

*Stehman - 35*




# HOMŒOPATHY

CONTRASTED


WITH

# ALLOPATHY:



By J. G. STEHMAN, M. D.



~~~~~  
Lancaster, August, 1860.  
~~~~~



LANCASTER, PA.

PRINTED BY PEARSOL & GEIST.

1860.





# HOMEOPATHY

Illustrations connected with  
HOMEOPATHY


# ALL OPATHY

The following is a list of the names of the authors of the various works which have been published in connection with the study of the principles and practice of the system of medicine known as Homoeopathy. The names are arranged in alphabetical order, and the titles of the works are given in full. The list is intended to be a complete one, and is published for the information of the public.

1850

The following is a list of the names of the authors of the various works which have been published in connection with the study of the principles and practice of the system of medicine known as Homoeopathy. The names are arranged in alphabetical order, and the titles of the works are given in full. The list is intended to be a complete one, and is published for the information of the public.





## Homoeopathy contrasted with Allopathy.

---

FRIENDS :—My object is not to assail the principle of Allopathy, or to attempt to prove the falability of its law, (a thing not at all impossible,) but to present it in contrast with the merits and powers of HOMEOPATHY.

HOMEOPATHY being no longer an experiment, but an established fact, having undergone all the trials and tests of a bitter opposition, is now recognized as a science in every civilized portion of the earth. Having nearly passed through the first and second stages of its existence, ridicule and persecution, it is entering the third, its adoption; nor does it enter this stage with feelings of fear and timidity, but with strong hopes from present assurance of receiving the reward it justly merits. After the severe and trying ordeal it has passed, it has claims upon every parent, friend, or well-wisher of a suffering people. It demands the attention of those who have been so indifferent about knowing the characteristic differences between it and its adversary; and more, if its merits outrival those of its opponent, (which we think it can be proven they do) justice and humanity require of you to give it your fostering care, and to protect it from the assaults of a hostile enemy.

Not three-quarters of a century ago, the HOMEOPATHIC Law of cure was discovered by Dr. SAMUEL HAHNEMANN. Many years before he made a public announcement, he discovered what he believed to be an immutable Law. Still he kept it quiet, entrusting it to a few of his disciples who, together, put it to practical tests, till fully convinced of its truthfulness, and when fully satisfied, he made a public acknowledgement of his system, and an unbounded success rewarded his weary and fatiguing years of experiment. The excited feelings of malice and revenge in his opponents, the Apothecaries, by stratagem and false devices secured legislation prohibiting him from pre-

paring and dispensing his own drugs. Deprived thus of his greatest privilege, with clouds of blackest calumny over him, showering upon his head the vilest persecutions, he was compelled to flee and seek more peaceful abodes, but they too were only transitory, for here the same scenes were re-enacted.

Thus driven hither and thither, he spread the seeds of HOMŒOPATHY to the four winds, until every mountain, hill, valley and plain, bears fruits of the system of this truly great man.

During these harassing years, he was untiring in his labors, he published facts more firmly fixing his principles upon the public mind. He then announced *Bella Donna* as a prophylactic or preventive to Scarlet Fever, which the Allopathists of to-day very complacently recommend and prescribe for the same disease. Yet they are not candid enough to give the *honor* to its author, who, virtually by his principles, has saved Allopathy from the grave by furnishing an inexhaustable store from which she has been appropriating HOMŒOPATHIC "Thunders" nearly ever since it has been in existence.

In Physic, HOMŒOPATHY owes Allopathy little, but were the latter to pay the former her just dues, it would well nigh cause the annihilation of its own system.

Twenty-six years ago, the first practitioner of HOMŒOPATHY established himself in New York City. Here it took root and flourished. In that city alone, there are over one hundred and fifty practitioners, the majority converts from the old school. From this small germ, HOMŒOPATHY has grown to its present proportions. Go where you will, and you will find HOMŒOPATHY, not only in our towns and cities, but in the country and newly settled territories. Every year her forces are increasing and extending her domains, until only the earth's limits shall be its boundary.

