

butter, which said article purported to be, the act of Congress approved March 4, 1923, providing that butter contain not less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat.

On June 1, 1931, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the three tubs of the product that had been seized be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

18760. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 21 Tubs of Butter Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond.
(F. & D. No. 27126. I. S. No. 29235. S. No. 4873.)

Samples of butter from the shipment herein described having been found to contain less than 80 per cent of milk fat, the standard provided by Congress, the Secretary of Agriculture reported the matter to the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York.

On June 20, 1931, the United States attorney filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 21 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Lake County Farmers Cooperative Creamery Association, Two Harbors, Minn., on June 9, 1931, and had been transported from the State of Minnesota into the State of New York, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 per cent of milk fat as provided by law.

The Lake County Farmers Cooperative Creamery Association, Two Harbors, Minn., interposed a claim for the product and filed a stipulation admitting the allegations of the libel, and consenting to the entry of a decree. On July 10, 1931, the claimant having agreed that the product be reconditioned so that it contain at least 80 per cent of butterfat, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said owner upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$500, conditioned in part that it be reworked and reprocessed so that it comply with the law, and that it should not be disposed of until examined and approved by this department.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

18761. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 42 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond.
(F. & D. No. 27124. I. S. No. 34102. S. No. 4872.)

Samples of butter from the interstate shipment herein described having been found to contain less than 80 per cent of milk fat, the standard provided by Congress, the Secretary of Agriculture reported the matter to the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York.

On June 24, 1931, the United States attorney filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 42 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Northwest Dairy Forwarding Co., Duluth, Minn. (acting for West Side Cooperative Creamery, Little Falls, Minn.), on June 13, 1931, into the State of New York, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 per cent of milk fat as provided by law.

J. Eugene Herold, agent for the West Side Creamery Co., Little Falls, Minn., interposed a claim for the product and admitted the allegations of the libel, consented to the entry of a decree, and agreed that the product be reconditioned so that it contain at least 80 per cent of butterfat. On July 16, 1931, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,000, conditioned in part that it be reworked and reprocessed so that it comply with the law, and that it should not be disposed of until examined and approved by this department.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*