

**CEREALS AND CEREAL PRODUCTS****FLOUR**

**17001. Adulteration of flour. U. S. v. 15 Bags \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 30291. Sample No. 81758-K.)**

**LIBEL FILED:** November 22, 1950, Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about September 12, 1950, from Toronto, Canada.

**PRODUCT:** 15 100-pound bags of flour at Philadelphia, Pa., in the possession of R. Fretz.

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the product consisted in whole or in part of a filthy substance by reason of the presence of rodent urine; and, Section 402 (a) (4), it had been held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth. The product was adulterated while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce.

**DISPOSITION:** January 25, 1951. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

**17002. Adulteration of doughnut flour. U. S. v. 59 Bags \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 30170. Sample No. 35712-K.)**

**LIBEL FILED:** November 28, 1950, Northern District of California.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about August 7, 1950, from Salt Lake City, Utah.

**PRODUCT:** 59 100-pound bags of doughnut flour at San Francisco, Calif., in the possession of Stiefvater's.

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the product consisted in whole or in part of a filthy substance by reason of the presence of rodent urine; and, Section 402 (a) (4), it had been held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth. The product was adulterated while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce.

**DISPOSITION:** January 17, 1951. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

**17003. Adulteration and misbranding of graham flour. U. S. v. 100 Bags \* \* \*. (F. D. C. 29969. Sample No. 85259-K.)**

**LIBEL FILED:** October 30, 1950, Southern District of Iowa.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about September 28, 1950, by the Blair Milling Co., from Atchison, Kans.

**PRODUCT:** 100 100-pound bags of graham flour at Davenport, Iowa.

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the article consisted in whole or in part of a filthy substance by reason of the presence of rodent urine.

Misbranding, Section 403 (e) (1), the article failed to bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor.

**DISPOSITION:** December 18, 1950. The Blair Milling Co., claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the court ordered that the product be released under bond to be brought into compliance with the law, under the supervision of the Federal Security Agency. 42 bags of the product were found unfit and were denatured for use as animal feed.