

Springs, Mo., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended, on or about November 8, 1918, from the State of Missouri into the State of Nebraska, of a quantity of an article, labeled in part "Sulpho-Saline Still Natural Mineral Water * * * Excelsior Springs Mineral Water & Bottling Co., Excelsior Springs Missouri," which was misbranded.

Analysis of a sample of the product by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed the following results, expressed as milligrams per liter :

IONS.	
Chlorid (Cl)-----	3, 858
Sulphate (SO ₄)-----	1, 080
Bicarbonate (HCO ₃)-----	446
Sodium (Na)-----	2, 739
Magnesium (Mg)-----	100
Calcium (Ca)-----	224
Silica (SiO ₂)-----	9
Ammonium (NH ₄)-----	2
	8, 458

HYPOTHETICAL COMBINATIONS.

Sodium chlorid (NaCl)-----	6, 354
Sodium sulphate (Na ₂ SO ₄)-----	738
Magnesium sulphate (MgSO ₄)-----	495
Calcium sulphate (CaSO ₄)-----	263
Calcium bicarbonate (Ca(HCO ₃) ₂)-----	593
Silica (SiO ₂)-----	9
Ammonium chlorid (NH ₄ Cl)-----	6
	8, 458

Misbranding of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that certain statements, designs, and devices regarding the therapeutic and curative effects thereof, appearing on the labels of the bottles, falsely and fraudulently represented it to be effective as a treatment, remedy, and cure for stomach troubles, headaches, jaundice, and vertigo, when, in truth and in fact, it was not.

On May 7, 1920, the case having come on for disposition, the defendant corporation, having been called upon to answer the information, confessed judgment through its counsel, and the court imposed a fine of \$10 and costs.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

805S. Misbranding of Schoen-feld Kidney and Liver Tea. U. S. * * * v. S. Pfeiffer Mfg. Co., a Corporation. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$25 and costs. (F. & D. No. 11794. I. S. No. 7691-r.)

On April 21, 1920, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Missouri, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the S. Pfeiffer Manufacturing Co., St. Louis, Mo., alleging shipment by said defendant, on or about May 17, 1919, from the State of Missouri into the State of Illinois, of a quantity of an article of drugs which was misbranded in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it consisted chiefly of senna, uva ursi, fennel, anise seed, and orange peel, with small amounts of sassafras, buckthorn bark, licorice, juniper, and possibly dog grass.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the information in that certain statements appearing on the label on the carton inclosing the article, regarding the curative and therapeutic effects of the article, falsely and fraudulently represented the article to be effective as a remedy for the diseases of the kidneys and liver, derangements of the stomach, liver, kidneys, bladder, bowels, urinary disorders, female complaints, piles, gravel, dyspepsia, general debility, indigestion, rheumatism, malaria, headache, and la grippe, as a purifier of the blood, and as a preventive for all diseases caused by disordered kidneys and liver, whereas, in truth and in fact, it was not effective as a remedy for the diseases named.

On April 23, 1920, defendant having entered a plea of guilty to the information, the court imposed a fine of \$25 and costs.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

8059. Misbranding of Beto Stock Feed, Derby Stock Feed, and Circle D Stock Feed. U. S. * * * v. Dyersburg Milling Co., a Corporation. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$100 and costs. (F. & D. No. 11808. I. S. Nos. 17643-r, 17644-r, 17645-r, 17646-r, 17647-r, 17648-r.)

On April 20, 1920, the United States attorney for the Western District of Tennessee, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the Dyersburg Milling Co., a corporation, Dyersburg, Tenn., alleging shipment on or about April 22, April 29, May 3, May 27, May 22, and May 16, 1919, from the State of Tennessee into the State of Georgia, of quantities of articles which were misbranded.

Analyses of samples of the articles by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed the following results:

Composition.	Beto feed.				Derby feed.	Circle D feed.
	Shipment of—				Shipment of Apr. 29.	Shipment of May 16.
	Apr. 22.	May 3.	May 27.	May 22.		
Protein.....	<i>Per cent.</i> 6.33	<i>Per cent.</i> 6.22	<i>Per cent.</i> 5.99	<i>Per cent.</i> 5.90	<i>Per cent.</i> 6.02	<i>Per cent.</i> 6.09
Fiber.....	16.52	17.48	18.96	17.46	20.24	18.82
Fat.....						1.74

Misbranding of the articles labeled "Beto Stock Feed" and "Derby Stock Feed" was alleged in the information in that the statement on the label on the bags containing the articles, to wit, "Guaranteed Analysis Protein 9.00 Fibre 15.00," was false and misleading in that it represented that the said articles contained not less than 9 per cent of protein and not more than 15 per cent of fiber, whereas, in truth and in fact, the articles contained less than 9 per cent of protein and more than 15 per cent of fiber. Misbranding was further alleged in that the statement deceived and misled the purchaser into the belief that the article contained not less than 9 per cent of protein and not more than 15 per cent of fiber, whereas, in truth and in fact, the articles contained less than 9 per cent of protein and more than 15 per cent of fiber.

Misbranding of the article labeled "Circle D Stock Feed" was alleged in the information in that the statement appearing on the label on the bag containing the article, to wit, "Protein 9.00 Fat 2.00 Fibre 15.00," was false and misleading in that it represented that the article contained not less than 9 per cent of