

**PRODUCT:** 500 cases, each containing 12 1-quart, 14-ounce cans, of tomato juice at Richmond, Va.

**LABEL, IN PART:** (Can) "Stokes Salt Added Tomato Juice."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402(a)(3), the product consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed substance by reason of the presence of decomposed tomato material.

**DISPOSITION:** January 31, 1951. Default decree of condemnation. The court ordered that the product be delivered to a Federal institution. Of the 2,316 cans of the product which were seized, 348 cans were found to be decomposed and were fed to pigs; the remaining cans were utilized for human food.

## CEREALS AND CEREAL PRODUCTS

### BAKERY PRODUCTS

**17055. Adulteration of bread. U. S. v. Richard Baking Co., Inc., and Louis E. Vigeant. Pleas of guilty. Corporation fined \$300; individual defendant placed on probation for 1 year. (F. D. C. No. 29186. Sample Nos. 62580-K, 63321-K, 63323-K.)**

**INFORMATION FILED:** October 3, 1950, District of Massachusetts against Richard Baking Co., Inc., Southbridge, Mass., and Louis E. Vigeant, president of the corporation.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about January 11 and 12, 1950, from the State of Massachusetts into the State of Connecticut.

**LABEL, IN PART:** "Enriched Butter-Nut [or "Butter-Nut Raisin Bread"] \* \* \* Richard Baking Co., Inc. Southbridge, Mass."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402(a)(3), the product consisted in part of a filthy substance by reason of the presence of insect fragments and rodent hair fragments; and, Section 402(a)(4), it had been prepared under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth.

**DISPOSITION:** February 6, 1951. Pleas of guilty having been entered, the court imposed a fine of \$300 against the corporation and placed the individual defendant on probation for 1 year.

**17056. Misbranding of bread. U. S. v. Mead's Fine Bread Co. Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, \$200. (F. D. C. No. 29479. Sample Nos. 49752-K, 49755-K, 49756-K.)**

**INFORMATION FILED:** November 1, 1950, District of New Mexico, against Mead's Fine Bread Co., a corporation, Clovis, N. Mex.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about April 26, 1950, from the State of New Mexico into the State of Texas.

**LABEL, IN PART:** "Mead's Fine Thin Sliced Bread 1 lb. 8 ozs. or over" or "Mead's Fine Buttermilk Bread Mead's Bakery \* \* \* Weight 1½ lbs."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Misbranding, Section 403(e)(2), the product failed to bear a label containing an accurate statement of the quantity of the contents since the loaves weighed less than the labeled weight.

**DISPOSITION:** December 18, 1950. A plea of nolo contendere having been entered, the court imposed a fine of \$200.