

10094. Adulteration of milk. U. S. * * * v. James McGuire. Collateral of \$20 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 627-c.)

On December 10, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against James McGuire, Washington, D. C., alleging that on November 26, 1920, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain valuable constituent thereof, to wit, butter fat, had been wholly or in part abstracted therefrom.

On December 10, 1920, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$20 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

10095. Adulteration of milk. U. S. * * * v. Nick Chollis. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 628-c.)

On December 11, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Nick Chollis, Washington, D. C., alleging that on November 24, 1920, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain valuable constituent thereof, to wit, butter fat, had been wholly or in part abstracted therefrom.

On December 11, 1920, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$25 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

10096. Adulteration of milk. U. S. * * * v. Michael J. Lynch. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 629-c.)

On December 11, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Michael J. Lynch, Washington, D. C., alleging that on November 24, 1920, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain valuable constituent thereof, to wit, butter fat, had been wholly or in part abstracted therefrom.

On December 11, 1920, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$25 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

10097. Adulteration of milk. U. S. * * * v. Antimo D. Francisco. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$10. (F. & D. No. 631-c.)

On December 10, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Antimo D. Francisco, Washington, D. C., alleging that on November 23, 1920, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain valuable constituent thereof, to wit, butter fat, had been wholly or in part abstracted therefrom.

On December 20, 1920, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$10.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*