

## Louse Powder Test at Esb Ramesses II

Esb Ramesses consists of a small cluster of homes lying just west of the Colossus of Ramesses which lies in supreme majesty beneath a protecting canopy on the site of the ancient Egyptian city of Memphis. Officially Ramesses belongs to the jurisdiction of the <sup>newly</sup> village of Mit Rahina where the USATC began its experimental vaccinations early in Feb. 1. ER was chosen under the mistaken impression that it is a village of  $100 \pm$  people. The first survey gave 55 persons but these had dwindled to about 40 by the time we started to work on Louse Powdering.

Louse Powder Myk. - application to ~~inner~~ <sup>Passes in</sup> secess.

Feb. 7th - JCS and FLS working. Visit of Sadek and Assis. Clothing 37 people examined and powdered.

Feb. 10th - Examination of clothes of 34 people by JCS

FLS and CMW showed 5 adult lice on garments of 5 women. No complaints of dermatitis on body or scalp.

Feb. 13-14 - Examination of clothes of 29 people by JCS FLS.

Cmw showed 9 adult lice on six people and 69 immature forms\* on 12 people. Continued action of larvicide on young forms is suggested by finding ① dead larva on the garments of 5 persons with living larvae and ② on the garments of 12 persons in which killing of second generation had apparently been complete, ③ visible ~~stems~~ traces of powder along the seams of many garments. \* This figure is incomplete since 2 garments of two cases in which uncontrolled hatching was occurring were not fully examined. 11 nymphs of head lice were found in one case.

1943

Feb. 17 and 21. Clothes were examined by CMW of 32 people on Feb 17 and 12 adult lice found on 10 people; 98 immature forms were found on the clothing of 19 people. (Larvae were found on 10 people not having adults; only one adult was found on person not having larvae.) On Feb 21 the clothing of 13 persons was examined, ~~the~~ <sup>negative</sup> negatives from the 17th and one other. 5 adults were found on two people, and 15 larvae on 4 people. ~~Two~~ persons were found louse free! 2nd Powdering given on 21 st to 33 persons,

Feb 25. Clothing of 14 examined by CMW and only 2 found infected, one with 2 adults and one with 1 adult and 1 late larva.

Traces of powder were visible on 13 of 14 person's clothing and adult and late larva on second case, though living were not active.

Feb 28. Clothes of 28 people examined by JCS CMW and FLS finding 6 adults on 5 persons and 10 larvae on 6 persons. No evidence of infestation was found on 19 persons.

March 4th. JCS CMW FLS examined and powdered (3rd time) clothing of 25 persons. Only

7 adult lice were found on 6 persons and only 6 immature forms on five persons. 17 persons were apparently free of infestation.

March 15th. JCS, CMW and FLS examined <sup>29</sup> people: 12 persons found infected. 13 larvae on outer garments 8 persons 1 larva on outer garment of another person. 17 adults on inner garments of 9 persons and 2 adults on 2 other people. People c larva 9, c adults 11. Total persons infected 14. These results are disappointing coming as they do one week after the third powdering which was expected to almost do away with young forms in this group.

Table I

	B-REGIMENTAL POWDER	POWDER						Powder		
		FEB 10	FEB 13-14	FEB 17-18	FEB 21	FEB 25	FEB 28	MAR 4	MAR 15	
	B H	L A	L A	L A	L A	L A	L A	L A	L A	
1 M	47 + -	0 0	0 0	0 0	① 0	0 0	0 0	① 1	① 1	
2 F	38 ++	0 0	0 0	0 0	④ 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	① 1	
4 M	12 + -	0 0	(1) 1	(24) 1	+	0 0	0 1	(1) 1	(1) 1	
5 M	9 + -	0 0	(15) 0	(7) 1	+	0 0	0 0	0 0	(3) 2	
6 M	1 + -	0 0	(9) 0	(14) 0	+	0 0	(1) 0	(1) 0	0 5	
7 F	13 + +	0 0	(8) 0	(1) 1	+	0 0	(1) 0	0 0	(2) 1	
8 F	5 + +	0 1	(9) 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	(3) 0	0 0	
9 F	2 + +	0 0	0 0	(2) 1	+	0 0	0 0	0 0	(1) 0	
10 F	20 + +	0 1	(2) 1	0 1	+	0 0	- -	- -	- -	
11 M	40 SICK	--	SICK	SICK	SICK	HOME TODAY	- -	++	0 0	
12 F	35 + +	0 0	(2) 4	(2) 0	+	(1) 1	(1) 1	0 0	(1) 3	
13 M	3 + +	0 0	0 0	(3) 0	+	- -	0 0	0 0	(1) 0	
14 F	12 SICK	(6) 8	SICK	SICK	0 0	- -	0 0	- -	0 0	
15 F	7 + +	0 1	0 1	(8) 1	+	- -	(4) 0	(1) 1	0 0	
16 F	3 + +	0 0	(2) 0	(14) 1	+	- -	4 0	0 1	(2) 2	
17 F.	25 + +	0 0	0 0	(2) 1	+	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 0	
18 F	65 + +	0 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	
19 M	45 0 0 0 0	0 0	(2) 0	+	0 0	- -	0 0	0 0	0 0	
20 F	40 + +	0 0	(1) 0	(1) 0	+	0 0	(2) 0	0 0	0 0	
21 M	12 0 0 0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	(2) 0	0 0	(2) 0	0 0	0 0	
22 M	14 + +	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	- -	0 0	0 0	0 0	
23 F	8 + +	0 0	0 0	(1) 0	+	- -	0 0	0 0	0 1	
25 F	26 + +	0 0	- -	0 0	(1) 0	- -	- -	- -	- -	
26 F	10 - -	0 0	- -	(1) 1	+	- -	- -	- -	- -	
27 F	35 4 +	(1) 0	(1) 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	(1)	

