

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it consisted of volatile oils, including peppermint and sassafras oils, spices, including capsicum and ginger, ether, 67 per cent of alcohol, and water.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in substance in the libel for the reason that the above-quoted statements borne in the labeling, regarding the curative and therapeutic effects of the said article, were false and fraudulent, since the article contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing the effects claimed.

On April 18, 1923, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

HOWARD M. GORE, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

11817. Adulteration of shell eggs. U. S. v. Jessiemae Cherry and Tobe Deahl (Cherry & Deahl). Pleas of guilty. Fine, \$10. (F. & D. No. 16405. I. S. No. 1047-t.)

On September 20, 1922, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Texas, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against Jessiemae Cherry and Tobe Deahl, copartners, trading as Cherry & Deahl, Dodsonville, Tex., alleging shipment by said defendants, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about August 10, 1921, from the State of Texas into the State of Oklahoma, of a quantity of shell eggs which were adulterated. The article was labeled in part: "From Cherry & Deahl Dodsonville, Texas."

Examination by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department of 180 eggs from the consignment showed that 51, or 28.33 per cent of those examined, were inedible eggs, consisting of black rots, mixed or white rots, spot rots, and blood rings.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it consisted in part of a filthy and decomposed animal substance.

On June 15, 1923, the defendants entered pleas of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$10.

HOWARD M. GORE, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

11818. Misbranding of butter. U. S. v. Tennessee Creameries, a Corporation. Pleas of guilty. Fine, \$25 and costs. (F. & D. No. 17125. I. S. Nos. 3037-v, 3080-v, 3101-v, 10278-v, 8194-t, 8195-t.)

On May 22, 1923, the United States attorney for the Middle District of Tennessee, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the Tennessee Creameries, a corporation, Nashville, Tenn., alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended, in various consignments, namely, on or about September 16, 1922, from the State of Tennessee into the State of Florida, and on or about May 16, August 31, September 5, and September 12, 1922, respectively, from the State of Tennessee into the State of Georgia, of quantities of butter which was misbranded. A portion of the article was labeled in part: "Pure Gold Brand Creamery Butter * * * One Pound Net." The remainder of the said article was labeled in part: "T C Pasteurized Creamery Butter One Pound Net Weight * * * Made By Tennessee Creameries Nashville, Tenn."

Examination by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department of 80, 150, 90, 100, 150, and 90 packages of the article from the various consignments showed an average net weight of 15.28, 15.34, 15.48, 15.59, 15.68, and 15.67 ounces, respectively.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that the statements, to wit, "One Pound Net," and "One Pound Net Weight," as the case might be, borne on the packages containing the article, regarding the said article, were false and misleading in that the said statements represented that each of the said packages contained 1 pound net of the article, and for the further reason that the article was labeled as aforesaid so as to deceive and mislead the purchaser into the belief that each of the said packages contained 1 pound net of the article, whereas, in truth and in fact, each of the said packages did not contain 1 pound net of the article but did contain a less amount. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the article was food in package form, and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On June 7, 1923, a plea of guilty to the information was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$25 and costs.

HOWARD M. GORE, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

11819. Adulteration of shell eggs. U. S. v. 360 Cases of Eggs. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 17749. I. S. No. 4150-v. S. No. C-4079.)

On July 27, 1923, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 360 cases of eggs, remaining unsold in the original unbroken packages at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Federal Cold Storage Co., from St. Louis, Mo., July 24, 1923, and transported from the State of Missouri into the State of Illinois, and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the libel for the reason that it consisted in part of a filthy animal substance, for the further reason that it consisted in part of a decomposed animal substance, and for the further reason that it consisted in part of a putrid animal substance.

On August 4, 1923, Alex Getz, Chicago, Ill., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,000, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that it be candled under the supervision of this department, the bad portion destroyed and the good portion released.

HOWARD M. GORE, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

11820. Misbranding of cottonseed meal and cottonseed feed. U. S. v. F. Spence Perry and Charles E. Ragan (Planters Oil Co.). Plea of guilty by Charles E. Ragan. Fine, \$25. Verdict of not guilty as to F. Spence Perry. (F. & D. No. 15261. I. S. Nos. 9158-t, 9164-t.)

On December 10, 1921, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Georgia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against F. Spence Perry and Charles E. Ragan, copartners, trading as the Planters Oil Co., Boston, Ga., alleging shipment by said defendants, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, in two consignments, namely, on or about November 22 and 29, 1920, respectively, from the State of Georgia into the State of Florida, of quantities of cottonseed meal and cottonseed feed, respectively, which were misbranded. The articles were labeled in part, respectively: "Second Class Cotton Seed Meal Manufactured By Planters Oil Company Boston, Georgia Guaranteed Analysis: Ammonia, Actual and Potential 7% ;" "'Economy' Cotton Seed Feed * * * Guaranteed Analysis Protein, not less than 36% Ammonia, not less than 7% * * * Fibre, not more than 14%."

Analysis by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department of a sample of the cottonseed meal showed that it contained 6.47 per cent of ammonia. Analysis by said bureau of a sample of the cottonseed feed showed that it contained 35.12 per cent of protein, 6.83 per cent of ammonia, and 15.16 per cent of crude fiber.

Misbranding of the articles was alleged in the information for the reason that the statements, to wit, "Guaranteed Analysis: Ammonia, Actual and Potential 7% " and "Guaranteed Analysis Protein, not less than 36% Ammonia, not less than 7% * * * Fibre not more than 14%," borne on the tags attached to the sacks containing the respective articles, regarding the said articles and the ingredients and substances contained therein, were false and misleading in that the said statements represented that the articles contained not less than 7 per cent of ammonia and that the cottonseed feed contained not less than 36 per cent of protein and not more than 14 per cent of fiber, and for the further reason that the articles were labeled as aforesaid so as to deceive and mislead the purchaser into the belief that they contained 7 per cent of ammonia and that the cottonseed feed contained not less than 36 per cent of protein and not more than 14 per cent of fiber, whereas, in truth and in fact, the said articles did contain less than 7 per cent of ammonia and the said cottonseed feed did contain less than 36 per cent of protein and more than 14 per cent of fiber.