

PRODUCT: 25 cases, each containing 12 12-ounce bottles, of cane and maple sirup at Bluefield, W. Va.

LABEL, IN PART: "Virginia Maple Brand Syrup Made From Cane And Maple Sugars."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the designation "Virginia Maple," the design of a maple leaf, and the statement "Made From Cane And Maple Sugars," appearing on the label, were false and misleading as applied to an article which contained no, or an inconsequential amount of, maple sugar or maple sirup.

DISPOSITION: June 13, 1950. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

16461. Adulteration and misbranding of maple sirup. U. S. v. 7 Cases \* \* \*.  
(F. D. C. No. 29327. Sample No. 74503-K.)

LABEL FILED: May 22, 1950, Southern District of New York.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about April 24, 1950, from Towanda, Pa.

PRODUCT: 7 cases, each containing 12 bottles, of maple sirup at Jeffersonville, N. Y.

LABEL, IN PART: (Bottle) "100% Pure Maple Syrup Made from Pure Sap of Hard Maple Wood Trees \* \* \* One Quart \* \* \* Net Weight 2 $\frac{3}{4}$  Lbs."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), a sugar sirup artificially flavored to simulate maple sirup had been substituted for maple sirup, which the product purported to be.

Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the label statements "First 1950 Run 100% Pure Maple Syrup Made from Pure Sap of Hard Maple Wood Trees" were false and misleading since the product was sugar sirup artificially flavored to simulate maple sirup, and the label designation "One Quart" was false and misleading since the bottles contained less than one quart.

DISPOSITION: June 19, 1950. Default decree of condemnation. The court ordered that the product be delivered to a charitable institution.

16462. Adulteration and misbranding of sorghum sirup. U. S. v. 20 Cases, etc.  
(F. D. C. No. 28893. Sample Nos. 61500-K, 76901-K.)

LABEL FILED: On or about March 21, 1950, Western District of Missouri.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about September 13, 1949, by Roy R. McClain, from Little Rock, Ark.

PRODUCT: Sorghum sirup. 20 cases, each containing 6 unlabeled 1-gallon cans, and 42 cases, each containing 12  $\frac{1}{2}$ -gallon cans.

LABEL, IN PART: Each case contained 6 (or 12) loose labels reading, in part, "Pure Cane Sorghum."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), a mixture of sorghum and corn sirup had been substituted for sorghum, which the product was represented to be.

Misbranding, Section 403 (b), a mixture of sorghum and corn sirup had been offered for sale under the name of another food, sorghum.

DISPOSITION: June 5, 1950. Default decree of condemnation. The court ordered that the product be delivered to a charitable institution.