

On November 17, 1930, the United States attorney filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 40 packages of C. P. Tet, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Savannah, Ga., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Chloride C. P. Co., from McNeill, Miss., on or about October 23, 1930, and had been transported from the State of Mississippi into the State of Georgia, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by this department showed that it consisted of tetrachlorethylene.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was misbranded in that the following statements regarding the curative or therapeutic effects of the said article, appearing in the labeling, were false and fraudulent, since it contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing the effects claimed: (Carton) "For Worms of Dogs and Domestic Animals. Tetrachlorethylene is endorsed by the U. S. Dept. of Agriculture for * * * Whipworms. Prevents and cures Fits caused by Worms. * * * This drug is endorsed by the U. S. Dept. of Agriculture for the removal of * * * roundworms of dogs;" (circular accompanying package) "For Worms Tetrachlorethylene is endorsed by the U. S. Dept. of Agriculture for the removal of * * * roundworms of dogs and domestic animals. Valuable as a treatment and preventive of 'Running Fits' if induced by worms. * * * Monthly worming is the cheapest and surest form of dog insurance. Worms in Dogs * * * Regular 28-day dosing of all dogs in the kennels with 'Tet' will eradicate * * * roundworms * * * Running-Barking Fits. * * * The first step in the treatment is to remove the worms and stop the source of the trouble. Dose regularly each month to keep worms removed before they cause trouble. * * * Preventive treatment is more valuable for any disease than curative treatment. Dose all dogs on the place once each month with Tet and you will not only remove the worms * * *. Freedom from worms will greatly improve the condition of your dogs, makes possible the raising of pups without heavy distemper and other contagious diseases which take a heavy toll from wormy dogs. * * * Directions for Worming with 'Tet.' * * * The use of Tetrachlorethylene as a wormer for dogs was the discovery of Dr. M. C. Hall of the U. S. Bureau of Animal Industry, * * * Besides the tests of Dr. Hall which showed this drug more effective for roundworms * * * Authoritative experiments have been made showing it safe for three weeks old pups in doses 25 times that necessary to remove * * * roundworms. Safe worming before weaning removes the most serious trouble of all dog breeders. * * * I have found your 'Tet' to * * * have stopped every case of 'Running-Barking Fits' I have had. I give it to all my dogs every 28 days and I have not had a case of fits since I have used it in this way. * * * I have tried everything and find your 'Tet' the best for worms and 'running-fits.' * * * 'Tet' will remove * * * roundworms * * * Through the removal of worms the regular use of 'Tet' is a valuable preventive treatment for 'Running-Barking Fits,' and Sore Mouth and is insurance against heavy losses from distemper."

On February 18, 1931, no claimant having appeared for the property, a decree was entered ordering that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

18209. Adulteration and misbranding of Astyptodyne healing oil. U. S. v. 10 Large-Sized Bottles, et al., of Astyptodyne Healing Oil. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 25172. I. S. No. 17801. S. No. 3435.)

Examination of a drug product, known as Astyptodyne healing oil, from the shipments herein described showed that the bottle and carton labels and the inclosed circular bore statements representing that the article possessed curative and therapeutic properties which it did not possess. Bacteriological examination of a lot of the article showed that it was not germicidal nor antiseptic as claimed in the labeling.

On September 30, 1930, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Alabama, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 10 large-sized bottles, 7 dozen medium-sized bottles, and 6 dozen small-sized bottles of Astyptodyne healing oil, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Birmingham, Ala., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Astyptodyne Chemical Co., from Wilmington, N. C., in part

on or about May 19, 1930, and in part on or about July 21, 1930, and had been transported from the State of North Carolina into the State of Alabama, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by this department showed that it was essentially pine oil. Bacteriological examination showed that the article was not germicidal nor antiseptic.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it was sold under the following standard of strength, (carton) "Astyptodyne is antiseptic * * * germicidal," (label) "Antiseptic, Germicidal," and (circular) "Antiseptic," whereas the strength of the article fell below such professed standard.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the following statements appearing on the carton and bottle labels and in the circular (carton) "Astyptodyne is antiseptic * * * germicidal," (label) "Antiseptic, Germicidal," (circular) "Chemical research finds this wonderful oil to possess to a high degree the following valuable properties: Antiseptic * * * Antiseptic value," were false and misleading. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the following statements regarding the curative or therapeutic effects of the article, appearing in the labeling, were false and fraudulent, since the article contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing the effects claimed: (Carton) "Is good for reducing * * * slough, fungus growth and proud flesh, arresting hemorrhage and relieving pain. * * * a healing agent, * * * For ulcers, sores, * * * rheumatism, neuralgia, toothache, diseases of the skin, nose, throat, or lungs. * * * For Old Ulcers or Sores of any Kind. Wrap part affected and keep damp with 'Astyptodyne' * * * For Sore Throat: * * * For Toothache: * * * For Hay Fever: * * * a Healing Agent for * * * Ulcers (old or new) Sores, * * * Eruptions on the Skin, Irritation of the * * * Lungs. * * * instantly relieving * * * Nervous Pain, Rheumatism, Neuralgia, Sciatica, Toothache. * * * for * * * Stomach Troubles such as Colic or Indigestion. * * * Irritation of the * * * Lungs;" (large label) "As a Healing Agent * * * for old ulcers * * * wounds, for skin eruptions, itch, eczema * * * rheumatism, neuralgia, sore muscles or swellings * * * to relieve toothache or other muscular pain, * * * For Scratches * * * For Rheumatism, Neuralgia, Swollen Joints, Cracked Heel * * * For Toothache * * * the relief will be almost instantaneous. For * * * Sore Throat, Influenza or Hay Fever;" (circular) "A healing agent for chronic Ulcers, * * * Sores, * * * Wounds, for Skin Eruptions, Itch, Eczema, * * * for Rheumatism, Neuralgia, Sore Muscles, and Swellings. * * * in the treatment of new and infected sores on domestic animals, horses and cattle, * * * During the 'Flu' epidemic of 1918, many families used Astyptodyne as a preventative medicine, and it was found that where a tablespoonful was added to a quart of Boiling water and placed in the rooms of the house, especially in the sleeping rooms, that the members not already stricken were prevented from infection, as a result of inhaling the vapor thus produced. Since that time many cases of Whooping Cough, Spasmodic Croup and other zymotic diseases such as Asthma, Bronchitis, Catarrh, Hay Fever, Grippe and the Bronchial complications accompanying Scarlet Fever and Measles have been highly benefited by vaporizing Astyptodyne. Where it is convenient to do so, an ordinary quart saucepan may be placed on the stove and a mixture as above allowed to simmer continuously where there is Grippe or Colds in the family and it is desired that the other members avoid infection."

On March 14, 1931, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

18210. Misbranding of Stypstringant. U. S. v. 30 Bottles of Stypstringant. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 25617. I. S. No. 14547. S. No. 3928.)

Examination of a drug product known as Stypstringant showed that the carton and bottle label contained statements representing that the article possessed curative and therapeutic properties which it did not possess, also that it was represented to be antiseptic, whereas it was not antiseptic when diluted according to directions.

On January 9, 1931, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Florida, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the