Institutions of learning are increasing rapidly, ten years ago there was but one HEMŒOPATHIC COLLEGE, now they are to be found in all quarters of the Union, and out of it too, even in South America. In these institutions the student receives as full and complete a course of instruction as in any of the opposite school. Hundreds graduate in the different colleges annually, who go into the world to proclaim the teachings, and prove by successful practice the truthfulness of the principles promulgated in their Alma Mater. In 1845, the American Institute of HOMŒOPATHY, organized with thirty members. At its last annual meeting in June, this number had swollen to over *five hundred and fifty*, all active and working.

Charitable Institutions under this system have fully tested its worth with gratifying results, and it is very encouraging to see how the sick embrace this new balm for the *seton*, *blister* and *caulery*, which are so much dreaded.

Notwithstanding the violent and hostile opposition with which HOMŒOPATHY has met by the "Old Guards" of the Allopathic school, who frown upon us and stigmatize our system as quackery, and urge upon State and County Medical Societies the adoption of *resolutions* repudiating all professional intercourse with HOMŒOPATHISTS, it has progressed, and we trust will continue to do so. Does any one suppose that the course pursued by the Allopathic Conventions will retard the progress of HOMŒOPATHY? No, it only makes it take firmer hold on the public mind, it stimulates its friends to greater efforts, and elicits the attention of those who were before silent and inactive. If HOMŒOPATHY is false and untrue, as Allopathy says it is, why not attack its principles by sound argument, prove to the world that its tenants conflict with experience of fifty years, and with common sense. This will do more to stay its progress than all the *wordy resolutions*.

The question is often asked, why the ili-feelings of the Old School towards the New. We are at a loss to tell, unless it is that we presume to cure more cases, with less suffering and in shorter time than they. Such it must be, else HOMŒOPATHY would not prosper as it does; the people would be foolish to adhere to a system that cannot compete with another. Intelligent persons most certainly will not jeopardize their lives to sustain old, tottering schools and systems—and hence the many that renounce the one and embrace the other.

Truly sorry that our brethern of the opposite school are so unfriendly, yet we freely say, we make no concessions to gain their good will, still we think the feelings of *courtesy* and *civility* demand more than some of them ever give. Christianity's teachings are entirely ignored, yet some hold the highest seat in the synagogue—the most scrupulous in the form of worship, and supplicate for grace when they never treat their brethren in the Profession with due respect.

But to fulfill the contemplated object of this pamphlet when we began, we will present facts, compiled from reliable sources of both schools, to which we respectfully invite your special attention, that you will be better prepared to judge which mode of treatment is safe for the sick.

## COUNTRIES IN WHICH HOMŒOPATHY IS RECOGNIZED.

United States, England, France, Austria, Prussia, Bavaria, Saxony, Baden, Wurtemberg, Bohemia, and nearly all the smaller States of Germany; Naples, and other States of Italy; Brazil, and other South American States; Spain, Hanover, Russia, Belgium, Holland, Denmark, Sweeden, Hungary, Havanna.

## HOMŒOPATHIC COLLEGES.

Pennsylvania Homœopathic Medical College,	Philadelphia.
Western	“ “ “ Cleveland,
“	“ “ “ St. Louis.
Hahnemann	“ “ “ Chicago.
“	“ “ “ Albany.
“	“ “ “ Rio-de-Janiero.
Homœopathic Prof. in the State University, Detroit.	

In Europe there are no HOMŒOPATHIC Colleges separate and independent of the other school. Yet in the principal Allopathic Colleges, the Crowns have appointed for the most important chairs HOMŒOPATHIC Professors; this will be self-evident on examining the following list, besides many that are not mentioned:

Dr. HENDERSON, Professor of Medicine and General Pathology, in the University of Edinburgh, and lately one of the Professors of Clinic Medicine.

Dr. BIGEL, Prof. of Midwifery in the University of St. Petersburg.

Dr. CHEVALIER DE HARATIUS, Prof. of Theory and Practice of Medicine in the University of Naples, and President of the Royal Academy of Medicine.

