

**LABEL, IN PART:** "Bark-O Dog Food."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the article consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed substance.

**DISPOSITION:** September 5 and 18, 1945. No claimant having appeared, judgments of condemnation were entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

**10133. Misbranding of stock feed. U. S. v. 105 Bags of Stock Feed. Consent decree of condemnation. Product ordered released under bond. (F. D. C. No. 17030. Sample No. 21883-H.)**

**LABEL FILED:** August 14, 1945, Western District of Tennessee.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about June 24, 1945, by Giessing Flour Mills, from Farmington, Mo.

**PRODUCT:** 105 100-pound bags of stock feed at Memphis, Tenn.

**LABEL, IN PART:** "Soft Wheat Grey Shorts and Screenings Crude Protein, not less than 16%."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the label statement, "Crude Protein, not less than 16%," was false and misleading since the product contained less than the declared amount of protein.

**DISPOSITION:** October 15, 1945. Giessing Flour Mills, claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered released under bond to be relabeled under the supervision of the Food and Drug Administration.

**10134. Misbranding of Stock-Gro. U. S. v. 2 Barrels and 22 Cans of Stock-Gro, and 26 circulars. Default decree of forfeiture and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 17336. Sample No. 13468-H.)**

**LABEL FILED:** September 7, 1945, Southern District of Indiana.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about August 1, 1945, by Stock-Gro, Inc., from Wapakoneta, Ohio.

**PRODUCT:** 2 500-pound barrels and 22 50-pound cans of Stock-Gro at Batesville, Ind., together with 20 circulars entitled, "Stock-Gro promotes Health! Liveability! Reproduction! in Hogs," and 6 circulars entitled, "What's in a Barrel of Stock-Gro?" Examination showed that the product was an artificially colored condensed byproduct of milk.

**LABEL, IN PART:** "Stock-Gro \* \* \* Ingredients: Condensed Whey."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Misbranding, Section 403 (a), certain statements on the label of the article and in the circulars were false and misleading since they represented and suggested that the article was a digestant and would be effective to insure growth, health, and productivity in poultry and hogs; that it would be effective to prevent and correct necrotic enteritis, dysentery, typhoid, and other diseases due to pathogenic organisms in hogs; that it would aid effectively in the prevention and control of diseases in livestock and poultry; and that it would be effective to prevent and correct worm infestation, coccidiosis, blackhead, and other unhealthy conditions in poultry. The article was not a digestant, and it would not be effective for the purposes represented.

The article was alleged also to be misbranded under the provisions of the law applicable to drugs, as reported in notices of judgment on drugs and devices, No. 1890.

**DISPOSITION:** October 15, 1945. No claimant having appeared, judgment of forfeiture was entered and the product and circulars were ordered destroyed.

## FISH

**10135. Adulteration of canned codfish flakes. U. S. v. 343 Cases of Canned Cod Fish Flakes. Consent decree of condemnation. Product ordered released under bond. (F. D. C. No. 17630. Sample No. 3132-H.)**

**LABEL FILED:** October 2, 1945, District of Columbia.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about August 6, 1945, by the Edsil Trading Corporation, from New York, N. Y.

**PRODUCT:** 343 cases, each containing 48 14-ounce cans, of codfish flakes at Washington, D. C.

**LABEL, IN PART:** "Maine Shore Cod Fish Flakes \* \* \* Packed by Green Island Packing Co. Rockland, Maine."