MAR 12

L A Family 1 Heads of 1, 4, 5, 6 shaved. First days dusting was done  
 ① 0 at the house of the caretaker but the women on Feb 10th and all  
 0 ④ persons on succeeding days were handled at home of Fam-  
 ③ ③ ily 1.

0 (15+)

⑦ ③

⑥ ①

④ ②

② ④

--

0 0 Family 2. #5 11 and 14 sick w/ typhus; to hospital on Feb 7. Virus from 14. 14 returned on  
 ① 0 21st apparently free of lice; 11 returned, lousy, on the 25th but was powdered  
 0 0 only on the 7th. #11 undoubtedly a source of reinfestation of  
 0 0 family during 10 days. 60 delts from clothing of case  
 0 ① 14 on Feb 7th at Hospital.

0 0

0 ①

0 0 Family 3. Evidently some advantages to living alone.

0 0 Family 4. No active lice found on clothing of #5 14 and 21 but nests on  
 -- clothing of 21.

0 0

① 0

0 0

--

--

0 0

1st Powder	3 Days after 1st Powder	One week after 1st Powder	10 days after 1st Powder	4 days after 1st Powder				One lot after 3rd Powder	2 Weeks after 3rd Powder	
				Feb 7	FEB 10	Feb 13	Feb 17			
				B	H	L	A			
29 F	35	4	++	0	0	0	0	(4) ①	- - - 0 0 0 0	- -
30 M	5	3	++	0	0	0	0	(3) 0 +	- - 0 0 + + 0 0	- -
31 M	2	3	++	0	0	0	0	0 0	- - 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0
32 F	9	3	++	0	0	0	0	(9) 0	- - 0 0 0 0 0 0	(1) ①
35 M	50	3	+	0	0	0	0	(9) 0 (1) ③ +	- - 0 1 - - - - -	- -
36 F	45	3	++	0	0	--	0	0 0 0 0	(1) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- -
39 M	19	2	++	0	0	0	0	(2) 0 +	- - 0 0 - - - - -	- -
40 M	10	2	++	0	0	0	0	(1) 0 +	- - 0 0 - - (1) 0 0 0	- -
41 F	8	1	++	0	0	0	0	(2) 0 +	- - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0

TABLE II

Ex	Persons Found Pos.	Forms Found			NUMBER
		L	R	L	R
3 & 7	34	32	32	32	++
					++
10	32	1	4	1	4
IWK-13	29	11	6	63	9
2 WK	{ 17	32	19	10	97
	13	4	2	15	5
					20
25	14	2	1	3	1
IWK-28	23	6	4	10	5
2 WK	9	25	5	6	6
					7
IWK-15	29	10	9	17	16
2 WK-22	26	9	10	26	30 <sup>t</sup>
					61

(\* The numbers given for the 13th and 17th <sup>FEB.</sup> are incomplete since only part of some garments (heavily infested in comparison with others) was examined.)

In passing it may be noted that on Feb 7th 60 slices were picked up each from the clothing of Belvoir Case #14 for the isolation of virus.

ON MARCH 22, COUNT OF ONKASE WAS GIVEN AS 15+.

① NOTE THAT EXAM OF FEB 21 WAS LIMITED TO NEGATIVES OF FEB 17TH.

March 22 JCS and CMW examined clothing of 26 per.  
done 14 days after powdering. 23 larvae on inner  
garments of 7 persons and 30+ adults on clothing of 8 persons  
3 young forms on outer clothing of 3 persons and 5 adults <sup>27</sup>  
on outer clothing of 4 persons. New eggs seen as well as  
Family 5 freshly fed young larva. 13 of 26 persons infected.

