

that was adulterated. The article was labeled in part: "Trelease and Underhill New York Butter."

It was alleged to be adulterated in that a valuable constituent, milk fat, had been in part omitted therefrom; and in that a product which contained less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter.

On March 29, 1941, pleas of guilty having been entered, the court imposed a fine of \$25 against each of the defendants.

1418. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. Armour & Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$60. (F. D. C. No. 2923. Sample Nos. 12528-E, 21003-E, 21014-E.)

On February 13, 1941, the United States attorney for the District of Idaho filed an information against Armour & Co., a corporation trading as Armour Creameries at Pocatello, Idaho, alleging shipment within the period from on or about April 30 to on or about June 15, 1940, from the State of Idaho into the State of California and the Territory of Hawaii of quantities of butter that was adulterated. A portion of the article was labeled in part: "Armour's Star Quality Cloverbloom Butter."

It was alleged to be adulterated in that a valuable constituent, milk fat, had been in part omitted therefrom; and in that a product which contained less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter.

On March 10, 1941, a plea of guilty having been entered on behalf of the defendant, the court imposed a fine of \$60.

1419. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. Armour & Co. (Armour Creameries). Plea of guilty. Fine, \$150. (F. D. C. No. 2950. Sample Nos. 24515-E, 24516-E, 24519-E, 24525-E, 24526-E, 24527-E.)

On March 31, 1941, the United States attorney for the District of South Dakota filed an information against Armour & Co., a corporation trading as Armour Creameries at Mitchell, S. Dak., alleging shipment within the period from on or about September 24 to on or about October 15, 1940, from the State of South Dakota into the State of Pennsylvania of quantities of butter that was adulterated. The article was labeled in part: "Armour's Cloverbloom Trade Mark Butter."

It was alleged to be adulterated in that a valuable constituent, milk fat, had been in part omitted therefrom; and in that a product which contained less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter.

On April 25, 1941, a plea of guilty having been entered on behalf of the defendant, the court imposed a fine of \$150.

1420. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. Farmers Cooperative Creamery Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50. (F. D. C. No. 2928. Sample Nos. 34156-E, 34172-E.)

On February 20, 1941, the United States attorney for the District of South Dakota filed an information against the Farmers Cooperative Creamery Co., a corporation at Ramona, S. Dak., alleging shipment on or about September 14 and 23, 1940, from the State of South Dakota into the State of New York, of quantities of butter that was adulterated. The article was labeled in part: "Butter Breakstone Bros. Inc. New York Distributors."

It was alleged to be adulterated in that a valuable constituent, milk fat, had been in part omitted therefrom; and in that a product which contained less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter.

On February 27, 1941, a plea of guilty having been entered on behalf of the defendant, the court imposed a fine of \$50.

1421. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. Farmers Union Co-operative Creamery, a corporation. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$10. (F. D. C. No. 2854. Sample No. 10158-E.)

On October 31, 1940, the United States attorney for the District of North Dakota filed an information against the Farmers Union Cooperative Creamery, a corporation at Portland, N. Dak., alleging shipment on or about May 4, 1940, from the State of North Dakota into the State of New York of a quantity of butter that was adulterated. The article was labeled in part: "No. West Dairy Forward Co., Carlton, Minn. Creamery Butter Distributed by Zimmer & Dunkak, Inc., New York."

It was alleged to be adulterated in that a valuable constituent, milk fat, had been in part omitted therefrom; and in that a product containing less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter.

On November 12, 1940, a plea of guilty having been entered on behalf of the defendant, the court imposed a fine of \$10.