

and misleading, since it was not white meat tuna; and in that it was offered for sale under the name of another food.

On February 21, 1941, Filigree Quality Foods, Inc., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered released under bond conditioned that it be relabeled so that it comply with the requirements of the law.

FROZEN FISH

1753. Adulteration of pike filets. U. S. v. 39 Cartons of Pike Filets. Consent decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 3755. Sample No. 47191-E.)

Examination of this product showed the presence of decomposed fish.

On February 7, 1941, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois filed a libel against 39 cartons of pike filets at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about December 5, 1940, by Cloverdale Products from Mandan, N. Dak.; and charging that it was adulterated in that it consisted wholly or in part of a decomposed substance. The article was labeled in part: (Cartons) "Armstrong Fancipak Filets Winnipeg Canada * * * Pike."

On February 14, 1941, the claimant having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

1754. Adulteration of pollock filets. U. S. v. 241 Boxes of Pollock Filets. Default decree of destruction. (F. D. C. No. 3304. Sample No. 27458-E.)

This product was in whole or in part decomposed.

On or about November 4, 1940, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Ohio filed a libel against 241 boxes of pollock filets at Columbus, Ohio, alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about September 17, 1940, by Gorton-Pew Fisheries, Ltd., from Gloucester, Mass.; and charging that it was adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed substance. The article was labeled in part: "Cape Anne Brand Pollock Filets."

On May 21, 1941, no claimant having appeared, judgment was entered ordering that the product be destroyed.

1755. Adulteration of frozen scrod. U. S. v. 108 Boxes and 174 Boxes of Scrod Filets. Consent decree of condemnation. Product ordered released under bond. (F. D. C. Nos. 3320, 3321. Sample Nos. 28529-E, 28532-E, 28534-E, 28535-E.)

Examination of this product showed the presence of decomposed fish.

On November 2, 1940, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Virginia filed libels against 108 boxes of scrod at Norfolk, Va., and 174 boxes at Portsmouth, Va., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about September 26, 1940, by the Bay Fish Co. from Boston, Mass.; and charging that it was adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed substance. It was labeled in part: "Produced By Gloucester Fresh Fish Co. * * * Northeast Scrod Filets."

On November 25, 1940, the Bay Fish Co., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libels and the cases having been consolidated, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered released under bond conditioned that it be made to conform to the law under the supervision of the Food and Drug Administration.

1756. Adulteration of frozen tullibeas. U. S. v. 195 Boxes of Frozen Tullibeas. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 3689. Sample Nos. 31551-E to 31555-E, incl.)

Examination of this product showed that it contained putrid fish and fish containing parasitic worms.

On January 17, 1941, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Michigan filed a libel against 195 boxes of tullibeas at Detroit, Mich., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce within the period from on or about December 31, 1940, to on or about January 7, 1941, by J. Kozloff from Chicago, Ill.; and charging that it was adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy and decomposed substance. The article was labeled in part: "Product of Canada Dr Tullibeas."

On February 6, 1941, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.