DISCUSSION: A REARRANGEMENT OF DATA OF TABLE II  
FOLLOWS AS TABLE III:

DUSTING	PERIOD AFTER DUSTING									LICE		
	3 TO 4 DAYS			ONE WEEK			TWO WEEKS			3-4	ONE	TWO
	EX	CL	CA	EX	CL	CA	EX	CL	CA	DAYS	WEEK	WEEK
FIRST	32	1	4	29	11	6	33	13	12	5	72+	129+
SECOND	14	2	1	28	6	4	25	5	6	4	15	13
THIRD	0	-	-	29	10	9	26	9	10	-	33	61+

The results of the 3 day examination of clothing after the first dusting indicated considering the great intimacy of contact with villagers from Mithikena that the louse kill had been almost perfect. The absence of young forms indicated that killing power continued for 48 hours or more. Examination at the end of the week however showed many young forms and indicated insufficiency of ovicide action and too short persistence of killing action in clothing to get all young forms. From these results it was concluded that by using a 14 day interval between dustings it should be possible to get almost total kill after not more than 3 applications. P The second application of powder gave results in keeping with this expectation: the 3 day count on 14 people was low; the one week count on 23 people was better,

	Garment - from skin outwards.			
	First	Second	Third	Fourth
FEB 10	1	2	2	0
13	9	4	0	0
17-21	24	2	2	0
25	2	0	0	0
28	5	1	1	0
MAR 4	6	3	0	1
MAR 15	1	3	—	—
MAR 22	10	6	—	—

Table I  
Infestations (persons) missed by examination of just garment only:

	1	2	Persons
FEB 10*	0	4	4
13	2	0	2
17-21	1	0	1
25	0	0	0
28	1	0	1
MAR 4	1	1	2
MAR 15	1	1	2
MAR 22	2	1	3

There is some reason for thinking that most of the infestations missed by 1st garment inspection are "pick-ups" from off the garments. On 3rd Feb 10th, 3 days after the first powdering, 4 of 5 infestations found were on outer garments, and consisted of two adult each. At the 25th 4 days after the second powdering, when the total lice in bedding etc must have been greatly reduced no infestations of this type were found. In any case evidence indicates that only very light infestations will be missed by doing only inner garments.

than that following the first dusting and the sweat count following the second dusting gave grounds for the hope that the 3rd treatment would finish the job since there was no increase between one and two week counts and no evidence of active breeding. The small number of lice found may very well have come from chance contaminations. The period of two weeks seemed to have been adequate to let most of nits hatch out and yet not long enough to let those which hatched lay eggs for a third generation. No 3 day count was made after the 3rd treatment but the one week and two

<sup>29</sup>  
week counts show that the 2nd treatment failed to block  
the infestation - increasing infestation was appar-  
ent.

April 5. CMW and JCS made 4 week count (after 3rd  
dusting). <sup>24</sup> Persons ex: <sup>14</sup> with larva, <sup>16</sup> with lice.

April 8. JCS and CMW decide to test out theories for failure  
of dusting to give desired results: ① that alteration in  
method of application may have altered effect and ② that re-  
infestation from immediate surroundings, bed, etc. may  
be serious. ③ that variation in shipments of Dec and Feb  
may be responsible. P all inhabitants of village had beds  
(beds, bedding and clothing powdered) (all but 1 person  
sleeping in village were treated. Work was done house to  
house.) 60 cans. (120 oz) were used on 34 persons; the  
rubber sheet "poof" technique was used on clothing. Repeat  
mode not to wash clothing during coming two weeks.  
P Powder from Feb 9th Mgt shipment was used on four  
children <sup>as a group</sup> Family for special observation.

April 12 - Four day counts at Ramell: 28 Persons, 14 with  
lice: 10 with larva forms. Clearly apparent that  
"pooper" technique with present powder is not equal  
to s-a technique and powder in Feb. // 3 children main-  
tain heavy infestations; treated today with sprinkle + poof  
① with seams + pooper + ② seams only.

April 15th. JCS + CMW: 30 Persons, 16 with lice, 12 = larva.

Children in Special Test still heavily infested. 4 Chil-  
dren given special test with different powder. Both sets

April 17 - No change in garments of 4 children.

JCS CMW  
APR 21 - Children dusted 1 with Feb lot 80, others with Dec  
Lot 04.

April 22 - JCS CMW - Methyl Bromide application to 37  
People - Counts on 31 People, 24 c lice, 20 larval.  
Test on Both Dec and Feb lots show loss of potency.

April 26. JCS CMW: 28 counts; 16 with lice, 12 c larval.

May 4th JCS CMW and FG: 30 counts; 19 c lice, 14 c larval.

May 11th JCS CMW and FG: 31 counts, 22 c lice, 21 c larval.

