

for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, quantities of oysters which were adulterated.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it contained added water.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a substance, to wit, water, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to lower and reduce and injuriously affect its quality, and had been substituted in part for oysters, which the article purported to be. Adulteration was alleged for the further reason that a valuable constituent of the article, to wit, oyster solids, had been wholly or in part abstracted.

On February 3, 1921, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$25.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**9098. Adulteration of oysters. U. S. \* \* \* v. Joseph H. Chivell. Collateral of \$50 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 13941. I. S. No. 16757-r.)**

On February 5, 1921, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Joseph H. Chivell, Washington, D. C., alleging that on March 12, 1920, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of oysters which were adulterated.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it contained added water.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a substance, to wit, water, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to lower and reduce and injuriously affect its quality, and had been substituted in part for oysters, which the article purported to be. Adulteration was alleged for the further reason that a valuable constituent of the article, to wit, oyster solids, had been wholly or in part abstracted.

On February 5, 1921, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$50 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**9099. Adulteration of oysters. U. S. \* \* \* v. Thomas A. Sears (Sear's Market). Plea of guilty. Fine, \$25. (F. & D. No. 13943. I. S. No. 16655-r.)**

On February 3, 1921, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Thomas A. Sears, trading as Sear's Market, Washington, D. C., alleging that on February 6, 1920, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of oysters which were adulterated.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it contained added water.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a substance, to wit, water, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to lower and reduce and injuriously affect its quality, and had been substituted in part for oysters, which the article purported to be, and for the further reason that a valuable constituent of the article, to wit, oyster solids, had been wholly or in part abstracted.

On February 3, 1921, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$25.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*