

**DISPOSITION:** September 24, 1951. The Worldwide Food Products Corp., New Orleans, La., claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the court ordered that the product be released under bond, conditioned that the decomposed portion be segregated and destroyed. Of the 850 cases actually seized, 643 cases were salvaged as fit.

**18281. Adulteration of canned sliced beets. U. S. v. 24 Cases \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 31860. Sample No. 20855-L.)**

**LABEL FILED:** October 3, 1951, Western District of Louisiana.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about May 3, 1949, from Harlingen, Tex.

**PRODUCT:** 24 cases, each containing 24 1-pound, 4-ounce cans, of sliced beets at Natchitoches, La.

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the article consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed substance. The article was adulterated while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce, and it was undergoing progressive decomposition.

**DISPOSITION:** November 30, 1951. Default decree of condemnation. The court ordered that the product be destroyed or otherwise disposed of by the United States marshal as provided by law.

**18282. Misbranding of canned spinach. U. S. v. 191 Cases \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 31845. Sample Nos. 12971-L, 13225-L.)**

**LABEL FILED:** October 1, 1951, District of Colorado.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about March 26, 1951, by the Van Buren Canning Co., from Van Buren, Ark.

**PRODUCT:** 191 cases, each containing 6 cans, of spinach at Denver, Colo.

**LABEL, IN PART:** "Net Weight 7 Lbs. Your Best Brand Spinach."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Misbranding, Section 403 (e) (2), the article was in package form and failed to bear a label containing an accurate statement of the quantity of the contents. The cans contained less than the declared weight of 7 pounds.

**DISPOSITION:** December 5, 1951. The Yoelin Bros. Mercantile Co., Denver, Colo., claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the court ordered that the product be released under bond, conditioned that it be relabeled under the supervision of a representative of the Federal Security Administrator.

#### TOMATOES AND TOMATO PRODUCTS

**18283. Adulteration and misbranding of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. Rush Canning Co. and James O. Harris and William T. Ash. Pleas of nolo contendere. Each individual defendant fined \$600. No fine imposed against company. (F. D. C. No. 30612. Sample Nos. 70279-K, 70298-K.)**

**INFORMATION FILED:** September 1, 1951, Western District of Missouri, against the Rush Canning Co., a partnership, trading at Exeter and Washburn, Mo., and James O. Harris and William T. Ash, partners in the firm.

**ALLEGED VIOLATION:** On or about November 7, 1949, the defendants guaranteed to a firm at Kansas City, Mo., which was engaged in interstate commerce, that any food or other commodity shipped or delivered by the defendants to the holder of the guaranty would be neither adulterated nor misbranded within the meaning of the law. On or about August 23, 1950, the defendants shipped