

July 15 to on or about August 14, 1940, by the McGraw Candy Co. from Mobile, Ala.; and charging that it was adulterated and misbranded. The article was labeled variously: "Penny Sticks," "1¢ each Peanut Blocks," "Azalea Brand \* \* \* Peanut Bar," and "Peppermint"; (wrappers) "Azalea Brand Candy Mint Stock"; "Bundle Sticks"; or "Cocoanut Bars."

It was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted wholly or in part of a filthy substance; and in that it had been prepared under insanitary conditions whereby it might have become contaminated with filth.

The cocoanut bars were alleged to be misbranded in that the statement "Net wt. 4 ozs. or More" was false and misleading since it was incorrect; and in that it was in package form and did not bear an accurate statement of the quantity of the contents.

On November 6, 1940, no claimant having appeared, judgments of condemnation were entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

**1686. Adulteration of candy. U. S. v. 79 Boxes of Candy. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.** (F. D. C. No. 2738. Sample No. 24260-E.)

This product contained insect fragments and rodent hairs.

On September 3, 1940, the United States attorney for the District of New Jersey filed a libel against 79 boxes of candy at Camden, N. J., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about July 25, 1940, by the F. M. Paist Co. from Philadelphia, Pa.; and charging that it was adulterated. It was labeled in part: (Boxes) "120 Count one cent Each Pin Wheels."

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy substance; and in that it had been prepared under insanitary conditions whereby it might have become contaminated with filth.

On January 31, 1941, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

**1687. Adulteration of candy. U. S. v. 19 Boxes of Candy. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.** (F. D. C. No. 3595. Sample Nos. 35466-E, 35467-E.)

Samples of this product were found to contain rodent hairs and insect fragments.

On December 26, 1940, the United States attorney for the Western District of Louisiana filed a libel against 19 boxes of candy at Alexandria, La., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about November 29, 1940, by Salvo & Berdon Candy Co. from Natchez, Miss.; and charging that it was adulterated in that it consisted wholly or in part of a filthy substance and had been prepared under insanitary conditions.

On January 27, 1941, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

**1688. Adulteration of candy. U. S. v. 18 Cases and 180 Boxes, and 39 Boxes of Candy. Default decrees of condemnation and destruction.** (F. D. C. Nos. 3240, 3241. Sample Nos. 39298-E to 39300-E, incl., 39421-E to 39431-E, incl.)

Samples of this product were found to contain rodent hairs, insect fragments, cat hairs, and rodent excreta. Five of the items were overweight and one was short weight.

On or about October 22, 1940, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Missouri filed libels against 18 cases and 219 boxes of candy at Malden, Mo., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about September 23 and 26, 1940, by the Sennett Candy Co. from Memphis, Tenn.; and charging that all lots were adulterated and that a portion was also misbranded. The product was labeled in part: "5¢ Big Boy Sticks ["Peanut Butter" in some lots] Stick Candy Net Wt. 3 Oz."; "5¢ Nifty Packaged Stick Net Wt. 3 Oz."; "3 Oz. Easy Eater Peanut Bar 5¢"; "Dizzy Dozen Sticks"; "Big Bud 5¢ Net Wt. 3½ Oz."; "1¢ Big Bud Sticks"; "Chow Bar Net Wt. 1½ Oz."; "Net Wt. 2¾ Oz. Banner Bar 5¢"; "2 for 1¢ Stick Candy."

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy substance; and in that it had been prepared under insanitary conditions whereby it might have become contaminated with filth.

A portion was alleged to be misbranded in that the following statements, (Big Boy Sticks Mint, Big Boy Sticks P-Nut, Dizzy Dozen Stick Candy, and Dizzy Dozen Stick Candy Mint) "Net Wt. 3 Oz.," and (Big Bud Sticks) "3½ Oz.," were false and misleading since they were incorrect. It was alleged to be