been involved; particularly new issues such as better access, emergency medical service, quality of care assurance, improvement of health care services to the inner-city poor, setting standards for quality for certificate of need type activities, and for the assistance given to the development of CHP "b" agencies in N.J. (major support given to development of 3 of 4 existing "b" agencies in New Jersey). Relationships with CHP within the State over-all appear to be good; however, one "b" agency director submitted a scathing letter recommending disapproval of the entire RMP application. It was later determined that this "b" agency director had held the position for only 4 or 5 months and that after review of the application, the "b" agency board recommended approval.

Committee concurred that this was a superior region and would well utilize funds made available to it.

JULY/AUGUST REVIEWEstimated request as of May 1974: \$600,000

EO/5/27/74

NATIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL - June 13-14, 1974

Council concurred with Committee recommendation

DRMP FUNDING DECISION - \$3,031,042

EO/7/2/74