(Bottle) "Goddard's Extra Chili Sauce * * * Goddard Packing Company, Ogden, Salt Lake City and Provo, Utah."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid vegetable substance.

On August 12, 1924, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

HOWARD M. GORE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

12555. Misbranding of ground beef scrap. U. S. v. 150 Sacks of Ground Beef Scrap. Decree ordering release of product under bond. (F. & D. No. 18454. I. S. No. 10599–v. S. No. E–4771.)

On or about March 15, 1924, the United States attorney for the District of Maryland, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 150 sacks, more or less, of ground beef scrap, consigned on or about January 23, 1924, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Denton, Md., alleging that the article had been shipped by M. L. Shoemaker & Co. (Inc.) from Philadelphia, Pa., and transported from the State of Pennsylvania into the State of Maryland, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: (Bag) "100 Lbs Shoemaker's Swift-Sure * * * Ground Beef Scrap * * * Manufactured By M. L. Shoemaker & Co Incorporated Philadelphia Pa Guaranteed Analysis Protein 55 65%."

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that the statement, "Guaranteed Analysis Protein 55 65%," appearing on the labels, was false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser in that the said statement represented that the said article contained from 55 to 65 per cent of protein, whereas, in truth and in fact, it contained a less amount.

On April 1, 1924, M. L. Shoemaker & Co. (Inc.), Philadelphia, Pa., having appeared as claimant for the property, judgment of the court was entered, ordering that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of $900, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that it be relabeled to the satisfaction of this department.

HOWARD M. GORE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

12556. Adulteration and misbranding of oats. U. S. v. 300 Sacks of Oats. Decree of condemnation. Alternate order entered, providing for sale of product or release under bond to claimant. (F. & D. No. 18601. I. S. No. 18037–v. S. No. E–3917.)

On April 19, 1924, the United States attorney for the Western District of North Carolina, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 300 sacks of oats at Greensboro, N. C., alleging that the article had been shipped by Callahan & Sons, Louisville, Ky., April 12, 1924, and transported from the State of Kentucky into the State of North Carolina, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: (Sack) "Callahan's Electric White Oats Bleached."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that a substance, rye and other grains, had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statement, "Callahan's Electric White Oats Bleached," was false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser in that the article purported to be oats, whereas, in truth and in fact, it was not, but was an admixture of oats, rye, and other grains. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the article was offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article, namely, oats.

On May 7, 1924, a decree of condemnation was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be sold by the United States marshal, the decree providing, however, that it might be released to the claimant, Callahan & Sons, Louisville, Ky., upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of $900, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that it be relabeled "Oats and Other Grains."

HOWARD M. GORE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*