Dr. W. ARNOLD, Prof. of Theory and Practice of Medicine in the University of Zurich.

Dr. MACDONALD, Prof. of Civil and Natural History in the University of St. Andrew.

Dr. JANER, Dean of Faculty and Prof. of Clinic Medicine, University of Barcelona.

Dr. FOLCH, Prof. of Pathology in the University of Barcelona.

Dr. QUADRI, Prof. of Ophthalmic Surgery, Naples.

Dr. EDWARD MARTIN, Professor of Theory and Practice of Medicine in the University of Freyburg.

Dr. J. A. WEBER, Prof. of Theory and Practice of Medicine in the University of Freyburg.

Dr. H. ARNITH, Prof. of Midwifery in the General Hospital of Vienna.

Dr. LAMBRECHT, Prof. of Theory and Practice of Medicine in the University of Padua.

Dr. T. BUCHNER, Prof. of Homœopathy in the University of Munich.

Dr. KENBEL, Prof. in the University of Manich.

Dr. BATA, Prof. of Surgery in the University of Genoa.

Dr. LEUPOLDT, Prof. in the University of Erlangen.

That HOMŒOPATHY is sustained by the higher classes of society in Europe, it is only necessary to note a few instances as proof.

Dr. NECKER, Physician Extraordinary to H. M., the King of Prussia.

Dr. AEGIDI, Physician to H. R. H., Princess Wilhelm of Prussia.

Dr. BACKHAUSEN, Physician to H. R. H., the Princess Frederick of Prussia.

Dr. KURTZ, Physician to H. S. H., the Duchess of Anhalt Dessen, Princess of Prussia.

Dr. VILTMULLER, Surgeon to H. S. H., the Grand Duke of Hesse.

Dr. LEHMAN, Physician to H. S. H., the Duke of Anhalt Koethen.

Dr. SCHMIDT, Physician to H. S. H., the Duke of Lucca.

Dr. CRAMER, Physician to H. R. H., the Grand Duke of Baden.

Dr. GOULLON, Physician to H. R. H., the Grand Duke of Weimar.

Dr. STAPE, Physician to H. S. H., the Duke of Saxony.

Dr. VARBARD, Surgeon to H. S. H., the Duke of Saxe-Cabourg.

Dr. QUINN, Physician Extraordinary to the King of the Belgians, Physician in Ordinary to H. R. H., the Duchess of Cambridge.

Dr. WEBER, Physician to H. M., the King of Hanover.

Dr. MARENZELLER, (Physician General to the Austrian Armies,) Physician to H. I. H., Arch Duke John, of Austria.

Dr. HARTNG, "Medicin en Chef," of the Austrian Army in Italy—was Physician to H. I. M., the Empress Maria Louisa.

Dr. DUNEZ, Physician to Her Most Catholic Majesty, the Queen of Spain, Chevalier of the Order of Charles III, and of the Legion of Honor.

Although we have no nobility, we have those equally as great and excellent, who use HOMŒOPATHY for themselves and their families. The greatest men of the age give it their support, and it is enough to shake scepticism and inspire confidence in any, when such as Dr. ELISHA KENT KANE, WASHINGTON IRVING, Rev. HENRY WARD BEECHER, Dr. CHAPIN, Hon. N. P. BANKS, Hon. J. S. SHEWELL, Hon. A. BURLINGAME, and a host of similar eminent men give it their preference. If there were no truth in HOMŒOPATHY, or had it not superior advantages over Allopathy, is it at all probable that it would receive the countenance of such intellects, as of these men, *some* of whom were graduates and practitioners of the Allopathic school?



---

 HOMŒOPATHIC HOSPITAL, &c.
 

