

of the article, and for the further reason that the article was labeled as aforesaid so as to deceive and mislead the purchaser into the belief that each of the said sacks contained 99 pounds of the said article, whereas, in truth and in fact, each of the said sacks did not contain 99 pounds of the article, but did contain a less amount. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the article was food in package form, and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On February 21, 1921, a plea of guilty to the information was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$20 and costs.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**10412. Misbranding of cottonseed cake. U. S. \* \* \* v. United Oil Mills, a Corporation. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$25 and costs. (F. & D. No. 11991. I. S. No. 11997-r.)**

On July 20, 1920, the United States attorney for the Western District of Arkansas, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the United Oil Mills, a corporation, Hope, Ark., alleging shipment by said company, on or about March 12, 1919, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended, from the State of Arkansas into the State of Kansas, of a quantity of unlabeled cottonseed cake which was misbranded.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it was food in package form, and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On November 8, 1920, a plea of guilty to the information was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$25 and costs.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**10413. Adulteration and misbranding of cottonseed meal. U. S. \* \* \* v. El Dorado Oil Mills & Fertilizer Co., a corporation. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$20 and costs. (F. & D. No. 12351. I. S. No. 12001-r.)**

On July 20, 1920, the United States attorney for the Western District of Arkansas, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the El Dorado Oil Mills & Fertilizer Co., El Dorado, Ark., alleging shipment by said company, on or about March 1, 1919, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended, from the State of Arkansas into the State of Kansas, of a quantity of unlabeled cottonseed meal which was adulterated and misbranded. The article was invoiced as 41 per cent protein cottonseed meal.

Analyses of samples of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed the presence of approximately 38.65 per cent of protein.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a cottonseed meal of less than 41 per cent protein had been substituted wholly or in part for cottonseed meal of 41 per cent protein, which the said article purported to be.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the article was food in package form, and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On February 21, 1921, a plea of guilty to the information was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$20 and costs.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**10414. Adulteration and misbranding of vinegar. U. S. \* \* \* v. 68 and 70 Barrels of Vinegar. Consent decrees of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. Nos. 12986, 12987. I. S. Nos. 384-r, 385-r. S. Nos. E-2408, E-2409.)**

On July 2, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Connecticut, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district libels for the seizure and condemnation of 68 and 70 barrels of vinegar, remaining unsold in the original unbroken packages at Stamford and New Haven, Conn., respectively, alleging that the article had been shipped by F. E. Jewett & Co., Lowell, Mass., on or about May 7 and 26, 1920, respectively, and transported from the State of Massachusetts into the State of Connecticut, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the libels for the reason that distilled vinegar had been mixed and packed therewith so as to