

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it contained an added poisonous ingredient, which might have rendered it injurious to health.

On April 14, 1926, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

C. F. MARVIN, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

14215. Misbranding of flour. U. S. v. 40 Sacks of Flour. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 16699. I. S. No. 7810-v. S. No. W-1178.)

On August 2, 1922, the United States attorney for the Northern District of California, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 40 sacks of flour, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Eureka, Calif., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Columbia Milling Co., from Portland, Oreg., July 21, 1922, and transported from the State of Oregon into the State of California, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended. The article was labeled in part: "Columbia Milling Co. White Queen Bluestem Fancy Patent Flour * * * 49 Lbs."

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that the statement "49 Lbs.," borne on the label, was false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the article was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On February 15, 1926, eight sacks of the product having been seized and no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the said product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

C. F. MARVIN, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

14216. Misbranding of San-Tox kidney and bladder pills. U. S. v. 6 Dozen Bottles and 12 Dozen Bottles of San-Tox Kidney and Bladder Pills. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 20756. I. S. Nos. 793-x, 794-x. S. No. W-1848.)

On January 16, 1926, the United States attorney for the Northern District of California, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 6 dozen small-size bottles and 12 dozen large-size bottles of San-Tox kidney and bladder pills, remaining in the original unbroken packages at San Francisco, Calif., alleging that the article had been shipped by the DePree Co., from Chicago, Ill., on or about December 16, 1925, and transported from the State of Illinois into the State of California, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended.

Analysis by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department of samples of the article showed that the pills contained potassium nitrate and material derived from plants including juniper oil, Venice turpentine, and extracts of cascara sagrada, uva ursi, and pichi.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in substance in the libel for the reason that the following statements regarding the curative and therapeutic effects of the said article, borne on the labels: (Bottle) "Kidney and Bladder Pills," (carton) "Kidney And Bladder Pills Recommended for derangements of the kidneys and bladder," together with statements of similar import contained in an accompanying circular, were false and fraudulent, since it contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing the effects claimed.

On March 26, 1926, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

C. F. MARVIN, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

14217. Adulteration of canned cherries. U. S. v. 19 Cases of Canned Cherries. Decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 20694. I. S. No. 7213-x. S. No. E-5603.)

On or about December 5, 1925, the United States attorney for the District of Maryland, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure