

INTERSTATE SHIPMENT: From the States of Pennsylvania and Indiana into the State of Michigan, of quantities of *Dexedrine Sulfate tablets* and *Seconal Sodium capsules*.

ALLEGED VIOLATION: On or about January 20 and 23, 1950, while the drugs were being held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce, the defendant caused various quantities of the drugs to be repacked and sold without a physician's prescription, which acts resulted in the repackaged drugs being misbranded.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 502 (b) (2), the repackaged drugs bore no labels containing statements of the quantity of the contents; and, Section 502 (f) (1), the labeling of the repackaged drugs bore no directions for use.

Further misbranding, Section 502 (d), the *Seconal Sodium capsules* contained a derivative of barbituric acid, which derivative the Federal Security Administrator, after investigation, has found to be, and by regulations designated as, habit forming; and the label of the repackaged *Seconal Sodium capsules* failed to bear the name, and quantity or proportion of such derivative and in juxtaposition therewith the statement "Warning—May be habit forming."

Further misbranding, Section 502 (e) (1), the repackaged *Dexedrine Sulfate tables* bore no label containing the common or usual name of the drug.

DISPOSITION: January 11, 1951. A plea of nolo contendere having been entered, the court imposed a fine of \$200.

3325. Misbranding of Tuinal capsules, diethylstilbestrol tablets, and Sulfonamides Triplex tablets. U. S. v. John P. Taylor and Robert L. Taylor. Pleas of nolo contendere. Fine of \$400, plus costs, against each individual. (F. D. C. No. 29474. Sample Nos. 76555-K, 77358-K, 77360-K, 77382-K.)

INFORMATION FILED: October 6, 1950, Southern District of Illinois, against John P. Taylor and Robert L. Taylor, partners in the partnership of Taylor's Drug Store, Peoria, Ill.

INTERSTATE SHIPMENT: From the State of Indiana into the State of Illinois, of quantities of *Tuinal capsules*, *diethylstilbestrol tables*, and *Sulfonamides Triplex tablets*.

ALLEGED VIOLATION: On or about July 7, 12, 17, and 19, 1950, while the drugs were being held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce, the defendants caused various quantities of the drugs to be repacked and sold without a physician's prescription, which acts resulted in the repackaged drugs being misbranded.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 502 (b) (2), the repackaged drugs failed to bear labels containing statements of the quantity of the contents; and, Section 502 (f) (1), the repackaged drugs failed to bear labeling containing adequate directions for use since the directions "One (1) at bedtime" borne on the labeling of the repackaged *Tuinal capsules* were not adequate directions for use and the labeling of the other repackaged drugs bore no directions for use.

Further misbranding, Section 502 (b) (1), the repackaged *diethylstilbestrol tablets* and the *Sulfonamides Triplex tablets* failed to bear labels containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor.

Further misbranding, Section 502 (d), the *Tuinal capsules* contained chemical derivatives of barbituric acid, which derivatives, the Federal Security

Administrator, after investigation, has found to be, and by regulations designated as, habit forming; and the label of the repackaged capsules failed to bear the name, and quantity or proportion of such derivatives and in juxtaposition therewith the statement "Warning—May be habit forming."

Further misbranding, Section 502 (e) (1), the repackaged *diethylstilbestrol tablets* bore no label containing the common or usual name of the drug; and, Section 502 (e) (2), the repackaged *Sulfonamides Triplex tablets* bore no label containing the common or usual name of each active ingredient of the drug.

DISPOSITION: December 18, 1950. Pleas of nolo contendere having been entered, the court imposed a fine of \$400, plus costs, against each individual.

3326. Misbranding of sulfathiazole tablets, thyroid tablets, diethylstilbestrol tablets, and methyltestosterone tablets. U. S. v. M & M Drugs and Max Sherman. Pleas of nolo contendere. Fine of \$200 against each defendant. (F. D. C. No. 29996. Sample Nos. 52960-K, 52964-K, 52986-K, 52999-K, 84132-K, 84138-K, 84328-K, 84333-K.)

INFORMATION FILED: On or about November 17, 1950, Northern District of Ohio, against M & M Drugs, a partnership, Toledo, Ohio, and Max Sherman, partner and pharmacist.

INTERSTATE SHIPMENT: From the States of New Jersey, Michigan, and Indiana, of quantities of *sulfathiazole tablets*, *thyroid tablets*, *diethylstilbestrol tablets*, and *methyltestosterone tablets*.

ALLEGED VIOLATION: On or about January 28, February 21, and April 14, 15, 20, 24, and 25, 1950, while the drugs were being held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce, the defendants caused various quantities of the drugs to be repacked and sold without a physician's prescription, which acts of the defendants resulted in the repackaged drugs being misbranded.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 502 (b) (2), the repackaged drugs failed to bear labels containing a statement of the quantity of the contents; and, Section 502 (f) (1), the labeling of the repackaged drugs bore no directions for use.

Further misbranding, Section 502 (b) (1), the repackaged *thyroid tablets* and *diethylstilbestrol tablets* and portions of the *sulfathiazole tablets* and *methyltestosterone tablets* failed to bear labels containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor; Section 502 (e) (1), the repackaged *methyltestosterone tablets* and a portion of the *thyroid tablets* bore no labels containing the common or usual name of the drugs; and, Section 502 (f) (2), the repackaged *sulfathiazole tablets* bore no labeling containing warnings against use in those pathological conditions where their use may be dangerous to health, and against unsafe dosage and methods and duration of administration.

DISPOSITION: December 5, 1950. Pleas of nolo contendere having been entered, the court imposed a fine of \$200 against each defendant.

3327. Misbranding of pentobarbital sodium capsules and sulfathiazole tablets. U. S. v. Morris Dunn (Dunn Drug Store). Plea of guilty. Fine of \$200 and sentence of 8 months in jail; jail sentence suspended and defendant placed on probation for 3 years. (F. D. C. No. 28108. Sample Nos. 46272-K, 46273-K, 46277-K, 46284-K.)

INFORMATION FILED: December 6, 1949, Eastern District of Missouri, against Morris Dunn, trading as the Dunn Drug Store, St. Louis, Mo.