

**LABEL, IN PART:** "Gulf Central Brand American Crab Meat Vacuum packed White Meat."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the article consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed substance.

**DISPOSITION:** January 21, 1947. No claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

**11613. Adulteration of crab meat. U. S. v. 5 Cases \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 21021. Sample No. 3981-H.)**

**LIBEL FILED:** September 20, 1946, Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about July 18, 1946, by the L. C. Mays Co., Inc., from New Orleans, La.

**PRODUCT:** 5 cases, each containing 24 7 $\frac{7}{10}$ -ounce cans, of crab meat at Bethlehem, Pa.

**LABEL, IN PART:** "High Sea Brand White Meat American Crab Meat."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the article consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed substance.

**DISPOSITION:** October 22, 1946. No claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

**11614. Adulteration and misbranding of minced clams. U. S. v. 264 Cases \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 20769. Sample No. 8836-H.)**

**LIBEL FILED:** September 4, 1946, Southern District of New York.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about May 18, 1946, by the Medomak Canning Co., from Winslows Mills, Maine.

**PRODUCT:** 264 cases, each containing 48 cans, of minced clams at New York, N. Y. Examination showed that the average net weight of the contents of the cans was 11.25 ounces, and that the average drained weight was 4.45 ounces.

**LABEL, IN PART:** (Cases) "48 cans No. 1 Unlabeled Minced Clams."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), brine had been substituted in part for minced clams.

Misbranding, Section 403 (e) (1), the article did not bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor; Section 403 (e) (2), it did not bear a label containing an accurate statement of the quantity of the contents; and, Section 403 (i) (1), it did not bear a label stating the common or usual name of the food.

**DISPOSITION:** April 3, 1947. The Vee Mar Food Products Co., New York, N. Y., having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered released under bond, conditioned that it be labeled in compliance with the law, under the supervision of the Food and Drug Administration.

**11615. Adulteration of oysters. U. S. v. Whiz Fish Products Co., Inc. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$500 and costs. (F. D. C. No. 20924. Sample Nos. 59531-H, 59533-H.)**

**INFORMATION FILED:** October 9, 1946, Western District of Washington, against the Whiz Fish Products Co., Inc., Seattle, Wash.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about April 12 and 15, 1946, from the State of Washington into the State of California.

**PRODUCT:** Oysters. The product contained added water.

**LABEL, IN PART:** "Packed \* \* \* For Palace Fish & Oyster Co. Wash. Cert. 128."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), water had been substituted in part for oysters.

**DISPOSITION:** November 9, 1946. A plea of guilty having been entered on behalf of the defendant, the court imposed a fine of \$250 on each count, a total fine of \$500, plus costs.

**11616. Misbranding of canned shrimp. U. S. v. 200 Cases \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 20873. Sample No. 24260-H.)**

**LIBEL FILED:** On or about September 13, 1946, Southern District of Alabama.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about August 23, 1946, by the Morgan City Packing Co., from Houma, La.

**PRODUCT:** 200 cases, each containing 48 7-ounce cans, of shrimp at Mobile, Ala.

**LABEL, IN PART:** "Bridge Brand Shrimp Wet Pack \* \* \* Distributed By St. Mary Sea Food Co., Morgan City, La."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Misbranding, Section 403 (h) (2), the article fell below the standard of fill of container prescribed by the regulations for canned wet pack shrimp. The containers of the article were not so filled that the cut-out weight of shrimp taken from each can was not less than 64 percent of the water capacity of the container, as required by the standard, and the label failed to bear a statement that the article fell below the standard.

**DISPOSITION:** October 8, 1946. The Morgan City Packing Co., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered released under bond for relabeling under the supervision of the Food and Drug Administration.

## FRUITS AND VEGETABLES

### CANNED FRUIT

**11617. Misbranding of canned apples. U. S. v. 551 Cases \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 21184. Sample Nos. 62406-H, 62407-H.)**

**LABEL FILED:** October 28, 1946, Northern District of California.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about August 31, 1945, by A. H. Renehan & Son, from Sykesville, Md.

**PRODUCT:** 551 cases, each containing 6 6-pound, 8-ounce cans, of apples at San Francisco, Calif. The article was found to be short-weight.

**LABEL, IN PART:** Patapsco Brand Apples Heavy Pack Contents 6 Lbs. 8 Ozs."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Misbranding, Section 403 (e) (2), the article failed to bear a label containing an accurate statement of the quantity of the contents.

**DISPOSITION:** February 7, 1947. No claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

**11618. Adulteration of canned apricots. U. S. v. 1,348 Cases \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 21041. Sample Nos. 57541-H, 57542-H.)**

**LABEL FILED:** September 24, 1946, District of Massachusetts.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about July 24, 1946, by the Riverbank Canning Co., from Riverbank, Calif.

**PRODUCT:** 674 cases of canned whole apricots and 674 cases of canned halves of apricots at Worcester, Mass. Each case contained 24 1-pound, 13-ounce cans.

**LABEL, IN PART:** "Zelo Brand Whole [or "Halves"] Unpeeled Apricots in Light Syrup."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the article consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed substance.

**DISPOSITION:** November 18, 1946. No claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

**11619. Adulteration of canned apricots. U. S. v. 210 Cases \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 20787. Sample Nos. 57397-H to 57399-H, incl.)**

**LABEL FILED:** September 20, 1946, District of Rhode Island.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about July 16, 1946, by the Riverbank Canning Co., from Riverbank, Calif.

**PRODUCT:** 210 cases of canned halves of apricots and canned whole apricots at Providence, R. I. Each case contained 24 1-pound, 13-ounce cans.

**LABEL, IN PART:** "Diana [or "Zelo Brand"] \* \* \* Unpeeled Apricots in Light Syrup."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the article consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed substance.

**DISPOSITION:** November 7, 1946. No claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.