

that the article had been shipped by Swift & Co., from Portland, Oreg., January 12, 1923, and transported from the State of Oregon into the State of California, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The article was labeled in part: "Swift & Co. Reworked."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that excessive moisture had been mixed and packed with and substituted wholly or in part for the said article, and for the further reason that a valuable constituent, butterfat, had been wholly or in part abstracted therefrom.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statement, "Butter," was false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser.

On January 30, 1923, Swift & Co., claimant, having consented to a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$325, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that it be made to conform with the provisions of the said act.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**11320. Misbranding of Lukosine. U. S. v. 31 Packages of Lukosine. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 15085. S. No. C-2909.)**

On June 21, 1921, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Louisiana, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 31 packages of Lukosine, remaining unsold in the original unbroken packages at New Orleans, La., alleging that the article had been shipped by the National Drug Co., Philadelphia, Pa., on or about May 24, 1921, and transported from the State of Pennsylvania into the State of Louisiana, and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it consisted of a powder containing approximately 80 per cent of boric acid and small proportions of zinc sulphate, alum, and a salicylate, and traces of alkaloid, phenol, thymol, and menthol, colored pink.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that the following statements regarding its curative and therapeutic effect, appearing on the label of the said article, "Indications Gonorrhoea, Leucorrhoea \* \* \* Inflammation of Mucous Membranes, Catarrh, Ulcers, Etc.," were false and fraudulent since the article contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing the effects claimed.

On January 24, 1923, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**11321. Adulteration and misbranding of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. D. E. Foote & Co., Inc., a Corporation. Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, \$25 and costs. (F. & D. No. 16571. I. S. Nos. 7912-t, 7914-t, 8509-t, 9310-t, 9317-t.)**

On December 20, 1922, the United States attorney for the District of Maryland, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against D. E. Foote & Co., Inc, a corporation, trading at Baltimore, Md., alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, from the State of Maryland, in various consignments, namely, on or about August 19, 1921, into the State of Virginia, on or about September 3 and 12, 1921, respectively, into the State of Pennsylvania, and on or about September 9, 1921, into the State of Georgia, of quantities of canned tomatoes which were adulterated and misbranded. The article was labeled variously, in part: "Tomatoes Packed By D. E. Foote & Co. Inc. Baltimore, Md. \* \* \* 'Fox Brand;'" "Foote's Best Brand \* \* \* Tomatoes \* \* \* Packed By D. E. Foote & Co.;" "Compass Brand Tomatoes \* \* \* Packed by D. E. Foote & Co. Inc."

Analyses of samples of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department indicated that water and purée, pulp, or juice from skins and cores had been added to the said article.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that certain substances, to wit, water and purée, pulp, and juice from skins