---

London Homœopathic Hospital,	200 beds.
Misholtz " "	Russia.
Hospital at Kremsier,	"
" " Nechanits,	"
" " Nishne-Novgorod,	"
" " Constadt,	"
Homœopathic Hospital of Moscow,	"
Infantry Homœopathic Hospital at St. Petersburg,	
Russia.	
Gumpendorf Hospital,	Vienna.
Leopolstadt " "	"
Sisters of Charity Hospital,	"
Hospital of Gyongos,	Hungary.
" " Gunz,	"
Homœopathic Hospital at Leipsic,	Prussia.
" Clinique " "	"
" Hospital,	Turin.
" Wards in Hospital Beaujon,	Paris.
Sisters of Charity at	Ling.
Berlin Homœopathic Hospital,	Prussia.
Calcutta Native Hospital,	India.
Mississippi State Hospital,	Mississippi.
*Chicago Hospital, one-half of its wards,	Illinois.
Protestant Half-Orphan Asylum, New York,	New York.
The Home for the Friendless, " "	"
Central Homœopathic Dispensary, " "	"
Northern " " " "	"
Bond Street " " " "	"
Brooklyn City Orphan Asylum, <sup>a</sup> Brooklyn,	"
Juvenile House of Industry, " "	"

---

\* "The Hospital is leased at present to Dr. BRAINARD, *et al.*, for the term of three years—he tried to get five, but could not—at the rate of one dollar per annum—the city to pay three dollars per week for all the poor patients it sends there, and Dr. BRAINARD being at liberty to get what he can out of the paying patients. Why Dr. BRAINARD got the lease instead of any body else, was because he had more money to invest in that kind of a risk, than any body else."

This lease was given during the financial troubles, but as they are over, and the lease near its expiration, it will then revert to the city, when the HOMŒOPATHS shall have their half, the same as before it was leased to Dr. BRAINARD.

Northern Home for Friendless Children, Philadelphia, Penna.		
Homœopathic Infirmary,	"	"
Pennsylvania Homœopathic Dispensary,	"	"
Southern	"	"
Good Samaritan Hospital, (200 beds,) St. Louis,	Missouri.	
Cincinnati Dispensary, Cincinnati,	Ohio.	
Boston Homœopathic Dispensary, Boston,	Massachusetts.	
Orphan Asylum, Bangor,	Maine.	
Newark Homœopathic Dispensary, Newark,	New Jersey.	
Protestant Orphan Asylum, Detroit,	Michigan.	

The next thing to which we shall invite your attention, is the statistical reports of Hospitals under both systems, in the same place, or side by side in the same institution; showing the loss Per cent. under each mode of treatment.

### EUROPEAN ALLOPATHIC HOSPITALS.

	Per. et.	Mortality.
Berlin Charite, 1838-9.....	10	to 11
Stuttgart Catherinnen, 1830-8.....	"	" 33 to 34
Munich General Hospital, 1832.....	"	" 7 to 8
Hamburg, Allg. Krankenhaus, 5 report.....	"	" 6 to 7
Leibsic, Jacob's Hospital, 1839.....	"	" 11 to 12
Breslan Zu Allerherligen, 1838.....	"	" 17 to 18
Strasburg Forgets Clinique, 1835-8.....	"	" 15 to 16
Brussels, St. Peter's Hospital, 1823.....	"	" 11 to 12
Milan Great Hospital, 1814.....	"	" 15 to 16
Palermo Hospital, 1823.....	"	" 12 to 13
Vienna General Hospital, 1834.....	"	" 13 to 14
" Brothers of Charity, 1838.....	"	" 9 to 10
" Elizabethherinnen, 1838.....	"	" 8 to 9
St. Petersburg, Cival, 1837.....	"	" 20 to 21
" Seidlitz Clinique, 1840.....	"	" 13 to 14
Edenburgh Royal Infirmary, 1818.....	"	" 6 to 7
London, St. George's, 1850-5.....	"	" 18 to 19
Lyons Hotel Dieu, 1837.....	"	" 13 to 14
Paris " " 1835.....	"	" 9 to 10
" Marguerite, 1851-2.....	"	" 11 to 12
" Val de Grace, under Broussais, 1819.....	"	" 7 to 8
Average.....	11	to 12

