NEW FILE BEGINS

Doc # 170

RMP NASSAU/SUFFOLK

RM 00066

JULY/AUGUST 1974 REVIEW

Application - This application requests $2,141,602 for support of core staff, 343,328, four feasibility studies (23,750), one grantee FY 76 contract $355,000 and fifteen projects, $1,419,524.

Since June Council recommended no funding for the May application, this represents Nassau-Suffolk's total request for support of the program from September through June 1975.

Of the projects submitted:

- five were in the May application and are requesting identical funding.
- the sixth project was submitted as a feasibility study for $50,000 in May, it is now requesting $252,867 as a project.
- only one project was dropped that was submitted in May and 10 other projects were added. Of these 10, one is a continuation & the rest are new.
- Five of the fifteen projects are requesting support until 6/76.

The application includes CHP comments and the RMPs consideration of the one negative and one conditional comment.

EO/7/15/74

JULY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Critique:

MAY 15,1974 REQUESTED FUNDS LISTING OSM-PWB010-23
COMPONENTS EGION
06/74 C L

NASSAU SUF PROG YR 04

STERN	COMP NO	COMPONENT TITLE	DIRECT REQUEST	INDIRECT REQUEST	TOTAL REQUEST	ALLOCATION DECISION
	C000	PROGRAM STAFF	364,150	0	364,150	0
	C004	SUFFOLK CO DATA BASE STUDY FOR AMBULATORY CARE	50,000	0	50,000	0
	001	COMPREHENSIVE HOME CARE PROGRAM WITHDRAWN	102,312	0	102,312	0
	009	REGIONAL DRUG INFORMATION NETWORK OF L I	78,615	0	78,615	0
	014	NASSAU SUFFOLK REGIONAL ORGAN DONOR PROGRAM	20,303	0	20,303	0
	021	NASSAU COUNTY EMS PROGRAM	288,750	0	288,750	0
	022	S C EMS TRAINING PROGRAM	116,516	0	116,516	0
	023	RENAL DISEASE COORDINATION & ED PROJECT	76,264	0	76,264	0
OF COMPONENTS	8	REGION TOTALS	1,096,910	0	1,096,910	0

RMP Nassau-Suffolk

RM 00066

MAY/JUNE 1974 REVIEW

Request: $1,096,910

Committee Recommendation: -0-

Overall assessment by individual reviewers: Poor

Critique: This application requesting support for an expanded staff (from 8 to 15 professionals), six ongoing projects and one new project, was discussed at length by Panel B. The reviwers pointed out that this RMP had previously been an RMP-CHP agency under one director with priorities for the two-county area developed jointly by the RAG and CHP Council. The dichotimous arrangement was terminated last Fall, with the RMP concentrating on the non-planning priorities. In the past year the RMP has had three coordinators, but the program appears to represent a holdover from the original coordinator in the grand design of previous activity and programming. The present staff, it was noted, appears weak; no member has an advanced degree, including the coordinator and the backgrounds of the proposed new staff are in the fields of social sciences. The reviewers were concerned that staff does not have the leadership qualities and experience that are needed to develop health care programs based on the stated priorities. Furthermore, the reviewers questioned the effective functioning of the Regional Advisory Group and the grantee during the hiatus of staff leadership.

The RMP has not complied with the RAG-grantee policy although it is understood by staff that this may be finally near resolution. The problem up to now has been a preponderance of corporate board members on the Regional Advisory Group.

After considering the history of this RMP together with an analysis of the current application, Panel B recommended that this RMP grant have an early, orderly termination. The Panel reconsidered the recommendation upon reviewing all its actions, but came to the same conclusion. The Committee concurred. While no funds are recommended, Committee urges that Council and DRMP make available additional funds that may be needed for appropriate phase-out costs.

JULY/AUGUST REVIEW

Estimated request as of May 1974: $850,000

EO/5/27/74

NATIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL - June 13-14, 1974

Council concurred with Committee recommendation

DRMP FUNDING DECISION - 0

EO/7/2/74