

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statement borne on the labels "Special Concentrated Sweetener 500," was false and misleading, in that the said statement represented that the article was 500 times sweeter than sugar, when it was not. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the article was an imitation of and offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article.

On November 18, 1925, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgments of condemnation and forfeiture were entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

14022. Adulteration and misbranding of spring water. U. S. v. 7 Bottles of Williams Acme Spring Health Water. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 20040. I. S. No. 15574-v. S. No. E-5304.)

On April 25, 1925, the United States attorney for the Western District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 7 bottles of Williams Acme spring health water, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Rochester, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by A. Puccia, Sanford, Fla., March 28, 1925, and transported from the State of Florida into the State of New York, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended. The article was labeled in part: (Bottle) "Williams Acme Spring Health Water Williams Brothers Norfolk, Va. Visit The Spring At Bowers Hill, Va." A portion of the labels had the statement put on with rubber stamp, "Net Contents 5 Gallons."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid animal substance.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statement "Health Water," borne on the labels, was false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the article was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package, in that the statement of the net contents, which was put on with a rubber stamp, had been left off some of the bottles.

On June 13, 1925, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

14023. Adulteration of canned lima beans. U. S. v. 209 Cases of Canned Lima Beans. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 20581. I. S. No. 4324-x. S. No. C-4856-a.)

On November 7, 1925, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Missouri, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 209 cases of canned lima beans, remaining in the original unbroken packages at St. Louis, Mo., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Rasse Wholesale Grocer Co., Fairbury, Nebr., on or about September 3, 1925, and transported from the State of Nebraska into the State of Missouri, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid vegetable substance.

On January 9, 1926, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

14024. Adulteration of canned tuna. U. S. v. 94 Cases of Canned Tuna. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 20580. I. S. No. 4323-x. S. No. C-4856.)

On November 7, 1925, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Missouri, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 94 cases of canned tuna, remaining in the