

days before the expected time by taking one pill every four hours until the time arrives. * * * girls approaching the age of puberty, who have not overcome the functional derangements induced by that * * * change * * * can be given these pills with great benefit, * * * Reliable * * *," which statements were false and fraudulent in that the said article contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing the effect claimed.

On August 29 and 31, 1921, respectively, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgments of condemnation and forfeiture were entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

9889. Misbranding of pickles. U. S. * * * v. 31 Cases of Sweet Mixed Pickles, 18 Cases of Plain Sour Pickles, 16 Cases of Dill Pickles, 31 Cases of Sweet Plain Pickles, 14 Cases of Mixed Sour Pickles, and 5 Cases of Dill Pickles. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. Nos. 15297, 15298, 15299, 15300. I. S. Nos. 11027-t, 11028-t, 11029-t, 11030-t, 11031-t. Inv. No. 34911. S. Nos. W-1000, W-1002.)

On August 2, 1921, the United States attorney for the Northern District of California, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 31 cases of sweet mixed pickles, 18 cases of plain sour pickles, 21 cases of dill pickles, 31 cases of sweet plain pickles, and 14 cases of mixed sour pickles, remaining in the original unbroken packages at San Francisco, Calif., consigned by the Seattle & Puget Sound Packing Co., Seattle, Wash., alleging that the articles had been shipped from Seattle, Wash., on the respective dates, August 3 and September 17, 1920, and January 20, 1921, and transported from the State of Washington into the State of California, and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended.

Misbranding of the articles was alleged in substance in the libel for the reason that they were labeled in part "12 ozs.," which statement was false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser, since the contents were short of the declared volume. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the said articles were food in package form, and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the packages in terms of weight or measure, since the amount declared was not correct.

On August 30, 1921, Walter C. Zinn, San Francisco, Calif., claimant, having consented to a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the products be released to said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$600, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that the mixed sour pickles be relabeled, "Weight Drained Contents 6 $\frac{3}{4}$ oz.," and the rest, "Weight Drained Contents 7 $\frac{1}{4}$ oz."

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

9890. Misbranding of "G Zit" Complete-Stearns'. U. S. * * * v. 24 Packages * * * of * * * "G Zit" Complete-Stearns', et al. Product destroyed by mistake. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture entered. (F. & D. Nos. 10567, 10576. I. S. Nos. 2032-r, 2033-r. S. Nos. W-403, W-423.)

On June 12 and 18, 1919, respectively, the United States attorney for the Western District of Washington, acting upon reports by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district libels for the seizure and condemnation of 79 packages, \$3 size, 4 packages, \$6 size, and 15 packages, \$11 size, of "G Zit" Complete-Stearns', at Tacoma, Wash., alleg-