## EUROPEAN HOMŒOPATHIC HOSPITALS.

	CASES.	DEATHS.	PER CT.
Hospital at Gyongyos, Hungary, to 1855,.....	1,538	143	9.3
“ Guns, “ 1851.....	395	8	2.
“ Kremsier, Russia, 1845-8.....	1,520	94	6.1
“ Nechanitz, “ 1846-8.....	394	10	2.5
“ Moscow, “ 1854.....	166	8	4.8
“ Nishne-Novogorod, Russia, 1855....	249	12	4.1
Infantry Hospital, St. Petersburg, Russia.....	397	16	4.
Maronzellers Experiments at Military Hospital at Vienna, Austria.....	38	1	2.7
Herman's Experiments at Military Hospital at Tulzen, Austria.....	147	6	4.1
Sisters of Charity, Vienna, Austria, 1834-'56....	1,713	1,087	6.2
“ “ Lintz. “ 1824-'55....	9,129	501	5.5
Horatio's Experiments, Naples, Italy 1829.....	68	2	3.
London Homœopathic Hospital.....	1,172	55	4.7
Hospital at Leipsic, Prussia.....	4,598	188	4.1
Total.....	40,911	2,342	5.7

Allopathic loss Per cent.... 11 to 12.

Homœopathic loss Per cent..... 5.7

In St. George's (Allopathic) Hospital, London, during the years 1854 and 1855, there were 5,413 cases, 1,018 deaths, 18 Per cent. loss.

In the London Homœopathic Hospital, there were, during the years 1850 to 1856, 1,062 cases, 48 deaths, 4½ Per cent. loss.

The Hospital of St. Marguirite, Paris, has two wards under HOMŒOPATHIC charge, side by side with the others under ALLOPATHIC charge. Their published reports give the following result :

*Under Homœopathic Treatment,*

1849.....	1,292 cases,	126 deaths,	9.75 Per cent.
1850.....	1,677 “	138 “	8.32 “
1851.....	1,694 “	135 “	8.96 “

*Under Allopathic Treatment:*

1849.....	1,087 cases,	169 deaths,	14.71 Per cent.
1850.....	1,195 “	107 “	8.99 “
1851.....	1,694 “	135 “	7.96 “

*Average of Three Years' Treatment:*

Allopathically,.....	3,724 cases,	411 deaths,	11.3 Per cent.
Homœopathically,.....	4,655 “	399 “	8.55 “

*A marked and indisputable contrast.*

The Hospital at Gyongos was under Allopathic charge from 1849 to 1853, when the HOMŒOPATHS resumed treatment. Contrasting, we see that there were during five years of Allopathy, 622 cases, 98 deaths, 15.7 per cent.; eleven years of HOMŒOPATHY, 1538 cases, 143 deaths, 9.3 per cent.

The Convent of Refuge, from 1841 to 1848, was under the charge of Allopathic Physicians, since then has been under HOMŒOPATHIC care.

For the seven years under Allopathy: Number of cases 1851, deaths 102, per cent. 5.5.

For the years under HOMŒOPATHY: Number of cases 1662, deaths 49, Per cent. 2.9.

But to come nearer home for similar proofs. The Pennsylvania Hospital (Allopathic,) in its published report for 1859, gives the following result: Number of cases, 1,527; died, 158; loss Per cent., 10 to 11.

The Good Samaritan Hospital (Homœopathic,) for five years ending 1859, gives the following result: Number of cases, 537, died 34, loss per cent 6 to 7.

We will now present *facts*, for the special consideration of parents, that they may judge which is the better system in the treatment of diseases of children. The following is taken from the reports made annually under oath to the Board of Education in the city of New York:

## I. IN ALLOPATHIC ASYLUMS.

FIRST.—*New York Orphan Asylum:*

	CASES.	DEATHS.	MORT'Y.
For ten years ending 1852.....	1584	34	1 in 41
For year 1853.....	220	0	
For year 1854.....	209	0	
	2,013	34	1 in 59

SECOND.—*The Three Roman Catholic Orphan Asylums:*

	CASES.	DEATHS.	MORT'Y.
For ten years, ending 1852.....	5,149	94	1 in 54
For year 1853.....	964	2	1 in 482
For year 1854.....	1,042	9	1 in 120
	7,155	105	1 in 69

THIRD.—*Leake & Watts' Orphan Asylum :*

	CASES.	DEATHS.	MORT'Y.
For ten years, ending 1852.....	1,688	23	1 in 73
For year 1853.....	262	12	1 in 22
For year 1854.....	262	41	1 in 44
	2,213	76	1 in 54

FOURTH.—*Colored Orphan Asylum :*

	CASES.	DEATHS.	MORT'Y.
For ten years, ending 1852.....	2,142	136	1 in 15
For year 1853.....	348	13	1 in 27
For year 1854.....	403	23	1 in 18
	2,893	172	1 in 16

## II. IN HOMŒOPATHIC ASYLUMS.

*The Protestant Half-Orphan Asylum ;*

	CASES.	DEATHS.	MORT'Y.
For ten years, ending 1852.....	2,543	21	1 in 126
For year 1853.....	275	00	
For year 1854.....	257	00	
	3,075	21	1 in 146

*Allopathically*, the average loss Per cent. of the six asylums for the twelve years, is 1 in 41, or 2.4 per cent.; *Homœopathically*, at the Protestant Half-Orphan Asylum, for the twelve years, is 1 in 146, or .68 of 1 Per cent.; *showing the ratio of mortality under Allopathic treatment, as compared with Homœopathic, to be more than 3 to 1.*

As further proof of the superiority of HOMŒOPATHIC over Allopathy in the treatment of diseases of children, we will compare the results of three institutions in New York and Philadelphia, whose inmates are more alike in age and condition. From their published reports, we have:

*The Nursery at Randall's Island, under Allopathic treatment.*

Years.	No. of Cases.	Deaths.	Mortality.
In 1853.....	3,040	79	1 in 39
In 1854.....	2,690	208	1 in 13
In 1855.....	2,378	202	1 in 11
In 1856.....	2,021	95	1 in 21
	10,129	585	1 in 17

*The Home for the Friendless Children, under Homœopathic Treatment ;*

Years.	No. of Cases.	Deaths.	Mortality.
In 1853.....	246	6	1 in 41
In 1854.....	280	13	1 in 21
In 1855.....	360	5	1 in 72
In 1856.....	306	6	1 in 51
	1,210	30	1 in 40

*The Northern Home for Friendless Children, under Homœopathic Treatment.*

Year.	No. of Cases.	Deaths.	Mortality.
In 1859.....	140	4	1 in 35

Allopathic mortality, 5.8 Per cent. HOMŒOPATHIC mortality, 2.7 Per cent.

Parents, think of this? *More than one-half that died in these Hospitals might have been saved.*

Many a heavy and sad heart of some kind mother, mourning the loss of her child, might this moment be light, bouyant and free, had the proper means been used for its restoration. In place of consigning it to the tomb, she might see the object of her affections a sprightly youth in full vigor of life, realizing her fondest anticipations ; an ornament to society, and fulfilling the destiny of its creation.

At times a species of Ophthalmia rages in Orphan Asylums to such an extent as to necessitate the closing of the Institution, and the dispersion of the inmates. This same necessity was threatening the Protestant Half Orphan Asylum in 1842, when fortunately the treatment of this disease was given to a HOMŒOPATH, Dr. CLARK WRIGHT.

In the History of the Brooklyn City Orphan Asylum, it has been twice compelled to resort to HOMŒOPATHY to get rid of this scourge. In 1857 the Executive of the latter institution applied to Dr. CARROL DUNHAM to treat the children HOMŒOPATHICALLY, inasmuch as the ordinary mode failed altogether as curative, or preventive as regards the healthy. The Doctor entered upon his duties January 27, 1857, and found 25 Ophthalmic patients out of 121 children. During the next three months there were 13 new cases, but such was the efficacy of HOMŒOPATHY, that on May 2, 1857, when the Doctor was compelled to resign from ill health, he left but 3 cases of ophthalmia in the institution. These are facts beyond dispute or

*contradiction.* In truth the old school Doctors make a public confession of its truthfulness by calling us "Baby Doctors," for by the appellation given, they admit our *superiority*.