Discussion:

Table VI

		EXAM- INED.	WITH LICE	WITH LARVAE	WITH 10+	
3 DAY	FEB 10	32	5	1	0	First powder killed al-
1 WK	FEB 13-4	29	13	11	5	most all lice and some eggs
2 WK	FEB 21	33	25	22	4	but extensive hatching be-
<u>POWDER FEB 21</u>						gan before the end of the first
4 DAY	FEB 25	14	2	1	0	week. Second powder got
1 WK	FEB 28	28	9	6	0	most of reinfestation and
2 WK	MAR 7	25	8	6	0	little or no hatching en-
<u>POWDER MARY</u>						ced during the follow-
1 WK	MAR 15	29	12	9	0	ing two (3) weeks. Third
2 WK	MAR 22	26	13	9	2	powder had some effect but
HWK	APR 5	28	19	11	6	much less than first two and
<u>POWDER APR 8</u>						4th Powder which was the
4 DAY	APR 12	28	14	10	3	most thorough of all was
1 WK	APR 15	30	16	13	6	a dismal failure. Methyl
2 WK	APR 22	31	23	20	10	Bromide results are bad.
<u>METHYL BR. APR 22</u>						but will be discussed under
4 DAY	APR 26	28	16	12	6	Review Report.
12 DAY	MAY 4	30	19	15	5	May 18. CMW JCS and
19 DAY	MAY 11	31	22	21	12	FG. counts plus
26 DAY	MAY 18	30	25	22	16	powder, homemade myf
<u>MYF + TALC MAY 18</u>						with 325 mesh talc.
1 DAY	MAY 19	29	11	10	3	Powder seemed very

fluffy to use but one day killing will have to  
be determined since immediate killing does not  
occur. May 19. Counts by Com. JCS - T.S. Cleven pas-  
tives in 28 persons. (Many lice found both anem-  
ic. Does this indicate late killing?)

See FINAL REPORT - LOOSE LEAF BOOK.

(On Typhus, Egypt, file, No. 6)

March 22, 1944  
Hans W. G. von der Decken  
K. H. L. von der Decken

### III

## Louse Powder Studies. Outline for Log Feb 12 1943

Effect on ① Free living forms } ② Body lice  
                  ③ Eggs                      } ④ Head lice

modified by Clothing

① Cotton                      } a) as killing of lice  
② Wool                        } b) as to production of  
③ Oriental                    } dermatitis  
④ Occidental

Will powder kill lice in hair of both men and women? What about cloth braided in with hair?

### Questions of Special Interest

① How fast will louse powder alone bring down louse infestation to point below threshold of typhus transmission?

② Will rapid destruction of lice cause immediate cessation of transmission or must some substance be added to powder which will kill rickettsia on clothing and in louse feces?

③ If powder kills free living forms but not eggs, when should second application be made to get the maximum result on the next generation before further egg laying can occur?

- ④ How many applications of powder and at what intervals must be used to guarantee complete delousing of an isolated individual? Of an isolated closed group population?
- ⑤ How rapidly can mass infestation be reduced by mass application of or distribution of powder to entire population by inspector or nurse working from house to house?
- ⑥ How rapidly can thorough application of powder render refugees, or other infested and infected groups innocuous to other groups with which they mingle?
- ⑦ What is maximal result to be obtained when everyone in a community gets a single application of powder?
- ⑧ How frequently must powder be applied for safety when large percentage of population is powdered? When minimal portion of population is powdered?
- ⑨ What index of infestation can be used to get rapid survey of infestation? Examination of mole children?
- ⑩ Are the characteristics which cause a powder to pack when shaken the same as those which

favor its clinging to garment fabric?

① How should powder be applied? Shaker, powder blower, envelope or fluffing into garment itself?

② How combine greatest efficiency with economy in the use of the powder?

③ Can powder be perfumed to make it more attractive and to facilitate its recognition on re-examination?

④ What is effect of powder on other vermin especially fleas? Bed bugs?

⑤ Will head lice reinfect body if body lice are removed, and vice versa?

⑥ Can Head Lice transmit Rickettsia?

Many of the questions cited overlap and answers to some will come in the course of tests carried out to answer others

## IV

Answers and Partial Answers. may 31 43

Fully effective Myf makes a pretty complete kill and has some viricidal action as well as some delayed action in killing young as they hatch.

Myf can be used on heads for head lice without danger.

① At Ridsa very little typhus occurred after the 16th or 17<sup>th</sup> days after the first mass dusting.

② Apparently killing of lice rather than killing of ~~microbes~~ in feces is important in blocking epidemic wave.

③ Undetermined: 14 days has been used but possibly 10 days would be better.

## Questions for North Africa:

1. Are local rodents susceptible? Monkeys?
2. Natural flea infections with epidemic virus?
3. What species of flea can transmit rickettsiae?
4. Does vaccinated case which contracts typhus circulate virus?
5. Does perspiration affect lice unfavorably?