

company, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on December 21, 1917, under the name of the Elwood State Bank, from the State of Indiana into the State of West Virginia, of a quantity of tomato catsup which was adulterated. The article was labeled in part, "Royal Red Brand Tomato Catsup Prepared by The Frazier Packing Co. Elwood, Ind. U. S. A."

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that the catsup was made from partially decayed tomatoes.

Adulteration of the article was charged in the indictment for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed vegetable substance.

On June 29, 1920, a plea of guilty to the indictment was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$100 and costs.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

S703. Adulteration of tomato purée. U. S. * * * v. Sailors Packing Co., a Corporation. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$100 and costs. (F. & D. No. 9857. I. S. No. 9251-p)

On May 1, 1920, the grand jurors of the United States within and for the District of Indiana, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, upon presentment by the United States attorney for said district, returned in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid an indictment against the Sailors Packing Co., a corporation, Kokomo, Ind., charging shipment by said company, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on December 6, 1917, from the State of Indiana into the State of Illinois, of a quantity of tomato purée which was adulterated. The article was labeled in part, "Stewed and Strained Tomato Puree Packed by the Sailors Packing Co. Kokomo, Ind. U. S. A."

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed the purée to be partially decomposed.

Adulteration of the article was charged in the indictment for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, putrid, and decomposed vegetable substance.

On June 29, 1920, a plea of guilty to the indictment was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$100 and costs.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

S704. Adulteration and misbranding of cottonseed meal or cake. U. S. * * * v. Southern Cotton Oil Co., a Corporation. Plea of guilty to Counts 2, 4, 6, and 8 of the information. Trial by the court upon Counts 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9 of the information. Judgment of acquittal on Count 9. Judgment of conviction on Counts 1, 3, 5, and 7 of the information. Fine, \$140 and costs. (F. & D. No. 11137. I. S. Nos. 7006-r, 11054-r, 11058-r, 10838-r.)

At the April, 1920, term of the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas, the United States attorney, within and for said district, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed an information in 9 counts against the Southern Cotton Oil Co., a corporation, doing business at Little Rock, Ark., alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended, on or about December 16, 1918, from the State of Arkansas into the State of Missouri, of a quantity of Arkansas Brand cottonseed meal or cake, on or about November 12, and November 9, 1918, from the State of Arkansas into the State of Michigan, of quantities of Baby Brand cottonseed meal or cake, and on or about October 10, 1918, from the State of Arkansas into the State of Kansas, of a quantity of Arkansas Brand cottonseed meal or cake, each of which was adulterated and misbranded.

Analyses of samples of the article in each shipment by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed the following results: