

seizure and condemnation of 3 cases of Fernet De Vecchi, at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Banfi Co., from New York, N. Y., December 9, 1922, and transported from the State of New York into the State of Illinois, and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that the product consisted essentially of alcohol, water, a trace of an iron compound, and extractives from plant drugs, including a laxative drug and small amounts of alkaloids.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in substance in the libel for the reason that the following statements regarding the curative or therapeutic effect of the said article, appearing on the bottle label and in the accompanying circular, (bottle and circular) "digestive * * * antifebrile * * * anticholeraic * * * recommended for people suffering from irritable nerves, lack of appetite, nausea, worms," (circular) "has the property of curing biliousness, giddiness and bad digestion," were false and fraudulent in that the said statements were applied to the said article so as to represent falsely and fraudulently to the purchasers thereof and create in the minds of such purchasers the impression and belief that the article was composed of or contained ingredients or medicinal agents or combinations of ingredients effective as a remedy for the several diseases, ailments, and afflictions mentioned upon the said bottle label and in the said circular.

On January 7, 1924, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

C. F. MARVIN, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

12040. Misbranding of flour. U. S. v. 1,800 Sacks of Flour. Order entered providing for release of product under bond to be repacked.
(F. & D. No. 18015. I. S. No. 19307-v. S. No. C-4173.)

On November 13, 1923, the United States attorney for the Western District of Tennessee, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 1,800 sacks of flour, at Memphis, Tenn., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Huegely Milling Co., Nashville, Ill., on or about October 27, 1923, and transported from the State of Illinois into the State of Tennessee, and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended. The article was labeled in part: (Sack) "24 Lbs. Huegely Milling Co. * * * White Rabbit Highest Patent Flour Nashville, Illinois. Bleached."

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that the statement appearing in the labeling, "24 Lbs.," was false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser, and for the further reason that the article was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On November 27, 1923, the Huegely Milling Co., Nashville, Ill., having appeared as claimant for the property and having admitted the allegations in the libel, an order of the court was entered providing that the product be released to the said claimant upon the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,000, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned that it be repacked so that the sacks would contain the amount indicated thereon, and it was further ordered that the claimant pay the costs of the proceedings.

C. F. MARVIN, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

12041. Adulteration of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 340 Cases of Canned Tomatoes. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction.
(F. & D. No. 17877. I. S. No. 1080-v. S. No. E-4511.)

On October 29, 1923, the United States attorney for the District of Maryland, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 340 cases of canned tomatoes, at Baltimore, Md., alleging that the article had been shipped by the United Canneries Corp., from Newark, Del., on or about September 21, 1923, and transported from the State of Delaware into the State of Maryland, and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed vegetable substance.

On November 26, 1923, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

C. F. MARVIN, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

12042. Adulteration and misbranding of evaporated apples. U. S. v. 140 Cases of Evaporated Ring Apples. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 17549. I. S. No. 5547-v. S. No. C-3989.)

On June 2, 1923, the United States attorney for the District of Minnesota, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 140 cases of evaporated ring apples, at Minneapolis, Minn., alleging that the article had been shipped by the A. B. Williams Fruit Co., Sodus, N. Y., April 18, 1923, and transported from the State of New York into the State of Minnesota, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The article was labeled in part: (Case) "50 Lbs. Wedding Bells Brand Extra Choice New York State Evaporated Ring Apples A. B. Williams Fruit Co., Sodus, Wayne Co., New York."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that water or incompletely evaporated apples had been mixed and packed with and substituted wholly or in part for evaporated apples.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statement, "Evaporated * * * Apples," was false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser.

On September 7, 1923, the A. B. Williams Fruit Co., Sodus, N. Y., having appeared as claimant for the property and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$500, in conformity with section 10 of the act.

C. F. MARVIN, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

12043. Misbranding of Remlock 300. U. S. v. George E. Remick (Remlock Hills Laboratory). Plea of guilty. Fine, \$100 and costs. (F. & D. No. 15447. I. S. No. 2343-t.)

On December 22, 1921, the United States attorney for the District of Colorado, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against George E. Remick, trading as the Remlock Hills Laboratory, Denver, Colo., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended, on or about March 31, 1921, from the State of Colorado into the State of Missouri, of a quantity of Remlock 300 which was misbranded. The article was labeled in part: "Remlock 300 * * * Manufactured by Remlock Hills Laboratory Denver, Colo. U. S. A."

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it consisted of 99 per cent water and 1 per cent of calcium hypochlorite, calcium chloride, and calcium carbonate.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that certain statements, designs, and devices regarding its therapeutic and curative effect, appearing on the label of the bottle containing the said article, falsely and fraudulently represented it to be effective as a treatment, remedy, and cure for diabetes and Bright's disease, when, in truth and in fact, it was not.

On December 10, 1923, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$100 and costs.

C. F. MARVIN, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

12044. Misbranding and alleged adulteration of assorted jellies. U. S. v. 1,400 Cases of Assorted Jellies. Decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 16761. I. S. No. 7901-v. S. No. W-1198.)

On August 21, 1922, the United States attorney for the Southern District of California, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 1,400 cases of assorted jellies, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Los Angeles, Calif., consigned by the Temtor Corn &