

**3889. Adulteration of epinephrine injection. U. S. v. 9 Cartons \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 33563. Sample No. 49607-L.)**

**LIBEL FILED:** On or about September 3, 1952, Southern District of New York.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about March 20 and May 26, 1952, from Chicago, Ill.

**PRODUCT:** 9 cartons, each containing 100 ampuls, of *epinephrine injection*, at New York, N. Y.

**LABEL, IN PART:** (Ampul) "1 cc. - Sterile Epinephrine Injection 1:1000 U. S. P."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 501 (b), the article purported to be and was represented as "Epinephrine Injection," a drug the name of which is recognized in the United States Pharmacopeia, an official compendium, and the strength of the article differed from the official standard since it contained not more than .08 gram of epinephrine in each 100 cc. (The United States Pharmacopeia requires that epinephrine injection contain not less than .09 gram of epinephrine in each 100 cc.) The article was adulterated while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce.

**DISPOSITION:** September 26, 1952. Default decree of condemnation. The court ordered that a portion of the article be delivered to the Federal Security Agency and that the remainder be destroyed.

**3890. Adulteration and misbranding of Acephenacyl No. 5. U. S. v. 26,000 Tablets \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 34067. Sample No. 34537-L.)**

**LIBEL FILED:** October 8, 1952, Southern District of Illinois.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about November 28, 1951, from St. Louis, Mo.

**PRODUCT:** 26,000 tablets of *Acephenacyl No. 5*, repackaged in 1,000- and 500-tablet bottles, at Peoria, Ill.

**LABEL, IN PART:** "Acephenacyl No. 5 \* \* \* Acetylsalicylic Acid 2 Grs."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 501 (c), the strength of the article differed from that which it was represented to possess since it contained less acetylsalicylic acid per tablet than the 2 grains declared on its label.

Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the label statement "Acetylsalicylic Acid 2 Grs." was false and misleading as applied to the article, which contained less than 2 grains of aspirin.

The article was adulterated and misbranded in the above respects while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce.

**DISPOSITION:** November 13, 1952. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

**3891. Adulteration and misbranding of burn ointment. U. S. v. 170 Boxes \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 33894. Sample No. 14044-L.)**

**LIBEL FILED:** October 2, 1952, District of Colorado.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about July 17, 1952, by A. E. Halperin Co., Inc., from Boston, Mass.

**PRODUCT:** 170 boxes, each containing 2 tubes, of *burn ointment* at Remaco, Colo. Examination showed that the article was not an ointment, but that it was a thin mucilage-like fluid with some suspended solid material. Analysis showed that the article contained phenol, glycerin, and tannic acid.

**LABEL, IN PART:** (Box) "2½ Oz. Tannic Acid Ointment Burn Ointment Unit No. 7 \* \* \*"; (tube) "Halco Tannic Acid Salve Tannic Acid 5%