

labeled so as to deceive and mislead the purchaser since the said statements represented that the article consisted wholly of pure tomato catsup, and one of the lots was specifically declared to be free from artificial coloring matter; whereas it did not consist wholly of pure tomato catsup, but did consist in part of undeclared added color. Misbranding was alleged with respect to one of the lots for the further reason that it was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On March 1, 1935, a plea of guilty was entered on behalf of the defendant company and the court imposed a fine of \$1 and costs.

M. L. WILSON, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

24334. Adulteration of canned sardines. U. S. v. Wass & Stinson Canning Co. Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, \$50. (F. & D. no. 33837. Sample no. 59125-A.)

This case was based on an interstate shipment of canned sardines which were found to be in part decomposed.

On December 7, 1934, the United States attorney for the District of Maine, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against the Wass & Stinson Canning Co., a corporation, Prospect Harbor, Maine, alleging shipment by said defendant in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about October 5, 1933, from the State of Maine into the State of Missouri, of a quantity of canned sardines which were adulterated. The article was labeled in part: "Beach Cliff Brand Maine Sardines * * * Packed By Wass & Stinson Canning Co. Prospect Harbor, Maine."

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted in part of a decomposed animal substance.

On January 3, 1935, a plea of nolo contendere was entered on behalf of the defendant company and the court imposed a fine of \$50.

M. L. WILSON, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

24335. Adulteration of blueberries. U. S. v. William Carey Robinson (W. C. Robinson). Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, \$100. (F. & D. no. 32906. Sample nos. 42515-A, 42518-A, 43277-A, 43278-A, 43281-A, 43656-A, 43657-A, 43658-A, 45972-A.)

This case was based on interstate shipments of blueberries which were found to be infested with maggots.

On August 23, 1934, the United States attorney for the District of Maine, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against William Carey Robinson, trading as W. C. Robinson, Harrington, Maine, alleging shipment by said defendant in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about August 29 and August 31, 1933, from the State of Maine into the States of Illinois, New York, and Ohio, of quantities of blueberries which were adulterated.

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted in part of filthy vegetable and animal substances, since it was infested with maggots.

On October 4, 1934, the defendant entered a plea of nolo contendere and the court imposed a fine of \$100.

M. L. WILSON, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

24336. Misbranding of wines. U. S. v. S Bottles, et al., of Wine. Default decree of condemnation. Product ordered disposed of in accordance with law. (F. & D. no. 33280. Sample no. 4696-B.)

This case involved domestic wines which were labeled to convey the impression that they were wines of foreign origin. The labels were further objectionable, since the article was labeled as having been produced by a firm other than the real manufacturer, and since the quantity of the contents was not plain and conspicuous.

On August 18, 1934, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia, holding a district court, a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 27 bottles of wine at Washington, D. C., alleging that the article had been manufactured by the Vintners & Distillers Corporation, of Egg Harbor, N. J., and was being offered for sale in the District of Columbia in possession of the District Wine & Liquor Co., of Washington, D. C., and that it was misbranded in violation of the Food and Drugs Act as amended. The article was labeled in part: "Le Chateau Des Vignes Port [or "Sherry" "Sauterne" "Burgundy" "Muscatel"]."