By public acknowledgement and statistical reports of the success of HOMŒOPATHY over Allopathy in the treatment of general disease, we will show that those *types* which the old school dreads most, as Cholera, Yellow Fever and Typhoid Fever, are met with same comparative happy result. From the Report of the General Board of Health of Edinburg and Leith, Scotland, we take the following facts on Cholera :

Allopathic : Cases, 581; Deaths, 489; Per cent., 84.2. Homœopathic : Cases, 236; Deaths, 57; Per cent., 24.2.

### HOSPITAL TREATMENT OF CHOLERA.

#### *Homœopathic.*

Vienna Sisters of Charity, 1834 to 1850.....	No. of Cases, 1,202	Deaths 409
" Leopoldstadt, 1850.....	" 156	" 58
Berlin Homœopathic Hospital.....	" 32	" 6
Bordeaux Hospital, 1832,.....	" 131	" 6
Marsilles Convent of Refuge.....	" 260	" 15
London Homœopathic Hospital, 1854.....	" 37	" 7

Number of Cases, 1,818. Deaths 501

#### *Allopathic.*

Edinburg Drummond St. Hospital.....	No. of Cases 461	Deaths 291
Hall Kruchenberges Wards.....	" 104	" 53
Hamburg Hospital, 1831.....	" 283	" 178
Berlin Cholera Hospital.....	" 97	" 67
St. Petersburg, by Dr. Lichenstadt.....	" 636	" 314
Bordeaux Hospital, 1852. ....	" 104	" 72
Rabb " ".....	" 284	" 122
New York " 1849.....	" 1,790	" 923
St. George " London, 1854.....	" 140	" 69

Number of Cases 3,899; Deaths 2,080

Average Allopathic mortality, 54 Per. cent. Average Homœopathic mortality, 27 Per cent.

*Showing that exactly twice as many died under Allopathic treatment, as under the Homœopathic.*

## YELLOW FEVER.

The "Report" on Yellow Fever for the year 1853, in the city of New Orleans, give the following result: 555 cases, 33 died; less than 6 Per cent. Under the best Allopathic treatment, the mortality was from 20 to 30 Per cent.

Dr. JEWEL (Allopath,) gives a report from Philadelphia. Number of cases 49, died 27; 55 Per cent lost. The "Report" of the HOMEOPATHIC treatment of Yellow Fever in Rio de Janeiro, South America, in 1851, is as follows: Number of cases 3,256, died 277. Less than 7 Per cent.

In the Mississippi State Hospital, under Allopathic care, in 1853, the report give the following: Number of cases 49, died 27; 55 Per cent. lost. From Dr. HOLCOMB'S "Observations on Yellow Fever," we take the following tabulated view for three years HOMEOPATHIC treatment:

Years.	No. of Cases.	Deaths.
In 1853.....	555	33
In 1854.....	112	0
In 1855.....	349	22
Average mortality of 5.4 Per cent.		

Report of Allopathic treatment of Yellow Fever on board H. M. ship "Dauntless," at Barbadoes: Number of cases 158, died 79; a mortality of 50 Per cent.

## TYPHUS FEVER.

The following statistics of the three different modes of treatment. Expectant, or "Do-nothing" treatment," Allopathic and HOMEOPATHIC. We take from a work entitled "Comparisons of Homœopathy and Allopathy, by Dr. CASPER, Physician to the Hospital of the Sister of Charity, of Vienna.

*Expectant.—In 2nd Division of Prague Hospital.*

Years.	No. of Cases.	Deaths.	Per Cent.
In 1849.....	132	27	20.4
In 1850.....	96	13	13.5

*Allopathic.—In Vienna General Hospital.*

Years.	No. of Cases.	Deaths.	Per Cent.
In 1850.....	872	144	16.5
In 1852.....	451	133	27
In 1853.....	1,119	259	23.1



*Homœopathic—In Vienna Homo-Hospital.*

Years.	No. of Cases.	Deaths.	Per Cent.
In 1850.....	81	9	11.1
In 1851.....	80	10	12.5
In 1852.....	121	11	9

Average mortality : Expectant, 17.5 ; Allopathic, 21.6 ; Homœopathic, 10.6.

