

for the further reason that the statement "Butter," borne on the label, was false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser.

On June 12, 1930, Blatter Bros., Baltimore, Md., having appeared as claimant for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$500, conditioned in part that it should not be disposed of until reconditioned to conform to the requirements of the Federal food and drugs act.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

17528. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 11 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 24027. I. S. No. 04514. S. No. 2151.)

Samples of butter from the herein described interstate shipment having been found to contain less than the legal requirement of milk fat, namely, less than 80 per cent of milk fat, the Secretary of Agriculture reported the matter to the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois.

On July 18, 1929, the said United States attorney filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 11 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped by Schmitt Bros. (Inc.), from Blue River, Wis., on July 9, 1929, and had been transported from the State of Wisconsin into the State of Illinois, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a substance, excessive water, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality; in that a substance deficient in milk fat and high in moisture had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article; in that a valuable constituent of the article, butterfat, had been in part abstracted therefrom; and in that it contained less than 80 per cent of butterfat.

On July 26, 1929, C. H. Weaver & Co., Chicago, Ill., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,000, conditioned in part that it be reprocessed under the supervision of this department so that it contain not less than 80 per cent of butterfat.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

17529. Adulteration and alleged misbranding of butter. U. S. v. 37 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 23858. I. S. No. 09199. S. No. 1940.)

Samples of butter from the herein described interstate shipment having been found to contain less than the legal requirement of milk fat, namely, less than 80 per cent of milk fat, the Secretary of Agriculture reported the matter to the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois.

On April 20, 1929, the said United States attorney filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 37 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped by the West Hamlin Creamery Co., Elkhorn, Iowa, on or about April 9, 1929, and had been transported from the State of Iowa into the State of Illinois, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a substance deficient in butterfat had been mixed and packed with it so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality and strength, and had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the article was offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article.

On April 29, 1929, Gallagher Bros., Chicago, Ill., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment was entered finding the product adulterated and ordering its condemnation and forfeiture, and it was further ordered by the court that the said product be released to the claimant upon payment of costs and the

execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,000, conditioned in part that it be reprocessed under the supervision of this department so that it contain not less than 80 per cent of butterfat.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

17530. Adulteration and alleged misbranding of butter. U. S. v. 18 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 24040. I. S. No. 04522. S. No. 2148.)

Samples of butter from the herein described interstate shipment having been found to contain less than the legal requirement of milk fat, namely, less than 80 per cent of milk fat, the Secretary of Agriculture reported the matter to the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois.

On July 22, 1929, the said United States attorney filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 18 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Wequiock Creamery Co., from Green Bay, Wis., on July 15, 1929, and had been transported from the State of Wisconsin into the State of Illinois, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a substance, to wit, excessive water, had been mixed and packed with the said article, so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality. Adulteration was alleged for the further reason that a substance deficient in butterfat had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce or lower or injuriously affect its quality, and had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the article was offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article.

On July 27, 1929, H. C. Christians Co., Chicago, Ill., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment was entered finding the product adulterated and ordering its condemnation and forfeiture, and it was further ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,000, conditioned in part that it be reprocessed under the supervision of this department.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

17531. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 43 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 24039. I. S. No. 010235. S. No. 2139.)

Samples of butter from the herein described interstate shipment having been found to contain less than the legal requirement of milk fat, namely, less than 80 per cent of milk fat, the Secretary of Agriculture reported the matter to the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois.

On July 17, 1929, the said United States attorney filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 43 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Ideal Cheese Butter Co., from Gleason, Wis., on July 8, 1929, and had been transported from the State of Wisconsin into the State of Illinois, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that excessive water had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality; in that a substance deficient in milk fat and high in moisture had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article; in that a valuable constituent of the article, namely, butterfat, had been in part abstracted; and in that it contained less than 80 per cent of butterfat.

On July 26, 1929, C. H. Weaver & Co., Chicago, Ill., claimant having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,000, conditioned in part that it be reprocessed, under the supervision of this department, so that it contain not less than 80 per cent of butterfat.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*