

District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 35 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Millville Creamery Co., from Millville, Minn., July 27, 1929, and transported from the State of Minnesota into the State of Illinois, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that excessive water had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality and strength, in that a substance deficient in milk fat and high in moisture had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article, in that a valuable constituent, butterfat, had been in part abstracted from the article, and in that it contained less than 80 per cent of butterfat.

On August 27, 1929, Spangenberg & Co., Chicago, Ill., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$500, conditioned in part that it be reprocessed, under the supervision of this department, so that it contain not less than 80 per cent of butterfat.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

**16706. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 43 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond.**  
(F. & D. No. 23894. I. S. No. 010227. S. No. 2062.)

On June 13, 1929, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 43 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped by the E. L. Creamery Co., from Janesville, Wis., June 3, 1929, and transported from the State of Wisconsin into the State of Illinois, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that excessive water had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality and strength, in that a substance deficient in milk fat and high in moisture had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article, in that a valuable constituent, butterfat, had been in part abstracted from the article, and in that it contained less than 80 per cent of butterfat.

On July 10, 1929, the E. & L. Creamery Co., Janesville, Wis., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,000, conditioned in part that it be reprocessed, under the supervision of this department, so that it contain not less than 80 per cent of butterfat.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

**16707. Misbranding of canned crab meat. U. S. v. 97 Cans of Crab Meat. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction.**  
(F. & D. No. 23921. I. S. No. 021009. S. No. 2161.)

On August 9, 1929, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of ninety-seven 1-pound cans of crab meat, remaining in the original unbroken package at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by S. H. Tolley, Cambridge, Md., on or about August 7, 1929, and transported from the State of Maryland into the State of New York, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended. The article was labeled in part: (Can) "Net Contents 1 Lb.;" (barrel) "From S. H. Tolley \* \* \* Honga, Maryland."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was misbranded in that the statement on the labels, "Net Contents 1 Lb.," was false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser, and in that it was food in package form and the quantity of contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package, since the quantity stated was not correct.

On August 30, 1929, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

**16708. Misbranding of canned crab meat. U. S. v. 82 Cans of Crab Meat. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 23922. I. S. No. 021010. S. No. 2162.)**

On or about August 12, 1929, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of eighty-two 1-pound cans of crab meat, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by P. S. Bass, Millville, N. J., on or about August 7, 1929, and transported from the State of New Jersey into the State of New York, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended. The article was labeled in part: (Can) "Net Contents 1 Lb.;" (barrel tag) "Philip Bass, Port Morris, N. J."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was misbranded in that the statement on the label, "Net Contents 1 Lb.," was false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser, and in that it was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package, since the quantity stated was not correct.

On August 30, 1929, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

**16709. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 9 Cases of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 23952. I. S. No. 010135. S. No. 2118.)**

On July 15, 1929, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 9 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Chequamegon Cooperative Creamery, from Washburn, Wis., July 3, 1929, and transported from the State of Wisconsin into the State of Illinois, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a substance, excessive water, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality and strength, in that a substance deficient in butterfat had been mixed and packed with it so as to reduce or lower or injuriously affect its quality or strength, and had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article, in that a substance deficient in milk fat and high in moisture had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article, and in that it contained less than 80 per cent of butterfat.

On August 5, 1929, Peter Fox & Sons Co., Chicago, Ill., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a good and sufficient bond, conditioned in part that it be reprocessed, under the supervision of this department, so that it contain not less than 80 per cent of butterfat.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

**16710. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 90 Tubs, et al., of Butter. Consent decrees of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. Nos. 23956, 24129. I. S. Nos. 04512, 010182. S. Nos. 2120, 2315.)**

On July 17 and August 16, 1929, respectively, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon reports by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district libels praying seizure and condemnation of 140 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Wisconsin Creamery Co., from Sauk City, Wis., in part on July 9, 1929, and in part on July 30, 1929, and transported from the State of Wisconsin