*Here again Allopathy loses 4 per cent. more than the "Do-nothing treatment," and twice as many as Homœopathy.*

In Scarlet Fever and Croup, the difference in the loss per cent. under both systems, is as marked as that in Cholera and Yellow Fever. Yet how frequently it is despised and condemned because some kind parent loses one or two to a neighbors two to four under Allopathy. This is the sympathy it gets for not letting as many die as Allopathy does—but a better day is coming—those who have been so unfortunate as to lose any of the family circle under this system, will judge its merits from the cases collectively, and not individually.

Again, how often we meet those who believe in HOMEOPATHY and desire to adopt it, but are checked from doing so by fear of giving offence to public opinion, or to those who have been in attendance for years. Such ought to know that no one has any right to fault another for adopting that system of medicine that does *insure* to the sick the greatest chances of recovery.

If human life were aught, then there might be reason to fear offence ; but when a prize is at stake, the value of which the human mind cannot conceive, personal fears sink into insignificance. It is an old maxim that every system is right until the contrary is shown. Carrying out this principle, no one has a right to condemn HOMEOPATHY until proven to be false. We ask no one to adopt this system from what we have shown or believe, but we do ask of them who have been most bitter in their denunciations, to give it more attention and candid investigation, although we have shown that it is not without some merit and claims upon the suffering of this broad commonwealth.

It is with pleasure we refer to such characters as Dr. JOSLIN, Sen., for freedom of thought and candor of expression, when at the time they hold the highest trust and confidence of the profession. A series of Allopathic resolutions were drawn up

repudiating HOMŒOPATHY in the strongest terms, to which the members were to append their names. In due course of time the Doctor was waited upon for his, but he declined giving it, inasmuch as *he knew little of Homœopathy; and until he had investigated it, he was unprepared to condemn it.* However, soon after, he did investigate it thoroughly, and the result was, he became a convert to this system.

Mr. FEWSTER ROBERT HORNER, late President and perpetual Vice President of the British Medical and Surgical Association, late Senior Physician to the Hull General Infirmary, &c., of London, in 1851 presided over a meeting of 300 medical men at Brighton, which adopted resolutions strongly denunciatory of HOMŒOPATHY. In 1857, after investigating it, he announced himself a convert to HOMŒOPATHY.

Drs. WILLIAMSON, HERRING, GARDENER, WELLS, HEMPEL, ROBERTS, JEANS, NEIDHARD, and others, the pillars of HOMŒOPATHY, were all graduates and practitioners of the old school from ten to twenty years.

We might present facts upon facts, to sustain our position, but enough has been shown to dispel the doubt of its efficacy, and proving its superiority in curing disease. The banner is unfurled to the breeze, upon which is inscribed in golden characters, the motto, "SIMILIA SIMILIBUS CURANTUR." Ever may it waft and send comfort, joy and peace, to many a distressed and sorrowing heart.

## Authorities to which we have Referred.

*The authorities for the European Hospital Reports are to be found in the following works :*

- Cless' "Statistics of the Catherinen Hospital," at Stuttgart.
- Koholz's "Charitable Institutions of Vienna."
- "Allg. Hom. Zeitscript Journal Imp."
- "Med. Chir. Academy of St. Petersburg."
- Griesslick's "Hygea."
- "Brit. Foreign Medica-Chir. Review."
- "Oesterrich Zeitzichrift."
- "Brit. Jour. of Homœopathy."
- "Pragur. Med. Manatschrift."
- "North Amer. Journal of Homœopathy."
- "Nenes Archiv "
- "Nene Zeits. fur. Hom."
- "Klinik Homo. Vierteljahrscrift."
- "Stapfs. Archiv. der Homo. Leilk."

